

On bounded solutions of a second-order iterative boundary value problem

Safa CHOUAF[✉], Ahlème BOUAKKAZ*[✉], Rabah KHEMIS[✉]

Laboratory of Applied Mathematics and History and Didactics of Mathematics (LAMAHS),
University of 20 August 1955, Skikda, Algeria

Received: 11.06.2021

Accepted/Published Online: 14.07.2021

Final Version: ..2021

Abstract: In this article, we investigate a second-order iterative differential equation with boundary conditions. The use of the principle of contraction mappings and the Schauder's fixed point theorem allows us to prove some existence and uniqueness results. Finally, an example is given to check the validity of our findings, which are new, and complete some published manuscripts to some degree.

Key words: Continuous dependence, bounded solutions, iterative functional differential equation, fixed point theorem

1. Introduction

Due to their promising applications in many areas, the study of iterative differential equations, which have a history of more than a century [13] and can be regarded as a particular class of functional differential equations with delays that depend on time and the state variable, has received a lot of attention. We can find them in infectious disease transmission models in epidemiology, population dynamics models in ecology, hematopoiesis models in biology, and so on (see [3, 8, 11, 20–22]). To the best of our knowledge, in spite of their importance in applications, the iterative terms make them very difficult to study and, hence, the papers published on this topic are very scarce. For more detailed works on first-order iterative problems, we refer the readers to [3, 8, 12, 24] and the references cited therein. For second-order iterative problems, we cite the following works:

In [5], the authors employed Schauder's fixed point theorem to prove some existence results on periodic solutions of an equation of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dt^2}x(t) + p(t) \frac{d}{dt}x(t) + q(t)x(t) \\ = \frac{d}{dt}g\left(t, x(t), x^{[2]}(t), \dots, x^{[n]}(t)\right) + f\left(t, x(t), x^{[2]}(t), \dots, x^{[n]}(t)\right), \end{aligned}$$

where p and q are two T -periodic continuous functions, $f(t, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $g(t, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ are supposed to be periodic in t with period T and globally Lipschitz in x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n .

By means of the same last fixed point theorem, Kaufmann [17] studied the following problem:

$$x''(t) = h\left(t, x(t), x^{[2]}(t)\right), \quad a \leq t \leq b,$$

*Correspondence: ahlemkholode@yahoo.com

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 34K10, 34K12

with

$$x(a) = a, \quad x(b) = b,$$

or

$$x(a) = b, \quad x(b) = a.$$

In [7], by virtue of the Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem, the authors proved the existence of positive periodic solutions for the following second-order iterative differential equation;

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dt^2}x(t) + p(t) \frac{d}{dt}x(t) + q(t) x(t) \\ = \frac{d}{dt}f\left(t, x(t), x^{[2]}(t), \dots, x^{[n]}(t)\right) + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(t) x^{[i]}(t). \end{aligned}$$

For third-order iterative problems, there are only three works by the second and the third authors of this paper [6, 10, 19], and, so far, no work has been done on fourth order or higher order ones.

Motivated by the above works and for the purpose to contribute to filling these gaps in the literature, we focus on second order iterative differential equations of the form

$$y''(t) + h\left(t, y(t), y^{[2]}(t), \dots, y^{[n]}(t)\right) = 0, \quad -b \leq t \leq b, \tag{1.1}$$

$$y(-b) = \eta_1, \quad y(b) = \eta_2, \quad \eta_1, \eta_2 \in [-b, b], \tag{1.2}$$

where $y^{[2]}(t) = y(y(t)), \dots, y^{[n]}(y) = y^{[n-1]}(y(t))$ and h is a continuous function from $[-b, b] \times \mathbb{R}^n$ to \mathbb{R} .

This manuscript is planned as follows: Section 2 is dedicated to present some preliminaries that play a key role in establishing our main results. In Sections 3, we convert our iterative problem into an equivalent integral equation, and we also prove two important properties of the Green's kernel for using them with Schauder's fixed point theorem to establish the existence results. Uniqueness and the continuous dependence of the solution will be proved in Section 4 and Section 5. In Section 6, an example is displayed. Finally, we draw a brief conclusion in the last section.

2. Preliminaries

In order to make the iterates well defined, we will define an appropriate subset of $\mathcal{C}[-b, b]$, where $b > 0$.

