

1 φ –pluriharmonicity and φ –invariance of pointwise bi-slant Riemannian
2 submersions

3 Grayson LIGHT¹, Cem SAYAR^{2,*}, Mehmet Akif AKYOL³

¹Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Cincinnati, Ohio, United States,

ORCID iD: <https://orcid.org/0009-0009-7314-1246>

²Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Florida International University, Miami, FL, United States

ORCID iD: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8339-4396>

³Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Bingöl University, Bingöl, Türkiye

ORCID iD: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2334-6955>

Received: .202

• Accepted/Published Online: .202

• Final Version: ..202

4
5 **Abstract:** In this research, we investigate the intriguing realm of pointwise bi-slant Riemannian submersions, a gener-
6 alization of many previous submersions, such as anti-invariant, slant, semi-slant, pointwise slant, pointwise semi-slant,
7 and bi-slant submersions, within the framework of almost product manifolds. After giving an original example, we
8 delve into the submersion’s integrability conditions and geodesics. We explore the concept of φ –pluriharmonicity and
9 φ –invariance within this context. The study sheds light on the profound interplay between pointwise bi-slant submer-
10 sions’ fibers and their being either geodesic or mixed geodesic, offering valuable insights into these intriguing mappings’
11 geometric properties.

12 **Key words:** Riemannian submersion; pluriharmonicity; harmonic; pointwise slant distribution; geodesic; integrable.

13 **1. Introduction**

14 The theory of submanifolds has been shown to be quite useful in Differential Geometry. It generalizes the
15 concept of curves and surfaces to higher dimensions, aids in representing configuration spaces of physical
16 systems, allows for the representation of complex shapes and motion paths in an efficient, compact manner
17 in robotics and computers, and so on. Overall, submanifolds provide a powerful and flexible framework for
18 understanding complex geometries and their intrinsic properties. They offer a deeper insight into the structure
19 of spaces, and crucially, they find applications across a wide range of disciplines, making them an essential
20 concept in modern mathematics and its various applications.

21 The importance of submanifolds prompted the Geometers to define and study specific submanifolds.
22 One of the ways to obtain a submanifold is by working with *submersions*. The most well-known and studied
23 map of this kind is the *Riemannian Submersion*. The notion of Riemannian submersion was introduced first
24 by O’Neill [9]. Riemannian submersions have significant implications in physics, particularly in the study of
25 gauge theories and field theories. In the context of fiber bundles, Riemannian submersions often arise when
26 dealing with the projection of a higher-dimensional physical space onto a lower-dimensional base manifold.
27 This projection preserves certain geometric and metric properties, making it a valuable tool in modeling and

*Correspondence: csayer@fiu.edu

2010 *AMS Mathematics Subject Classification*: 53C15; 53B20.

1 understanding physical phenomena, such as gauge field theories and the geometry of spacetime in general
 2 relativity. Additionally, Riemannian submersions find applications in optimal control theory, providing insights
 3 into the dynamics and symmetries of physical systems.

4 Later, Watson considered Riemannian submersions between almost Hermitian manifolds and called them
 5 *almost Hermitian submersions* [18], where the submersion is a complex mapping. Consequently, the vertical
 6 and horizontal distributions are invariant with respect to the almost complex structure of the total manifold of
 7 the submersion. Another submersion, called an *anti-invariant Riemannian submersion*, was defined also in a
 8 complex context by Şahin [15]: in this case, the fibers are horizontal under the action of the almost complex
 9 structure, i.e. they are anti-invariant submanifolds of the total space. Outside of these specific cases, the notion
 10 of a Riemannian submersion has been considered in many other contexts, such as contact [2], complex [6, 17],
 11 almost product [13], and more. In all of these studies, submersions were defined based on the action of the
 12 structure of the manifold on the fibers.

13 Recently, in the complex context, Sepet defined and studied pointwise bi-slant Riemannian submersion
 14 [14], while two of the current paper's authors defined and studied bi-slant Riemannian submersion [11]. The
 15 current paper attempts to fill a gap in the literature by studying a corresponding notion of pointwise bi-slant
 16 Riemannian submersion in the almost product context, which is a generalization of many submersions defined
 17 before such as slant [16], pointwise slant [4], semi-slant [7], pointwise semi-slant [10], and conformal quasi bi-slant
 18 [8]. It is structured as follows. In Section 2, we establish the groundwork for acquiring a thorough comprehension
 19 of Riemannian submersion and almost product Riemannian manifolds within the field of Differential Geometry.
 20 Section 3 starts with the definition and an original example of a pointwise bi-slant Riemannian submersion
 21 in the almost product context. Following the customary focus on prior research, our inquiry examines the
 22 integrability of the fibers. We delve into the exploration of totally geodesic fibers within the context of a
 23 pointwise bi-slant Riemannian submersion. By investigating the properties of totally geodesic fibers, we aim to
 24 gain deeper insights into the geometric structures of the underlying submersion. The last part of our paper is
 25 devoted to the notion of φ -pluriharmonicity and φ -invariance, which are new approaches to investigate the
 26 mixed geodesics of the fibers and generalize the notion of harmonicity.

27 **2. Preliminaries**

28 In this section, we lay the foundation for a comprehensive understanding of Riemannian submersion and almost
 29 product Riemannian manifolds in differential geometry. The preliminary concepts presented here serve as
 30 essential building blocks to grasp the more advanced aspects of these topics. We will introduce key ideas related
 31 to differential geometry, Riemannian metrics, submersions, and some special types of Riemannian manifolds
 32 such as almost product Riemannian manifold and locally product Riemannian manifold. These fundamental
 33 concepts are crucial for comprehending the geometry and properties of Riemannian submersions and will pave
 34 the way for exploring their applications and implications.

35 **2.1. Riemannian submersions**

36 This section is devoted to the basics of Riemannian submersions.

37 Let (M, g) and (N, \bar{g}) be Riemannian manifolds. A surjective mapping $\pi : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, \bar{g})$ is called a
 38 *Riemannian submersion* [9] if

- 39 **i)** π has maximal rank;
- 40 **ii)** the restriction of the differential map π_* on $(\ker \pi_*)^\perp$ is a linear isometry.