Consider the Banach space $\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{C}([-b, b], \mathbb{R}), \|\cdot\|)$ with the norm

$$\|x\|_{\mathcal{X}} = \sup_{t \in [-b, b]} |x(t)|.$$

For $\alpha \in [0, b]$ and $\beta \geq 0$, we define the set $\mathcal{CB}(\alpha, \beta)$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{CB}(\alpha, \beta) = \{y \in \mathcal{X}, \quad -\alpha \leq y \leq \alpha, \quad |y(t_2) - y(t_1)| \leq \beta |t_2 - t_1|, \quad \forall t_1, t_2 \in [-b, b]\}.$$

Then, $\mathcal{CB}(\alpha, \beta)$ is a convex bounded and closed subset of \mathcal{X} .

Lemma 2.1 [24] *If $x, y \in \mathcal{CB}(\alpha, \beta)$, then*

$$\left\|x^{[k]} - y^{[k]}\right\| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \beta^j \|x - y\|, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Remark 2.2 *It follows from Arzelà-Ascoli theorem that $\mathcal{CB}(\alpha, \beta) \subset \mathcal{X}$ is compact.*

3. Existence results

We are interested in the existence of solutions of (1.1)–(1.2). For reaching this aim, we will rewrite our problem as an equivalent integral equation before using Schauder’s fixed point theorem.

We assume that

$$|h(t, y_1, \dots, y_n) - h(t, z_1, \dots, z_n)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \|y_i - z_i\|, \tag{3.1}$$

where a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n are positive constants.

The following lemma will be needed for defining the integral operator in the sequel.

Lemma 3.1 *If $b \neq 0$, then $y \in \mathcal{CB}(\alpha, \beta) \cap \mathcal{C}^2([-b, b], \mathbb{R})$ is a solution of (1.1)–(1.2) if and only if $y \in \mathcal{CB}(\alpha, \beta)$ is a solution of the following integral equation:*

$$y(t) = \eta_1 + \frac{\eta_2 - \eta_1}{2b}(t + b) + \int_{-b}^b G(t, s) h(s, y(s), y^{[2]}(s), \dots, y^{[n]}(s)) ds, \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$G(t, s) = \frac{1}{2b} \begin{cases} (t - b)(s + b), & -b \leq s \leq t \leq b, \\ (t + b)(s - b), & -b \leq t \leq s \leq b. \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

Proof Let $y \in \mathcal{CB}(\alpha, \beta) \cap \mathcal{C}^2([-b, b], \mathbb{R})$ be a solution of (1.1)–(1.2). An integration of equation (1.1) from $-b$ to t gives

$$\int_{-b}^t y''(s) ds = \int_{-b}^t h(s, y(s), y^{[2]}(s), \dots, y^{[n]}(s)) ds.$$

Therefore,

$$y'(t) = y'(-b) + \int_{-b}^t h(s, y(s), y^{[2]}(s), \dots, y^{[n]}(s)) ds.$$

Integrating again from $-b$ to t , we obtain

$$\int_{-b}^t y'(s) ds = \int_{-b}^t y'(-b) ds + \int_{-b}^t \left[\int_{-b}^x h(s, y(s), y^{[2]}(s), \dots, y^{[n]}(s)) ds \right] dx,$$

which gives

$$y(t) = y(-b) + y'(-b)(t + b) + \int_{-b}^t (t - s) h(s, y(s), y^{[2]}(s), \dots, y^{[n]}(s)) ds.$$

Using (1.2), we get

$$y(t) = \eta_1 + y'(-b)(t + b) + \int_{-b}^t (t - s) h(s, y(s), y^{[2]}(s), \dots, y^{[n]}(s)) ds, \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$y(b) = \eta_2 = \eta_1 + y'(-b)2b + \int_{-b}^b (b - s) h(s, y(s), y^{[2]}(s), \dots, y^{[n]}(s)) ds.$$

So

$$y'(-b) = \frac{\eta_2 - \eta_1}{2b} - \frac{1}{2b} \int_{-b}^b (b-s) h(s, y(s), y^{[2]}(s), \dots, y^{[n]}(s)) ds. \quad (3.5)$$