1 In this case, we recall the following observations and concepts;

- 2 • For each $q \in N$, $\pi^{-1}(q)$ is a k -dimensional submanifold of M and called a *fiber*, where $k = \dim(M) - \dim(N)$.
- 3
- 4 • A vector field on M is called *vertical* (resp. *horizontal*) if it is always tangent (resp. orthogonal) to fibers.
- 5 • We will denote by \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{H} the projections on the vertical distribution $\ker \pi_*$ and the horizontal distribution $\ker \pi_*^\perp$, respectively.
- 6
- 7 • The manifold (M, g) is called *total manifold* and the manifold (N, \bar{g}) is called *base manifold* of the submersion $\pi : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, \bar{g})$.
- 8
- A vector field X on M is called *basic* if X is horizontal and π -related to a vector field X_* on N , i.e.,

$$\pi_* X_p = X_{*\pi(p)}, \forall p \in M.$$

9 The last fact given above yields the following Lemma [9], which explains the preservation of brackets, inner products, and covariant derivatives;

11 **Lemma 2.1** *Let $\pi : (M, g) \rightarrow (N, \bar{g})$ be a Riemannian submersion between Riemannian manifolds. If X and Y are basic vector fields, then*

- 13 • $g(X, Y) = \bar{g}(X_*, Y_*) \circ \pi$,
- 14 • the horizontal part $\mathcal{H}[X, Y]$ of $[X, Y]$ is a basic vector field corresponding to $[X_*, Y_*]$,
- 15 • the horizontal part $\mathcal{H}(\nabla_X^M Y)$ of $\nabla_X^M Y$ is the basic vector field corresponding to $\nabla_{X_*}^N Y_*$,
- 16 • $[U, X]$ is vertical for any vector field U of $\ker \pi_*$.

17 The geometry of Riemannian submersions is characterized by O'Neill's tensors \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{A} , defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{T}_E G = \mathcal{V} \nabla_{\mathcal{V}E} \mathcal{H}G + \mathcal{H} \nabla_{\mathcal{V}E} \mathcal{V}G, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_E G = \mathcal{V} \nabla_{\mathcal{H}E} \mathcal{H}G + \mathcal{H} \nabla_{\mathcal{H}E} \mathcal{V}G \tag{2.2}$$

19 for any vector fields E and F on M , where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of g . One can see that a Riemannian submersion π has totally geodesic fibers if and only if \mathcal{T} vanishes. On the other side, \mathcal{A} acts on the horizontal distribution and measures the obstruction to the integrability of this distribution. Moreover, \mathcal{T}_E and \mathcal{A}_E are skew-symmetric operators on the tangent bundle of M reversing the vertical and the horizontal distributions.

23 Now we give the properties of the tensor fields \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{A} .

24 Let V, W be vertical and X, Y be horizontal vector fields on M , then we have

$$\mathcal{T}_V W = \mathcal{T}_W V, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_X Y = -\mathcal{A}_Y X = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{V}[X, Y]. \tag{2.4}$$

1 On the other hand, from (2.1) and (2.2), we obtain

$$\nabla_V W = \mathcal{T}_V W + \hat{\nabla}_V W, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\nabla_V X = \mathcal{T}_V X + \mathcal{H}\nabla_V X, \tag{2.6}$$

$$\nabla_X V = \mathcal{A}_X V + \mathcal{V}\nabla_X V, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\nabla_X Y = \mathcal{H}\nabla_X Y + \mathcal{A}_X Y, \tag{2.8}$$

5 where $\hat{\nabla}_V W = \mathcal{V}\nabla_V W$. If X is basic

$$\mathcal{H}\nabla_V X = \mathcal{A}_X V.$$

7 **Remark 2.2** *In this paper, we will assume all horizontal vector fields as basic vector fields.*

8 For more details, we refer to O'Neill's paper [9] and the book [6].

Let π be a C^∞ -map from a Riemannian manifold (M, g) to a Riemannian manifold (N, \bar{g}) . The second fundamental form of π is given by

$$(\nabla\pi_*)(X, Y) = \nabla_X^\pi \pi_* Y - \pi_*(\nabla_X Y) \quad \text{for } X, Y \in \Gamma(TM), \tag{2.9}$$

9 where ∇^π is the pullback connection and we denote conveniently by ∇ the Levi-Civita connections of the
10 metrics g and \bar{g} , [5].

11 If $(\nabla\pi_*)(X, Y) = 0$ for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, π is called a *totally geodesic map*. In particular, if
12 $(\nabla\pi_*)(X, Y) = 0, X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$ for any subset D of TM , π is called a *D-totally geodesic map*, [5].

13 2.2. Almost product Riemannian and locally product Riemannian manifolds

14 An m -dimensional manifold M is called *almost product manifold* if it is equipped with an *almost product*
15 *structure* φ , which is a tensor field of type (1,1) satisfying

$$\varphi^2 = id, (\varphi \neq \pm id) , \tag{2.10}$$

16 denoted by (M, φ) . Also for $E, G \in \Gamma(TM)$, if (M, φ) admits a Riemannian metric g satisfying

$$g(\varphi E, \varphi G) = g(E, G), \tag{2.11}$$

17 then M is said to be an *almost product Riemannian manifold*.

18 Let ∇ be the Riemannian connection with respect to the metric g on M . Then M is called a *locally product*
19 *Riemannian manifold* (briefly, *l.p.R.*) if φ is parallel with respect to the connection, i.e. [19]

$$\nabla\varphi = 0. \tag{2.12}$$

1 **3. Pointwise bi-slant submersions**

2 In this section, we define and study pointwise bi-slant Riemannian submersion in almost product context.

3 **Definition 3.1** Let (M, g, φ) be an almost product Riemannian manifold and (N, \bar{g}) be a Riemannian manifold.
 4 A Riemannian submersion $\pi : (M, g, \varphi) \rightarrow (N, \bar{g})$ is called a pointwise bi-slant Riemannian submersion if the
 5 vertical distribution $\ker \pi_*$ of φ decomposes into two orthogonal complementary (pointwise slant) distributions
 6 \mathcal{D}^{θ_1} and \mathcal{D}^{θ_2} .
 7 In this case, we have the decomposition

$$\ker \pi_* = \mathcal{D}^{\theta_1} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{\theta_2}, \tag{3.1}$$

8 where \mathcal{D}^{θ_i} is a pointwise slant distribution and the angle θ_i between φU and the space $(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_i})_q, (\forall q \in M)$, which
 9 is independent of the choice of nonzero vector $U \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_i})_q$, is called slant function of the pointwise bi-slant
 10 Riemannian submersion, for $i = 1, 2$.

11 Now, we give an example to prove the existence of the pointwise bi-slant Riemannian submersion concept.

Example 3.2 Consider the standard Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^8 with the standard metric g . One can see that

$$\varphi_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_8) = (-x_3, x_4, -x_1, x_2, -x_7, x_8, -x_5, x_6)$$

and

$$\varphi_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_8) = (x_2, x_1, x_4, x_3, x_6, x_5, x_8, x_7)$$

are almost product Riemannian structures on \mathbb{R}^8 , where $\varphi_1 \varphi_2 = -\varphi_2 \varphi_1$. We can define a new almost product Riemannian structure such that

$$\varphi_{1,2} = f\varphi_1 + g\varphi_2,$$

12 where f and g defined by

$$\begin{aligned} f : \mathbb{R}^8 - \{-1\} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_8) &= -\frac{x_1}{\sqrt{(x_1)^2 + 1}} \end{aligned}$$

13

$$\begin{aligned} g : \mathbb{R}^8 &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ g(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_8) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(x_1)^2 + 1}}. \end{aligned}$$

14 Therefore, $(\mathbb{R}^8, \varphi_{1,2}, g)$ is an almost product Riemannian manifold.

Now, let π be a map between \mathbb{R}^8 and \mathbb{R}^4 defined by

$$\pi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_8) = \left(\frac{x_1 - x_3}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{x_2 - x_4}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{x_5 + x_8}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{-x_6 + x_7}{\sqrt{2}} \right).$$

The following decomposition of $\ker \pi_*$

$$\ker \pi_* = \mathcal{D}^{\theta_1} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{\theta_2},$$

1 where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^{\theta_1} &= \text{span}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_4}\right\}, \\ \mathcal{D}^{\theta_2} &= \text{span}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_5} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_8}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_6} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_7}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

shows that π is a pointwise bi-slant submersion with the slant functions

$$\theta_1 = \cos^{-1}(g), \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_2 = \cos^{-1}(-f).$$

2 **Remark 3.3** The following table shows the importance of our work. It gives that pointwise bi-slant submersion
 3 is a generalization of some other submersions defined and studied before in the literature.

Table 1. Subclasses of a pointwise bi-slant Riemannian submersion

$\dim D^{\theta_1}$	$\dim D^{\theta_2}$	Submersion	Reference
$\neq 0$ (θ_1 constant)	0	slant	[16]
$\neq 0$ (θ_1 function)	0	pointwise slant	[4]
$\neq 0$ ($\theta_1 = \frac{\pi}{2}$)	0	anti invariant	[15]
$\neq 0$ ($\theta_1 = 0$)	$\neq 0$ (θ_2 constant)	semi-slant	[7]
$\neq 0$ ($\theta_1 = 0$)	$\neq 0$ (θ_2 function)	pointwise semi-slant	[10]
$\neq 0$ (θ_1 constant)	$\neq 0$ (θ_2 constant)	bi-slant	[11]

4 Let $\pi : (M, g, \varphi) \rightarrow (N, \bar{g})$ be a pointwise bi-slant submersion from an almost product Riemannian
 5 manifold M onto a Riemannian manifold N . Then, for any $V \in \Gamma(\ker \pi_*)$, we may decompose φV into
 6 vertical and horizontal parts:

$$\varphi V = tV + nV, \tag{3.2}$$

7 where $tV \in \Gamma(\ker \pi_*)$ and $nV \in \Gamma(\ker \pi_*^\perp)$. Similarly, for any $\xi \in \Gamma(\ker \pi_*^\perp)$,

$$\varphi \xi = \mathfrak{T}\xi + N\xi, \tag{3.3}$$

8 where $\mathfrak{T}\xi \in \Gamma(\ker \pi_*)$ and $N\xi \in \Gamma(\ker \pi_*^\perp)$.

9 **Remark 3.4** The concept of pointwise bi-slant Riemannian submersion in the complex context was given first
 10 by Sepet, [14], which is a special case of the work of Sayar et al., [12]. In this case, while the canonical structure
 11 t is not symmetric, t^2 shows up symmetric, which yields us to define such submersions. In our current work,
 12 t becomes symmetric and all the results appear different than the previous works done before.
 13 Moreover, the last two sections include the original results, which have not been given in the literature.

14 Under these circumstances, we have the following identities for the canonical structures given above

1 **Lemma 3.5** Let π be a pointwise bi-slant submersion from an almost product Riemannian manifold (M, g, φ)
 2 onto a Riemannian manifold (N, \bar{g}) . Then, we have

$$X = t^2X + \mathfrak{T}nX, \quad (3.4)$$

$$0 = NnX + ntX, \quad (3.5)$$

$$Y = N^2Y + n\mathfrak{T}Y, \quad (3.6)$$

$$0 = t\mathfrak{T}Y + \mathfrak{T}NY, \quad (3.7)$$

3 where $X \in \Gamma(\ker \pi_*)$, $Y \in \Gamma(\ker \pi_*^\perp)$.

4 **Proof** The proof follows from (2.10). □

5 The following lemma gives similar results with the previous one in the case of almost product structure φ is
 6 parallel.

7 **Lemma 3.6** Let π be a pointwise bi-slant submersion from an locally product Riemannian (l.p.R.) manifold
 8 (M, g, φ) onto a Riemannian manifold (N, \bar{g}) . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\nabla}_X tY + \mathcal{T}_X nY &= \mathfrak{T}\mathcal{T}_X Y + t\hat{\nabla}_X Y, \\ \mathcal{T}_X tY + \mathcal{A}_{nY} X &= N\mathcal{T}_X Y + n\hat{\nabla}_X Y, \\ \hat{\nabla}_\beta \mathfrak{T}\xi + \mathcal{A}_\beta N\xi &= \mathfrak{T}\mathcal{H}\nabla_\beta \xi + t\mathcal{A}_\beta \xi, \\ \mathcal{A}_\beta \mathfrak{T}\xi + \mathcal{H}\nabla_\beta N\xi &= N\mathcal{H}\nabla_\beta \xi + n\mathcal{A}_\beta \xi, \\ \hat{\nabla}_\beta tX + \mathcal{A}_\beta nX &= \mathfrak{T}\mathcal{A}_\beta X + t\hat{\nabla}_\beta X, \\ \mathcal{A}_\beta tX + \mathcal{H}\nabla_\beta nX &= N\mathcal{A}_\beta X + n\hat{\nabla}_\beta X, \\ \hat{\nabla}_X \mathfrak{T}\xi + \mathcal{T}_X N\xi &= \mathfrak{T}\mathcal{A}_\xi X + t\mathcal{T}_X \xi, \\ \mathcal{T}_X \mathfrak{T}\xi + \mathcal{A}_{N\xi} X &= N\mathcal{A}_\xi X + n\mathcal{T}_X \xi, \end{aligned}$$

9 where $X, Y \in \Gamma(\ker \pi_*)$ and $\beta, \xi \in \Gamma(\ker \pi_*^\perp)$.

10 **Proof** The proof follows from (2.5) ~ (2.8), (2.12), (3.2), and (3.3). □

11 **Remark 3.7** From now on, we will use the abbreviation l.p.R. for locally product Riemannian.

12 If we consider the pointwise distributions \mathcal{D}^{θ_1} and \mathcal{D}^{θ_2} with the previous Lemma 3.6, we obtain the following
 13 results.