By substituting (3.4) in (3.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} y(t) &= \eta_1 + \frac{\eta_2 - \eta_1}{2b} (t+b) - \frac{1}{2b} \int_{-b}^b (t+b)(b-s) h(s, y(s), y^{[2]}(s), \dots, y^{[n]}(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \int_{-b}^t (t-s) h(s, y(s), y^{[2]}(s), \dots, y^{[n]}(s)) ds \\ &= \eta_1 + \frac{\eta_2 - \eta_1}{2b} (t+b) - \frac{1}{2b} \int_{-b}^t (b-t)(b+s) h(s, y(s), y^{[2]}(s), \dots, y^{[n]}(s)) ds \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2b} \int_t^b (t+b)(b-s) h(s, y(s), y^{[2]}(s), \dots, y^{[n]}(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$y(t) = \eta_1 + \frac{\eta_2 - \eta_1}{2b} (t+b) + \int_{-b}^b G(t, s) h(s, y(s), y^{[2]}(s), \dots, y^{[n]}(s)) ds.$$

On the other hand, it is easy to obtain (1.1)–(1.2) by a direct derivation of (3.2). □

Lemma 3.2 *Green's function (3.3) satisfies*

$$\int_{-b}^b |G(t, s)| ds \leq \frac{1}{2} b^2. \quad (3.6)$$

Proof We have

$$\int_{-b}^b |G(t, s)| ds \leq \frac{1}{2b} \int_{-b}^t |(t-b)(s+b)| ds + \frac{1}{2b} \int_t^b |(t+b)(s-b)| ds.$$

Since $(t-b)(s+b) \leq 0$ for $-b \leq s \leq t$ and $(t+b)(s-b) \leq 0$ for $t \leq s \leq b$, then

$$|(t-b)(s+b)| = (b-t)(b+s) \text{ and } |(t+b)(s-b)| = (b-s)(b+t).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-b}^b |G(t, s)| ds &= \frac{1}{2b} \int_{-b}^t (b-t)(b+s) ds + \frac{1}{2b} \int_t^b (b-s)(b+t) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2} b^2 - \frac{1}{2} t^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} b^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the lemma is proved. □

Now, to apply Schauder's fixed point theorem, we construct an operator F from $\mathcal{CB}(\alpha, \beta)$ to \mathcal{X} as follows:

$$(F\psi)(t) = \eta_1 + \frac{\eta_2 - \eta_1}{2b}(t+b) + \int_{-b}^b G(t,s) h\left(s, \psi(s), \psi^{[2]}(s), \dots, \psi^{[n]}(s)\right) ds. \quad (3.7)$$

By Lemma 3.1, ψ is a solution of (1.1)-(1.2) if and only if ψ is a fixed point of F . So, our main goal here is to show that F has at least one fixed point.

Lemma 3.3 *If condition (3.1) holds. Then, the operator F given by (3.7) is continuous.*

Proof Clearly, F is well defined and for $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{CB}(\alpha, \beta)$, we get

$$|(F\varphi)(t) - (F\psi)(t)| \leq \int_{-b}^b |G(t,s)| \left| h\left(s, \varphi(s), \dots, \varphi^{[n]}(s)\right) - h\left(s, \psi(s), \dots, \psi^{[n]}(s)\right) \right| ds.$$

Using (3.1) and Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| h\left(s, \varphi(s), \dots, \varphi^{[n]}(s)\right) - h\left(s, \psi(s), \dots, \psi^{[n]}(s)\right) \right| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \left\| \varphi^{[i]} - \psi^{[i]} \right\| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \beta^j \|\varphi - \psi\|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

From (3.6) and (3.8), it yields that

$$\begin{aligned} |(F\varphi)(t) - (F\psi)(t)| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \beta^j \|\varphi - \psi\| \int_{-b}^b |G(t,s)| ds \\ &\leq \frac{b^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \beta^j \|\varphi - \psi\|, \end{aligned}$$

which proves that the operator F is continuous. □

Lemma 3.4 *If condition (3.1) holds and if*

$$2|\eta_1| + |\eta_2| + \frac{b^2}{2} \left(h_0 + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \beta^j \right) \leq \alpha, \quad (3.9)$$

where

$$h_0 = \max_{s \in [0,b]} |h(s, 0, \dots, 0)|,$$

then $-\alpha \leq (F\psi)(t) \leq \alpha$ for all $t \in [-b, b]$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{CB}(\alpha, \beta)$.