14 **Lemma 3.8** Let π be a pointwise bi-slant submersion from an l.p.R. manifold (M, g, φ) onto a Riemannian
 15 manifold (N, \bar{g}) . Then, for any $V \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_i})$, $i = 1, 2$,

- 16 • $tV \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_i})$,
- 17 • $t^2V = \cos^2(\theta_i)V$,
- 18 • $ntU = -NnU$,

- 1 • $\mathfrak{T}nV = \sin^2(\theta_i)V,$
- 2 • $g(tV, tV) = \cos^2(\theta_i)g(V, V),$
- 3 • $g(nV, nV) = \sin^2(\theta_i)g(V, V).$

4 Now, we give a lemma, which is useful and used throughout our paper.

5 **Lemma 3.9** *Let π be a pointwise bi-slant submersion from an l.p.R. manifold (M, g, φ) onto a Riemannian*
 6 *manifold (N, \bar{g}) . Then, $g(\nabla_X Y, U)$ is equivalent to the followings*

$$- \csc^2 \theta_j \left[g(X, \mathcal{T}_Y n t U + \mathcal{T}_{tY} n U + \mathcal{A}_{nY} n U) \right], \tag{3.8}$$

$$\sec^2 \theta_j \left[g(\hat{\nabla}_X t Y, t U) + g(X, \mathcal{T}_{tU} n Y + \mathcal{T}_Y n t U) \right], \tag{3.9}$$

8 where $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_i})$ and $U \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_j})$, $i \neq j$, $i, j = 1, 2$.

9 **Proof** Let $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_i})$ and $U \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_j})$, $i \neq j$, $i, j = 1, 2$. By using (2.11) and (3.2), we obtain

$$g(\nabla_X Y, U) = g(\varphi \nabla_X Y, t U) + g(\varphi \nabla_X Y, n U). \tag{3.10}$$

10 The first expression on the right side of (3.10) with Lemma 3.8 gives

$$\begin{aligned} g(\varphi \nabla_X Y, t U) &= g(\nabla_X Y, t^2 U) + g(\nabla_X Y, n t U) \\ &= \cos^2 \theta_j g(\nabla_X Y, U) + g(T_X Y, n t U), \end{aligned}$$

which yields with (3.10), (2.5), and the symmetry of the product structure φ

$$\sin^2 \theta_j g(\nabla_X Y, U) = g(T_X Y, n t U) + g(T_X t Y, n U) + g(\mathcal{A}_{nY} X, n U)$$

11 shows (3.8).

12 On the other side, the second expression on the right side of (3.10) with the symmetry of the product
 13 structure φ , (3.3), and Lemma 3.8 gives

$$\begin{aligned} g(\varphi \nabla_X Y, n U) &= g(\nabla_X Y, \mathfrak{T} n U) + g(\nabla_X Y, N n U) \\ &= \sin^2 \theta_j g(\nabla_X Y, U) + g(T_X Y, N n U), \end{aligned}$$

which yields with (3.10), (3.2), (2.5), and the symmetry of the product structure φ

$$\cos^2 \theta_j g(\nabla_X Y, U) = g(\hat{\nabla}_X t Y, t U) + g(T_X n Y, t U) + g(T_X Y, N n U)$$

14 completes the proof. □

1 **3.1. Integrability**

2 In the case of studying a submersion, a natural question would be *integrability conditions*. In this section, we
 3 work the integrability conditions for the pointwise slant distributions \mathcal{D}^{θ_i} , $i = 1, 2$ and horizontal distribution
 4 $\ker \pi_*^\perp$, respectively.

5
 6 The following theorem gives some conditions for the integrability of pointwise distributions \mathcal{D}^{θ_i} .

7 **Theorem 3.10** *Let π be a pointwise bi-slant submersion from an l.p.R. manifold (M, g, φ) onto a Riemannian*
 8 *manifold (N, \bar{g}) . Then, the following conditions are equivalent to each other*

9 *i) the pointwise distribution \mathcal{D}^{θ_i} is integrable,*

10 *ii) $g(T_{tX}Y - T_{tY}X, nU) = g(A_{nY}X - A_{nX}Y)$,*

11 *iii) $g(tU, \hat{\nabla}_X tY - \hat{\nabla}_Y tX) = g(T_Y nX - T_X nY, tU)$,*

12 *where $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_i})$ and $U \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_j})$, $i \neq j$, $i, j = 1, 2$.*

13 **Proof** Let $X, Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_i})$ and $U \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_j})$, $i \neq j$, $i, j = 1, 2$. The pointwise slant distribution \mathcal{D}^{θ_i} is integrable
 14 if and only if $[X, Y] \in \mathcal{D}^{\theta_i}$, i.e. $[X, Y] \perp \mathcal{D}^{\theta_j}$. The equation (3.8) yields

$$g([X, Y], U) = -\csc^2 \theta_j (g(T_{tY}nU, X) - g(T_{tX}nU, Y) + g(A_{nY}nU, X) - g(A_{nX}nU, Y)),$$

15 which proves **i) \Leftrightarrow ii)**. On the other side, (3.9) gives

$$g([X, Y], U) = \sec^2 \theta_j (g(\hat{\nabla}_X tY, tU) + g(X, T_{tU}nY) - g(\hat{\nabla}_Y tX, tU) - g(Y, T_{tU}nX)),$$

16 which helps to prove **i) \Leftrightarrow iii)**, and completes the proof. □

17 **Remark 3.11** *Since for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(\ker \pi_*)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} [X, Y] &= \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X \\ &= T_X Y + \hat{\nabla}_X Y - T_Y X - \hat{\nabla}_Y X \\ &= \hat{\nabla}_X Y - \hat{\nabla}_Y X \Rightarrow [X, Y] \in \ker \pi_*, \end{aligned}$$

it is known that $\ker \pi_$ is always integrable.*

On the other side, for any $\xi, \beta \in \Gamma(\ker \pi_^\perp)$, the relation (2.4)*

$$\mathcal{A}_\xi \beta = -\mathcal{A}_\beta \xi = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{V}[\xi, \beta]$$

18 *gives that $\ker \pi_*^\perp$ is integrable if and only if it defines totally geodesic foliations on M .*

Theorem 3.12 *Let π be a pointwise bi-slant submersion from an l.p.R. manifold (M, g, φ) onto a Riemannian manifold (N, \bar{g}) . Then, the horizontal distribution $\ker\pi_*^\perp$ is integrable and totally geodesic if and only if*

$$\mathfrak{T}(A_\alpha \mathfrak{T}\xi + \mathcal{H}\nabla_\alpha N\xi) + t(\mathcal{V}\nabla_\alpha \mathfrak{T}\xi + A_\alpha N\xi) = 0,$$

1 where $\alpha, \xi \in \Gamma(\ker\pi_*^\perp)$.

2 **Proof** Let $\alpha, \xi \in \Gamma(\ker\pi_*^\perp)$. The parallelism of the almost product structure φ , (2.6), (2.8), (3.2), and (3.3)
3 give

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\alpha \xi &= \varphi \nabla_\alpha \varphi \xi \\ &= \mathfrak{T}(A_\alpha \mathfrak{T}\xi + \mathcal{H}\nabla_\alpha N\xi) + N(A_\alpha \mathfrak{T}\xi + \mathcal{H}\nabla_\alpha N\xi) \\ &\quad + t(\mathcal{V}\nabla_\alpha \mathfrak{T}\xi + A_\alpha N\xi) + n(\mathcal{V}\nabla_\alpha \mathfrak{T}\xi + A_\alpha N\xi), \end{aligned}$$

4 which completes the proof. □

5 3.2. Totally geodesics

6 The concept of totally geodesic fibers is essential in understanding the geometric and topological properties
7 of the submersions, providing insights into the relationship between the base space and the total space and
8 revealing the presence of symmetries and isometries. This section is devoted for the geodesics of a pointwise
9 bi-slant Riemannian submersion.