Proof If $\psi \in \mathcal{CB}(\alpha, \beta)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(F\psi)(t)| &= \left| \eta_1 + \frac{\eta_2 - \eta_1}{2b}(t+b) + \int_{-b}^b G(t,s) h\left(s, \psi(s), \psi^{[2]}(s), \dots, \psi^{[n]}(s)\right) ds \right| \\ &\leq 2|\eta_1| + |\eta_2| + \int_{-b}^b |G(t,s)| \left| h\left(s, \psi(s), \psi^{[2]}(s), \dots, \psi^{[n]}(s)\right) \right| ds. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of (3.1) and Lemma 2.1, we arrive at

$$\left| h \left(s, \psi^{[1]}(s), \dots, \psi^{[n]}(s) \right) \right| \leq h_0 + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \beta^j. \quad (3.10)$$

Further, it follows from (3.6), (3.9) and (3.10) that

$$|(F\psi)(t)| \leq 2|\eta_1| + |\eta_2| + \frac{b^2}{2} \left(h_0 + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \beta^j \right) \leq \alpha.$$

Consequently, $-\alpha \leq (F\psi)(t) \leq \alpha$ for all $t \in [-b, b]$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{CB}(\alpha, \beta)$. □

Lemma 3.5 *Green's function (3.3) satisfies*

$$\int_{-b}^b |G(t_2, s) - G(t_1, s)| \leq 5b |t_2 - t_1|.$$

Proof Let $t_1, t_2 \in [-b, b]$ with $t_1 \leq t_2$ and we set

$$G_1(t, s) = \frac{1}{2b} (t - b)(s + b),$$

$$G_2(t, s) = \frac{1}{2b} (t + b)(s - b).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-b}^b |G(t_2, s) - G(t_1, s)| ds &= \int_{-b}^{t_1} |G_1(t_2, s) - G_1(t_1, s)| ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |G_1(t_2, s) - G_2(t_1, s)| ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t_2}^b |G_2(t_2, s) - G_2(t_1, s)| ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-b}^{t_1} |G_1(t_2, s) - G_1(t_1, s)| ds &= \int_{-b}^{t_1} \left| \frac{1}{2b} (t_2 - b)(s + b) - \frac{1}{2b} (t_1 - b)(s + b) \right| ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2b} |t_2 - t_1| \int_{-b}^{t_1} (b + s) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{4b} |t_2 - t_1| (b + t_1)^2 \\ &\leq b |t_2 - t_1|, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |G_1(t_2, s) - G_2(t_1, s)| ds &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left| \frac{1}{2b} (t_2 - b)(s + b) - \frac{1}{2b} (t_1 + b)(s - b) \right| ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2b} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (b - t_2)(s + b) ds + \frac{1}{2b} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_1 + b)(b - s) ds \\ &\leq 3b |t_2 - t_1|, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_2}^b |G_2(t_2, s) - G_2(t_1, s)| ds &= \int_{t_2}^b \left| \frac{1}{2b} (t_2 + b)(s - b) - \frac{1}{2b} (t_1 + b)(s - b) \right| ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2b} |t_2 - t_1| \int_{t_2}^b (b - s) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{4b} |t_2 - t_1| (b - t_2)^2 \\ &\leq b |t_2 - t_1|. \end{aligned}$$

then,

$$\int_{-b}^b |G(t_2, s) - G(t_1, s)| ds \leq 5b |t_2 - t_1|.$$

The proof is now complete. □

Lemma 3.6 *If condition (3.1) holds and if*

$$\frac{|\eta_1 - \eta_2|}{2|b|} + 5b \left(h_0 + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \beta^j \right) \leq \beta, \tag{3.11}$$

then $|(F\psi)(t_2) - (F\psi)(t_1)| \leq \beta |t_2 - t_1|$ for all $t_1, t_2 \in [-b, b]$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{CB}(\alpha, \beta)$.