10

11 The first result is for the geodesics of the vertical distribution $\ker\pi_*$.

12 **Theorem 3.13** *Let π be a pointwise bi-slant submersion from an l.p.R. manifold (M, g, φ) onto a Riemannian
13 manifold (N, \bar{g}) . Then, the following are equivalent to each other*

14 *i) the vertical distribution $\ker\pi_*$ defines totally geodesic fibers,*

ii) for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(\ker\pi_)$,*

$$N(T_X tY + A_{nY} X) + n(\hat{\nabla}_X tY + T_X nY) = 0,$$

15 *iii) for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(\ker\pi_*)$, $\xi \in \Gamma(\ker\pi_*^\perp)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}((\nabla\pi_*)(X, \mathfrak{T}\xi), \pi_*(nY)) &= \bar{g}((\nabla\pi_*)(X, tY), \pi_*(N\xi)) + g(\hat{\nabla}_X tY, \mathfrak{T}\xi) \\ &\quad + g(\mathcal{H}\nabla_X nY, N\xi). \end{aligned}$$

16 **Proof** To show *i) \Leftrightarrow ii)*, for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(\ker\pi_*)$, we need to prove $T_X Y = 0$, i.e. $\hat{\nabla}_X Y \in \ker\pi_*$. By (2.5),
17 (2.6), (2.12), (3.2), and (3.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X Y &= \varphi \nabla_X \varphi Y \\ &= \mathfrak{T}(T_X tY + A_{nY} X) + N(T_X tY + A_{nY} X) \\ &\quad + t(\hat{\nabla}_X tY + T_X nY) + n(\hat{\nabla}_X tY + T_X nY). \end{aligned}$$

1 Since $\mathcal{V}\nabla_X Y = \hat{\nabla}_X Y \in \ker\pi_*$, i.e. $\mathcal{H}\nabla_X Y = 0$, considering the horizontal part of the last equation, we get **i)**
 2 \Leftrightarrow **ii)**.
 3 Now, another approach to show the vertical distribution $\ker\pi_*$ defines totally geodesic fibers is for any
 4 $X, Y \in \Gamma(\ker\pi_*)$, and $\xi \in \Gamma(\ker\pi_*^\perp)$, $\hat{\nabla}_X Y \perp \xi$, i.e. $g(\hat{\nabla}_X Y, \xi) = 0$. Using (2.5), (2.6), (2.9), (3.2), and
 5 (3.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(\hat{\nabla}_X Y, \xi) &= g(\nabla_X \varphi Y, \varphi \xi) \\ &= g(\hat{\nabla}_X tY, \mathfrak{T}\xi) + g(\mathcal{H}\nabla_X tY, N\xi) - g(\nabla_X \mathfrak{T}\xi, nY) \\ &\quad + g(\mathcal{H}\nabla_X nY, N\xi) \\ &= g(\hat{\nabla}_X tY, \mathfrak{T}\xi) - \bar{g}((\nabla\pi_*)(X, tY), \pi_*(N\xi)) \\ &\quad + \bar{g}((\nabla\pi_*)(X, \mathfrak{T}\xi), \pi_*(nY)) + g(\mathcal{H}\nabla_X nY, N\xi), \end{aligned}$$

6 which shows **i) \Leftrightarrow iii)**, completes the proof. □

7 By Remark 3.11 and Theorem 3.13, we give the following result.

Corollary 3.14 *Let π be a pointwise bi-slant submersion from an l.p.R. manifold (M, g, φ) onto a Riemannian manifold (N, \bar{g}) . Then, M is a locally product*

$$M_{\ker\pi_*} \times M_{\ker\pi_*^\perp}$$

8 *if and only if Theorem 3.12 and one of the conditions in Theorem 3.13 are satisfied, where $M_{\ker\pi_*}$ and $M_{\ker\pi_*^\perp}$*
 9 *are integral manifolds of the distributions $\ker\pi_*$, $\ker\pi_*^\perp$, respectively.*

10 The following result is another point of view on Theorem 3.12 and Theorem 3.13.

11 **Theorem 3.15** *Let π be a pointwise bi-slant submersion from an l.p.R. manifold (M, g, φ) onto a Riemannian*
 12 *manifold (N, \bar{g}) . Then, π is a totally geodesic map if and only if Theorem 3.12 and at least one of the conditions*
 13 *in Theorem 3.13 are satisfied, where $M_{\ker\pi_*}$ and $M_{\ker\pi_*^\perp}$ are integral manifolds of the distributions $\ker\pi_*$,*
 14 *$\ker\pi_*^\perp$, respectively.*

15 Our subsequent interest is the geodesics of the components of the fibers, in other words the geodesics of
 16 the pointwise slant distributions D^{θ_i} , $i = 1, 2$.

17 **Theorem 3.16** *Let π be a pointwise bi-slant submersion from an l.p.R. manifold (M, g, φ) onto a Riemannian*
 18 *manifold (N, \bar{g}) . Then, the followings are equivalent to each other*

19 **i)** *the pointwise slant distribution D^{θ_i} defines totally geodesic fibers on the vertical distribution $\ker\pi_*$,*
 20 *$(i = 1, 2)$,*

21 **ii)** $g(X, T_Y nU + T_t Y nU + A_{nY} nU) = 0$,

22 **iii)** $g(\hat{\nabla}_X tY, tU) + g(X, \mathcal{T}_{tU} nY + \mathcal{T}_Y nU) = 0$,

23 **iv)** $g(\hat{\nabla}_X tY, tU) + \bar{g}((\nabla\pi_*)(X, tU), \pi_*(nY)) = \bar{g}((\nabla\pi_*)(X, \varphi Y), \pi_*(nU))$,

1 where $X, Y \in \Gamma(D^{\theta_i}), U \in \Gamma(D^{\theta_j}), i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2$.

2 **Proof** The relation **i**) \Leftrightarrow **ii**) and **i**) \Leftrightarrow **iii**) follow from (3.8) and (3.9), respectively.

3 For the relation **i**) \Leftrightarrow **iv**), let $X, Y \in \Gamma(D^{\theta_i}), U \in \Gamma(D^{\theta_j}), i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2$. By using (2.5), (2.6), (2.9), (2.11),
4 and (2.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(\hat{\nabla}_X Y, U) &= g(\nabla_X tY, tU) + g(\nabla_X tY, nU) \\ &\quad + g(\nabla_X nY, tU) + g(\nabla_X nY, nU) \\ &= g(\hat{\nabla}_X tY, tU) + \bar{g}((\nabla \pi_*)(X, tU), \pi_*(nY)) \\ &\quad - \bar{g}((\nabla \pi_*)(X, \varphi Y), \pi_*(nU)), \end{aligned}$$

5 which gives **i**) \Leftrightarrow **iv**), and completes the proof. □

6 As a result of Theorem 3.16, we give the following corollary.

Corollary 3.17 *Let π be a pointwise bi-slant submersion from an l.p.R. manifold (M, g, φ) onto a Riemannian manifold (N, \bar{g}) . Then, the fibers are a locally product*

$$M_{D^{\theta_1}} \times M_{D^{\theta_2}}$$

7 *if and only if one of the conditions in Theorem 3.16 is satisfied for each pointwise distribution D^{θ_1} and D^{θ_2} ,*
8 *where $M_{D^{\theta_1}}$ and $M_{D^{\theta_2}}$ are integral manifolds of the distributions $D^{\theta_1}, D^{\theta_2}$, respectively.*

9 3.3. φ -pluriharmonicity of π

10 The intriguing concept of pluriharmonicity plays a crucial role in understanding the behavior of functions over
11 higher-dimensional domains and has significant applications in various branches of mathematics and physics.
12 In this section, we investigate the φ -pluriharmonicity of a pointwise bi-slant submersion π .

13 First we give the following definition.

14 **Definition 3.18** [3] *Let π be a pointwise bi-slant Riemannian submersion from an l.p.R. manifold (M, φ, g)
15 onto a Riemannian manifold (N, \bar{g}) .*

16 *π is called*

- 17 • D^{θ_i} - φ -pluriharmonic if for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D^{\theta_i}), i = 1, 2$,
- 18 • $(D^{\theta_i} - D^{\theta_j})$ - φ -pluriharmonic if for any $X \in \Gamma(D^{\theta_i}), Y \in \Gamma(D^{\theta_j}), i, j = 1, 2, i \neq j$,
- 19 • $(\ker \pi_* - \ker \pi_*^\perp)$ - φ -pluriharmonic if for any $X \in \Gamma(\ker \pi_*), Y \in \Gamma(\ker \pi_*^\perp)$,

$$(\nabla \pi_*)(X, Y) + (\nabla \pi_*)(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = 0. \tag{3.11}$$

20 The following theorem gives a result in the case of π is D^{θ_i} - φ -pluriharmonic.

21 **Theorem 3.19** *Let π be a D^{θ_i} - φ -pluriharmonic pointwise bi-slant Riemannian submersion from an l.p.R.
22 manifold (M, φ, g) onto a Riemannian manifold (N, \bar{g}) . Then, the submersion π is a nD^{θ_i} -geodesic map if*

1 and only if

$$N(\cos^2(\theta_i)T_{tX}Y + T_{tX}ntY) + T_XY + A_{nY}tX + A_{nX}tY \\ + n(-\sin(2\theta_i)tX(\theta_i)Y + A_{ntY}tX + \cos^2(\theta_i)\hat{\nabla}_{tX}Y) = 0,$$

2 where $X, Y \in \Gamma(D^{\theta_i})$, $i \neq j$, $i, j = 1, 2$.

Proof Assumption gives, for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D^{\theta_i})$, $i \neq j$, $i, j = 1, 2$,

$$(\nabla\pi_*)(X, Y) + (\nabla\pi_*)(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = 0.$$

3 By using (2.5), (2.6), (2.9), (2.10), (2.12), (3.2), (3.3), and Lemma 3.8, we have

$$0 = -\pi_*(T_XY + A_{nY}tX + A_{nX}tY) + ((\nabla\pi_*)(nX, nY)) \\ -\pi_*(\varphi(\nabla_{tX}t^2Y + \nabla_{tX}ntY)) \\ \Rightarrow ((\nabla\pi_*)(nX, nY)) = \pi_*\left(T_XY + A_{nY}tX + A_{nX}tY - \sin(2\theta_i)tX(\theta_i)nY \right. \\ \left. + \cos^2(\theta_i)NT_{tX}Y + \cos^2(\theta_i)n\hat{\nabla}_{tX}Y + NT_{tX}ntY + nA_{ntY}tX\right),$$

4 which completes the proof. □

5 We recall the definition of *mixed geodesic*; given two distributions D^1 and D^2 defined on the fibers of a
6 Riemannian submersion π , the fibers are called $(D^1 - D^2)$ - *mixed geodesic* if $T_{D^1}D^2 = 0$.

7 $(D^{\theta_1} - D^{\theta_2}) - \varphi$ -pluriharmonicity of the pointwise bi-slant submersion gives the following result.

8 **Theorem 3.20** Let π be a $(D^{\theta_1} - D^{\theta_2}) - \varphi$ -pluriharmonic pointwise bi-slant Riemannian submersion from
9 an l.p.R. manifold (M, φ, g) onto a Riemannian manifold (N, \bar{g}) . Then, the followings are equivalent to each
10 other

11 *i*) the fibers are $(D^{\theta_1} - D^{\theta_2})$ -mixed geodesic,

12 *ii*) $\nabla_{\pi_*(\varphi X)}^N \pi_*(\varphi U) = \pi_*(T_{tX}tU + A_{nU}tX + A_{nX}tU + \mathcal{H}\nabla_{nX}nU)$,

iii)

$$\nabla_{\pi_*(\varphi X)}^N \pi_*(\varphi U) = \pi_*\left(n(-\sin(2\theta_2)tX(\theta_2)U + \cos^2(\theta_2)\hat{\nabla}_{tX}U + T_{tX}ntU) \right. \\ \left. + N(\cos^2(\theta_2)T_{tX}U + A_{ntU}tX) + T_{tX}tU + A_{nU}tX\right),$$

13 where $X \in \Gamma(D^{\theta_1})$ and $U \in \Gamma(D^{\theta_2})$.

Proof The $(D^{\theta_1} - D^{\theta_2}) - \varphi$ -pluriharmonicity yields, for any $X \in \Gamma(D^{\theta_1})$, $U \in \Gamma(D^{\theta_2})$,

$$(\nabla\pi_*)(X, U) + (\nabla\pi_*)(\varphi X, \varphi U) = 0,$$

1 which gives with (2.9), (3.2), and (3.3)

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\pi_*(\nabla_X U) &= \pi_*(\nabla_{tX} tU) - \pi_*(\nabla_{tX} nU) - \pi_*(\nabla_{nX} tU) \\
 &\quad + \nabla_{\pi_*(\varphi X)}^N \pi_*(\varphi U) - \pi_*(\nabla_{nX} nU) = 0.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.12}$$

2 By using (2.5) ~ (2.8), we prove the relation **i**) \Leftrightarrow **ii**).

3 To show **i**) \Leftrightarrow **iii**), we consider the second term in (3.12), which can be expressed with the help of (2.12), (3.2),
 4 (3.3), and Lemma 3.8 as

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\pi_*(\nabla_{tX} tU) &= -\pi_*(\varphi(\nabla_{tX} t^2 U) + \nabla_{tX} n t U) \\
 &= -\pi_*(\varphi(\nabla_{tX} (\cos^2(\theta_2) U) + \nabla_{tX} n t U)) \\
 &= -\pi_*(\varphi(-\sin(2\theta_2) t X(\theta_2) U + \cos^2(\theta_2) T_{tX} U + \cos^2(\theta_2) \hat{\nabla}_{tX} U) \\
 &\quad + \varphi(T_{tX} n t U + A_{n t} U t X)).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.13}$$

5 Thus, if we consider (3.12) and (3.13) together, we prove the relation **i**) \Leftrightarrow **iii**), which completes the proof. \square

6 The last result is in the case of $(ker \pi_* - ker \pi_*^\perp) - \varphi$ -pluriharmonicity of a pointwise bi-slant submersion.