Proof If $t_1, t_2 \in [-b, b]$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{CB}(\alpha, \beta)$ with $t_1 \leq t_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(F\psi)(t_2) - (F\psi)(t_1)| &\leq \left| \eta_1 + \frac{\eta_2 - \eta_1}{2b} (t_2 + b) - \eta_1 - \frac{\eta_2 - \eta_1}{2b} (t_1 + b) \right| \\ &\quad + \int_{-b}^b |G(t_2, s) - G(t_1, s)| \left| h(s, \psi(s), \psi^{[2]}(s), \dots, \psi^{[n]}(s)) \right| ds. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 3.5 and (3.10), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} |(F\psi)(t_2) - (F\psi)(t_1)| &\leq \frac{|\eta_1 - \eta_2|}{2|b|} |t_2 - t_1| + 5b \left(\alpha \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \beta^j + h_0 \right) |t_2 - t_1| \\ &= \left(\frac{|\eta_1 - \eta_2|}{2|b|} + 5b \left(\alpha \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \beta^j + h_0 \right) \right) |t_2 - t_1|. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to (3.11), we have

$$|(F\psi)(t_2) - (F\psi)(t_1)| \leq \beta |t_2 - t_1|,$$

which completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.7 It follows from Lemmas 3.4 and 3.6 that F maps $\mathcal{CB}(\alpha, \beta)$ into itself, i.e. $F(\mathcal{CB}(\alpha, \beta)) \subset \mathcal{CB}(\alpha, \beta)$.

Theorem 3.8 Suppose that conditions (3.1), (3.9) and (3.10) hold. Then, problem (1.1)–(1.2) admits at least one bounded solution y in $\mathcal{CB}(\alpha, \beta)$.

Proof Thanks to Remark 2.2, $\mathcal{CB}(\alpha, \beta)$ is compact. Furthermore, from Lemma 3.3 and Remark 3.7, all requirements of Schauder’s fixed point theorem are fulfilled. Then, F has a fixed point y in $\mathcal{CB}(\alpha, \beta)$ such that $Fy = y$, which means that y is a solution of (1.1)–(1.2). \square

4. Uniqueness

Now, we state and prove the uniqueness result. To be more precise, by taking into account the previous assumptions and under an additional condition, we prove that F is a contraction mapping. So, by virtue of the principle of contraction mappings, (1.1)–(1.2) has a unique solution.

Theorem 4.1 Besides the hypotheses of Theorem 3.8, we suppose that

$$\frac{1}{2}b^2 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \beta^j < 1. \tag{4.1}$$

Then, problem (1.1)–(1.2) has a unique solution in $\mathcal{CB}(\alpha, \beta)$.

Proof Let $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{CB}(\alpha, \beta)$. Proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 3.3, we may show that

$$|(F\varphi)(t) - (F\psi)(t)| \leq \left(\frac{b^2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \beta^j \right) \|\varphi - \psi\|.$$

According to (4.1), we conclude by the principle of contraction mappings that F has a unique fixed point in $\mathcal{CB}(\alpha, \beta)$, which is exactly the unique solution of (1.1)–(1.2). \square

5. Continuous dependence

Our goal here is to establish the continuous dependence of the unique solution upon the function h .

Theorem 5.1 Under the hypotheses of Theorem 4.1, the unique solution of (1.1)–(1.2) depends continuously on h .

Proof Let

$$y_1(t) = \frac{\eta}{2b}(t+b) + \int_{-b}^b |G(t,s)| h_1\left(s, y_1(s), y_1^{[2]}(s), \dots, y_1^{[n]}(s)\right) ds,$$

and

$$y_2(t) = \frac{\eta}{2b}(t+b) + \int_{-b}^b |G(t,s)| h_2\left(s, y_2(s), y_2^{[2]}(s), \dots, y_2^{[n]}(s)\right) ds,$$

are two solutions of (1.1)-(1.2) corresponding to the two different functions $h_1, h_2 : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We have

$$|y_2(t) - y_1(t)| \leq \int_{-b}^b |G(t,s)| \left| h_2\left(s, y_2(s), \dots, y_2^{[n]}(s)\right) - h_1\left(s, y_1(s), \dots, y_1^{[n]}(s)\right) \right| ds,$$

and taking into account conditions (3.1), (3.6) and Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| h_2\left(s, y_2(s), \dots, y_2^{[n]}(s)\right) - h_1\left(s, y_1(s), \dots, y_1^{[n]}(s)\right) \right| \\ & \leq \left| h_2\left(s, y_2(s), \dots, y_2^{[n]}(s)\right) - h_1\left(s, y_2(s), \dots, y_2^{[n]}(s)\right) \right| \\ & \quad + \left| h_1\left(s, y_2(s), \dots, y_2^{[n]}(s)\right) - h_1\left(s, y_1(s), \dots, y_1^{[n]}(s)\right) \right| \\ & \leq \|h_2 - h_1\| + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \beta^j \|y_2 - y_1\|. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$|y_2(t) - y_1(t)| \leq \frac{1}{2} b^2 \left(\|h_2 - h_1\| + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \beta^j \|y_2 - y_1\| \right).$$