Theorem 3.21 *Let π be a $(ker \pi_* - ker \pi_*^\perp) - \varphi$ -pluriharmonic pointwise bi-slant Riemannian submersion from an l.p.R. manifold (M, φ, g) onto a Riemannian manifold (N, \bar{g}) . Then, the fibers are $(ker \pi_* - ker \pi_*^\perp)$ -mixed geodesic if and only if*

$$\nabla_{\pi_*(\varphi X)}^N \pi_*(\varphi \xi) = \pi_*(T_{tX} \mathfrak{T} \xi + A_{N \xi} t X + A_{n X} \mathfrak{T} \xi + \mathcal{H} \nabla_{n X} N \xi),$$

7 where $X \in \Gamma(ker \pi_*)$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(ker \pi_*^\perp)$.

Proof Since the submersion π is $(ker \pi_* - ker \pi_*^\perp) - \varphi$ -pluriharmonic, for any $X \in \Gamma(ker \pi_*)$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(ker \pi_*^\perp)$, we have

$$(\nabla \pi_*)(X, \xi) + (\nabla \pi_*)(\varphi X, \varphi \xi) = 0.$$

8 By using (2.5) ~ (2.8), (2.9), (3.2), and (3.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \pi_*(\nabla_X \xi) &= \pi_*(\nabla_{tX} \mathfrak{T} \xi) + \pi_*(\nabla_{tX} N \xi) + \pi_*(\nabla_{nX} \mathfrak{T} \xi) + \pi_*(\nabla_{nX} N \xi) \\
 &\quad - \nabla_{\pi_*(\varphi X)}^N \pi_*(\varphi \xi),
 \end{aligned}$$

9 which completes the theorem. \square

10 **Remark 3.22** *The notion of a pluriharmonic map is a generalization of the idea of a harmonic map. Here it*
 11 *helps us to understand when the fibers are either geodesic or mixed geodesic. This is a new approach that makes*
 12 *our section important.*

13 3.4. φ -invariant and totally geodesics

14 In this section, we find some conditions for a pointwise bi-slant submersion to be the φ -invariant of the
 15 distributions on the total space.

16 We give the following concept, which helps to provide new conditions for some other concepts studied
 17 before.

1 **Definition 3.23** [3] Let π be an pointwise bi-slant Riemannian submersion from an l.p.R. manifold (M, φ, g)
 2 onto a Riemannian manifold (N, \bar{g}) .

3 Then, π is called

- 4 • $D^{\theta_i} - \varphi$ -invariant if for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D^{\theta_i})$,
- 5 • $(D^{\theta_i} - D^{\theta_j}) - \varphi$ -invariant if for any $X \in \Gamma(D^{\theta_i}), Y \in \Gamma(D^{\theta_j}), i, j = 1, 2, i \neq j$,
- 6 • $(ker\pi_* - ker\pi_*^\perp) - \varphi$ -invariant if for any $X \in \Gamma(ker\pi_*), Y \in \Gamma(ker\pi_*^\perp)$,

$$(\nabla\pi_*)(X, Y) = (\nabla\pi_*)(\varphi X, \varphi Y). \quad (3.14)$$

7 Now, we give a result of π being $D^{\theta_i} - \varphi$ -invariant, $i, j = 1, 2, i \neq j$.

Theorem 3.24 Let π be a $D^{\theta_i} - \varphi$ -invariant pointwise bi-slant Riemannian submersion from an l.p.R. manifold (M, φ, g) onto a Riemannian manifold (N, \bar{g}) . Then, the fibers are D^{θ_i} -geodesic if and only if

$$\nabla_{\pi_*(\varphi X)}^N \pi_*(\varphi Y) = \pi_*(T_{tX}tY + A_{nY}tX + A_{nX}tY + \mathcal{H}\nabla_{nX}nY),$$

8 where $X, Y \in \Gamma(D^{\theta_i}), i = 1, 2, i \neq j$.

Proof The φ -invariance of the submersion π yields, for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D^{\theta_i}), i = 1, 2, i \neq j$,

$$(\nabla\pi_*)(X, Y) = (\nabla\pi_*)(\varphi X, \varphi Y),$$

9 with the help of (2.5) ~ (2.8), (2.9), (3.2), and (3.3), we see

$$\begin{aligned} -\pi_*(\nabla_X Y) &= -\pi_*(\nabla_{tX}tY) - \pi_*(\nabla_{tX}nY) - \pi_*(\nabla_{nX}tY) - \pi_*(\nabla_{nX}nY) \\ &= -\pi_*(T_{tX}tY + A_{nY}tX + A_{nX}tY + \mathcal{H}\nabla_{nX}nY) + \nabla_{\pi_*(\varphi X)}^N \pi_*(\varphi Y) \end{aligned}$$

10 and completes the proof. □

11 Next result gives a relation between $(D^{\theta_1} - D^{\theta_2}) - \varphi$ -invariance and $(D^{\theta_1} - D^{\theta_2})$ -mixed geodesics of
 12 a pointwise bi-slant submersion.

Theorem 3.25 Let π be a $(D^{\theta_1} - D^{\theta_2}) - \varphi$ -invariant pointwise bi-slant Riemannian submersion from an l.p.R. manifold (M, φ, g) onto a Riemannian manifold (N, \bar{g}) . Then, the fibers are $(D^{\theta_1} - D^{\theta_2})$ -mixed geodesics if and only if

$$(\nabla\pi_*)(nX, nU) = \pi_*(T_{tX}tU + A_{nU}tX + A_{nX}tU),$$

13 where $X \in \Gamma(D^{\theta_1})$ and $U \in \Gamma(D^{\theta_2})$.

Proof The $(D^{\theta_1} - D^{\theta_2}) - \varphi$ -invariance of the submersion π gives

$$(\nabla\pi_*)(X, U) = (\nabla\pi_*)(\varphi X, \varphi U),$$

from which we obtain with (2.5) ~ (2.7), (2.9), (3.2), and (3.3)

$$-\pi_*(\nabla_X U) = -\pi_*(T_{tX}tU + A_{nU}tX + A_{nX}tU) + (\nabla\pi_*)(X, U).$$

- 1 This completes the proof. □
 2 The last result for φ -invariance is a relation between $(ker\pi_* - ker\pi_*^\perp)$ - φ -invariance and $(ker\pi_* - ker\pi_*^\perp)$ -mixed
 3 geodesics.