Using (4.1), we obtain

$$\|y_2 - y_1\| \leq \frac{\frac{1}{2} b^2}{1 - \frac{1}{2} b^2 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \beta^j} \|h_2 - h_1\|.$$

This completes the proof. □

6. Example

To show the validity of the foregoing findings, we propose an example.

Consider the following problem:

$$y''(t) = \frac{1}{11} \sin t + \frac{1}{5} y(t) + \frac{1}{7} y^{[2]}(t) + \frac{1}{9} y^{[3]}(t), \quad -\frac{\pi}{30} \leq t \leq \frac{\pi}{30}, \tag{6.1}$$

$$y\left(-\frac{\pi}{30}\right) = \frac{1}{12\pi}, \quad y\left(\frac{\pi}{30}\right) = \frac{1}{8\pi}, \tag{6.2}$$

where

$$h(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{1}{11} \sin t + \frac{1}{5} x_1 + \frac{1}{7} x_2 + \frac{1}{9} x_3, \quad b = \frac{\pi}{30}, \quad \eta_1 = \frac{1}{12\pi} \text{ and } \eta_2 = \frac{1}{8\pi}.$$

So

$$a_1 = \frac{1}{5}, \quad a_2 = \frac{1}{7}, \quad a_3 = \frac{1}{9} \text{ and } h_0 = \frac{1}{11}.$$

Let $\mathcal{CB}(\alpha, \beta) = \mathcal{CB}\left(\frac{\pi}{31}, \frac{\pi}{4}\right)$. We have

$$2|\eta_1| + |\eta_2| + \frac{b^2}{2} \left(h_0 + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \beta^j \right) \simeq 0.09374 \leq \alpha = \frac{\pi}{31} \simeq 0.10134,$$

$$\frac{|\eta_1 - \eta_2|}{2|b|} + 5b \left(h_0 + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \beta^j \right) \simeq 0.14924 \leq \beta = \frac{\pi}{4} \simeq 0.78540,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}b^2 \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \beta^j \simeq 0.0039587 < 1.$$

It is not hard to check that all the assumptions in Theorems 3.8, 4.1 and 5.1 are satisfied. Thus, equation (6.1)-(6.2) has a unique bounded solution in $\mathcal{CB}\left(\frac{\pi}{31}, \frac{\pi}{4}\right)$, which depends continuously on the function h .

7. Concluding remarks

The key object of this work is to study a second-order iterative boundary value problem. To achieve the purpose of this work, we used a powerful technique that involves defining an appropriate Banach space, which allows us to bring our desired results such as the continuity and the boundedness of solutions, to apply Banach and Schauder's fixed point theorems and, at the same time, to overcome the hitches caused by the iterative terms. Next, under some suitable criteria and with the aid of the aforementioned fixed point theorems, Arzelà–Ascoli theorem and some properties of the obtained Green's kernel, we established the existence, uniqueness and continuous dependence of the bounded solution. Finally, a concrete example is given.

The highlights of this manuscript are listed as follows:

(a) A set of sufficient conditions that ensure the existence, uniqueness and continuous dependence of bounded solutions has been established by using two different fixed point theorems.

(b) Up till now, we find only few papers devoted to study iterative differential equations. So, our obtained results enrich some known investigations to some extent. For instance, the second order problems in [2, 9, 14, 15] were without delays, and the majority of the papers that dealt with retarded second-order boundary value problems have focused on constant delays [1, 16] or time-varying ones [4, 18, 23], while the iterative source term in our problem involves implicitly state and time dependent delays of the form $\tau_i(t, y(t))$.

Furthermore, the aforementioned work [17] investigated a second-order iterative differential equation of only second degree, while our problem is of degree n .

(c) The technique used in this work can be employed successfully to investigate numerous other iterative differential problems of higher order.