Theorem 3.26 *Let π be a $(ker\pi_* - ker\pi_*^\perp)$ - φ -invariant pointwise bi-slant Riemannian submersion from an l.p.R. manifold (M, φ, g) onto a Riemannian manifold (N, \bar{g}) . Then, the fibres are $(ker\pi_* - ker\pi_*^\perp)$ -mixed geodesics if and only if*

$$(\nabla\pi_*)(nX, N\xi) = \pi_*(T_{tX}\mathfrak{I}\xi + A_{N\xi}tX + A_{nX}\mathfrak{I}\xi),$$

- 4 where $X \in \Gamma(ker\pi_*)$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(ker\pi_*^\perp)$.

Proof Since π is a $(ker\pi_* - ker\pi_*^\perp)$ - φ -invariant pointwise bi-slant Riemannian submersion, for any $X \in \Gamma(ker\pi_*)$ and $\xi \in \Gamma(ker\pi_*^\perp)$, we have

$$(\nabla\pi_*)(X, \xi) = (\nabla\pi_*)(\varphi X, \varphi\xi).$$

By using (2.5) \sim (2.7), (3.2), and (3.3), we have

$$-\pi_*(\nabla_X\xi) = (\nabla\pi_*)(nX, N\xi) - \pi_*(T_{tX}\mathfrak{I}\xi + A_{N\xi}tX + A_{nX}\mathfrak{I}\xi),$$

- 5 which completes the proof. □
 6 **Remark 3.27** *In this last section, we give another new approach for the mixed geodesics of the fibers by*
 7 *considering the notion of φ -invariance.*

8 4. Conclusion

9 In this study, we have investigated the properties of a pointwise bi-slant Riemannian submersion originating
 10 from an almost product Riemannian manifold. Our analysis focused on the integrability and geodesic conditions
 11 of the fibers, shedding light on the intricate interplay between these structures. Additionally, we introduced
 12 a novel concept of φ -pluriharmonicity and explored its implications in the context of the submersion. This
 13 new notion brings forth intriguing possibilities for understanding the behavior of the submersion in a broader
 14 context. Furthermore, we examined the φ -invariance of the submersion, which allows us to identify particular
 15 symmetries and transformations that leave the submersion unchanged. Our findings contribute to understanding
 16 pointwise bi-slant Riemannian submersions and extend the knowledge of Riemannian geometry. This work opens
 17 up new avenues for research and may have implications in various applications across mathematics and physics.

18 Acknowledgment

19 The research of this project **Pointwise Bi-Slant Riemannian Submersions** was done during the Summer
 20 2023 REU program "AMRPU @ FIU" that took place at the Department of Mathematics and Statistics,
 21 Florida International University, and Grayson LIGHT and Cem SAYAR were supported by the NSF (REU
 22 Site) grant DMS-2050971, Mehmet Akif AKYOL was supported by the Scientific and Technological Council of
 23 Türkiye (TUBITAK) with the project number 121F277. In particular, support of Jose Medel and Diego Castro
 24 came from the above grant. The most considerable appreciation is for the excellent person Prof. Svetlana
 25 Roudenko, who guided and supported us during that research.

References

- 1 [1] Akyol MA. Generic Riemannian submersions from almost product Riemannian manifolds. Gazi University Journal
2 of Science 2017; 30 (3): 89-100.
- 3 [2] Akyol MA, Sarı R. On semi-slant ξ^\perp -Riemannian submersions. Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics 2017; 14:
4 1-20. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00009-017-1035-2>
- 5 [3] Akyol MA, Sayar C. Pointwise Hemi-Slant Riemannian Submersions. Ukrainian Mathematical Journal 2024; 1-20.
6 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11253-024-02273-3>
- 7 [4] Aykurt SA, Ergüt M. Pointwise slant submersions from cosymplectic manifolds. Turkish Journal of Mathematics
8 2016; 40 (3): 582-593. <https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1503-98>
- 9 [5] Baird P, Wood JC. Harmonic morphisms between Riemannian manifolds. Clarendon Press, Oxford 2003.
- 10 [6] Falcitelli M, Ianus S, Pastore AM. Riemannian submersions and related topics, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ
11 2004.
- 12 [7] Gündüzalp Y. Semi-slant submersions from almost product Riemannian manifolds. Demonstratio Mathematica
13 2016; 49 (3): 345-356. <https://doi.org/10.1515/dema-2016-0029>
- 14 [8] Kumar S, Vanli AT, Kumar S, Prasad R, Conformal quasi bi-slant submersions. Annals of the Alexandru Ioan Cuza
15 University-Mathematics 2022; 68(2): 167-184. <https://doi.org/10.47743/anstim.2022.00013>
- 16 [9] O'Neill B. The fundamental equations of a submersion. Michigan Mathematical Journal 1966; 13 (4): 458-469.
- 17 [10] Sayar C, Özdemir F, Taştan HM. Pointwise semi-slant submersions whose total manifolds are locally product
18 Riemannian manifolds. International Journal of Maps in Mathematics 2018; 1 (1): 91-115.
- 19 [11] Sayar C, Akyol MA, Prasad R. Bi-slant submersions in complex geometry. International Journal of Geometric
20 Methods in Modern Physics 2020; 17 (04): 2050055. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219887820500553>
- 21 [12] Sayar C, Taştan HM, Özdemir F, Tripathi MM. Generic submersions from Kaehler manifolds. Bulletin of the
22 Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society 2020; 43: 809-831. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40840-018-00716-2>
- 23 [13] Sayar C. Generalized skew semi-invariant submersions. Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics 2020; 17 (3): 81.
24 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00009-020-01520-8>
- 25 [14] Sepet SA. Pointwise bi-slant submersions, Celal Bayar University Journal of Science 2020; 16 (3): 339-343. <https://doi.org/10.18466/cbayarfb.683771>
- 26 [15] Şahin B. Anti-invariant Riemannian submersions from almost Hermitian manifolds. Open Mathematics 2010; 8 (3):
27 437-447. <https://doi.org/10.2478/s11533-010-0023-6>
- 28 [16] Şahin B. Slant submersions from almost Hermitian manifolds. Bulletin Mathématique de la Société des Sciences
29 Mathématiques de Roumanie 2011; 54 (1): 93-105.
- 30 [17] Şahin B. Riemannian submersions, Riemannian maps in Hermitian Geometry and their applications, Elsevier,
31 Academic Press 2017.
- 32 [18] Watson B. Almost Hermitian submersions. Journal of Differential Geometry 1976; 11 (1): 147-165.
- 33 [19] Yano K, Kon M. Structures on manifolds. World Scientific, Singapore 1984.