References

- [1] Bai C, Xu X. Positive solutions for a functional delay second-order three-point boundary value problem. *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations* 2006; 41: 1-10.
- [2] Benchohra M, Nieto J, Ouahab A. Second-order boundary value problem with integral boundary conditions. *Boundary Value Problems* 2011; 6: 2011.

- [3] Berinde V. Existence and approximation of solutions of some first order iterative differential equations. *Miskolc Mathematical Notes* 2010; 11: 13-26.
- [4] Bouakkaz A, Ardjouni A, Djoudi, A. Existence of positive periodic solutions for a second-order nonlinear neutral differential equation by the Krasnoselskii's fixed point theorem. *Nonlinear Dynamics and Systems Theory* 2017; 17: 230-238.
- [5] Bouakkaz A, Ardjouni A, Djoudi A. Periodic solutions for a second order nonlinear functional differential equation with iterative terms by Schauder's fixed point theorem. *Acta Mathematica Universitatis Comenianae* 2018; 87 (2): 223-235.
- [6] Bouakkaz A, Ardjouni A, Khemis R, Djoudi A. Periodic solutions of a class of third-order functional differential equations with iterative source terms. *Boletín de la Sociedad Matemática Mexicana* 2020; 26: 443-458.
- [7] Bouakkaz A, Khemis R. Positive periodic solutions for a class of second-order differential equations with state-dependent delays. *Turkish Journal of Mathematics* 2020; 44 (4): 1412-1426.
- [8] Bouakkaz A, Khemis R. Positive periodic solutions for revisited Nicholson's blowflies equation with iterative harvesting term. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 2021; 494 (2): 124663.
- [9] Boucherif A. Second-order boundary value problems with integral boundary conditions. *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications* 2009; 70: 364-371.
- [10] Cheraiet S, Bouakkaz A, Khemis R. Bounded positive solutions of an iterative three-point boundary-value problem with integral boundary conditions. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing* 2021; 65: 597-610.
- [11] Cooke KL. *Functional differential systems: Some models and perturbation problems*. International Symposium on Differential Equations and Dynamical Systems. Puerto Rico, 1965. New York, NY, USA: Academic Press, 1967.
- [12] Fečkan M. On a certain type of functional-differential equations. *Mathematica Slovaca* 1993; 43: 39-43.
- [13] Fite W.B. Properties of the solutions of certain functional differential equations. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 1921; 22 (3): 311-319.
- [14] Galvis J, Rojas EM, Sinitsyn AV. Existence of positive solutions of a nonlinear second-order boundary-value problem with integral boundary conditions. *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations* 2015; 236: 1-7.
- [15] Infante G. Positive solutions of nonlocal boundary value problems with singularities. *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems* 2009; 377-384.
- [16] Jiang DQ. Multiple positive solutions for boundary-value problems of second-order delay differential equations. *Applied Mathematics Letters* 2002; 15: 575-583.
- [17] Kaufmann ER. Existence and uniqueness of solutions for a second-order iterative boundary-value problem functional differential equation. *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations* 2018; 150: 1-6.
- [18] Khemis R, Ardjouni A, Djoudi A. Existence of periodic solutions for a second-order nonlinear neutral differential equation by the Krasnoselskii's fixed point technique. *Le Matematiche* 2017; 72: 145-156.
- [19] Khemis R, Ardjouni A, Bouakkaz A, Djoudi A. Periodic solutions of a class of third-order differential equations with two delays depending on time and state. *Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae* 2019; 60: 379-399.
- [20] Stephan BH. On the existence of periodic solutions of $z'(t) = -az(t-r + \mu k(t, z(t))) + F(t)$. *Journal of Differential Equations* 1969; 6: 408-419.
- [21] Wang W. Positive pseudo almost periodic solutions for a class of differential iterative equations with biological background. *Applied Mathematics Letters* 2015; 46: 106-110.
- [22] Yang D, Zhang W. Solutions of equivariance for iterative differential equations. *Applied Mathematics Letters* 2004; 17: 759-765.
- [23] Yankson E. Positive periodic solutions for second-order neutral differential equations with functional delay. *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations* 2012; 14: 1-6.

- [24] Zhao HY, Liu J. Periodic solutions of an iterative functional differential equation with variable coefficients. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences* 2017; 40 (1): 286-292.