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#### Abstract

This paper explores the ideals and their structural properties in two generalizations of the partial transformation semigroup. Furthermore, principal, maximal, and minimal ideals within these semigroups are elucidated.
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## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let $S$ be a semigroup, and let $S^{1}$ denote a semigroup obtained from $S$ by adding an identity element if $S$ lacks one. If $S$ already contains an identity element, then $S^{1}$ is equivalent to $S$. For a nonempty subset $I$ of $S$, the term ideal is assigned to $I$ if both $S I$ and $I S$ are subsets of $I$. If $a \in S$, the smallest ideal of $S$ containing $a$ is identified as $S^{1} a S^{1}$ and is referred to as the principal ideal generated by $a$. Moreover, an ideal $I$ is considered minimal if there is no ideal $J$ such that $J \subsetneq I$. Conversely, an ideal $I$ is deemed maximal if there is no ideal $J$ such that $I \subsetneq J \subsetneq S$.

Consider a nonempty set $X$, and let $T(X)$ represent the full transformation semigroup on $X$ under the composition of functions. Within semigroup theory, the semigroup $T(X)$ holds paramount significance as it serves as a foundational framework, allowing any semigroup to be viewed as an isomorphic subsemigroup. A comprehensive exploration of $T(X)$ has revealed numerous fundamental properties, and substantial research efforts have been dedicated to investigating various specific subsemigroups within the structure.

Henceforth, the cardinality of any set $A$ will be denoted by $|A|$. In 1952, Malcev [15] demonstrated that the ideals in $T(X)$ precisely take the form

$$
T_{r}=\{\alpha \in T(X):|X \alpha|<r\}
$$

where $2 \leq r \leq|X|^{\prime}$, and $|X|^{\prime}$ represents the minimum cardinality greater than $|X|$. It is evident that the ideals in $T(X)$ form a chain under set inclusion. Over the years, the concept of full transformation semigroups has
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experienced significant growth, incorporating and building upon earlier discoveries. A well-recognized extension of $T(X)$ is represented by the semigroups $\bar{T}(X, Y)$ and $F i x(X, Y)$, where $Y$ is a subset of $X$. These are defined as follows:

$$
\bar{T}(X, Y)=\{\alpha \in T(X): Y \alpha \subseteq Y\} \text { and } F i x(X, Y)=\{\alpha \in T(X): y \alpha=y \text { for all } y \in Y\}
$$

Since $\bar{T}(X, X)=T(X)$ and $F i x(X, \emptyset)=T(X)$, both are considered generalizations of $T(X)$. Specifically, all three aforementioned semigroups contain $i d_{X}$, the identity map on $X$, as an identity element. Furthermore, it holds that $F i x(X, Y) \subseteq \bar{T}(X, Y) \subseteq T(X)$, with the inclusion being strictly observed in general.

The exploration of $\bar{T}(X, Y)$ was initiated by Magill [14] in 1966, while Honyam and Sanwong [12] delved into $\operatorname{Fix}(X, Y)$ in 2013. Extensive examination of the algebraic properties of these semigroups has been undertaken. For $\bar{T}(X, Y)$, please refer to $[3,6,10,11,16,21,23,24]$. For $F i x(X, Y)$, consult $[1,2,4,12,17,18]$. Additionally, Honyam and Sanwong determined the ideals of both $\bar{T}(X, Y)$ and $F i x(X, Y)$ in [10] and [12], respectively. For the semigroup $\bar{T}(X, Y)$, its ideals precisely consist of sets in the form

$$
K(Z)=\{\alpha \in \bar{T}(X, Y):|X \alpha| \leq|X \beta|,|Y \alpha| \leq|Y \beta|, \text { and }|X \alpha \backslash Y| \leq|X \beta \backslash Y| \text { for some } \beta \in Z\}
$$

where $\emptyset \neq Z \subseteq \bar{T}(X, Y)$. Concerning the semigroup $\operatorname{Fix}(X, Y)$, its ideals are exactly the sets

$$
\text { Fix }_{r}=\{\alpha \in \operatorname{Fix}(X, Y):|X \alpha \backslash Y|<r\},
$$

where $1 \leq r \leq|X \backslash Y|^{\prime}$. The ideals in $\operatorname{Fix}(X, Y)$ form a chain under set inclusion, whereas the ideals in $\bar{T}(X, Y)$ do not.

Consider $P(X)$, the semigroup comprising all partial transformations on $X$ under the composition of functions. It is noteworthy that the three previously mentioned transformation semigroups are strictly encompassed within $P(X)$. The concept of construction semigroups $\bar{T}(X, Y)$ and Fix $(X, Y)$ can be employed to formulate generalizations of $P(X)$ as follows:

$$
\overline{P T}(X, Y)=\{\alpha \in P(X):(\operatorname{dom} \alpha \cap Y) \alpha \subseteq Y\}
$$

where $\emptyset \neq Y \subseteq X$ and $\operatorname{dom} \alpha$ denotes the domain of $\alpha$. Furthermore, for $Y \subsetneq X$, let

$$
\operatorname{PFix}(X, Y)=\{\alpha \in P(X): y \alpha=y \text { for all } y \in \operatorname{dom} \alpha \cap Y\}
$$

Since $\overline{P T}(X, X)=P(X)$ and $\operatorname{PFix}(X, \emptyset)=P(X)$, both semigroups are regarded as extensions of $P(X)$. However, they find application in distinct scenarios and complement each other. Various algebraic properties of $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$ and PFix $(X, Y)$ have been explored; for example, refer to $[5,7,19,20,25,26]$.

In this article, we systematically identify all ideals and their respective properties within $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$ and $\operatorname{PFix}(X, Y)$. Additionally, we conduct an examination of principal, minimal, and maximal ideals in these semigroups, illustrating that the ideals do not generally form a chain under set inclusion.

In the context of this paper, we adhere to the convention of right-to-left function application. Specifically, in the composition $\alpha \beta$, the transformation $\alpha$ is applied first. For any $\alpha \in P(X)$, we denote the domain and image of $\alpha$ as $\operatorname{dom} \alpha$ and $\operatorname{im} \alpha$, respectively. For notions and notations that are not explicitly defined herein, the reader is referred to $[8,9,13]$.
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## 2. Main Results

Consider any cardinal number $p$ and define $p^{\prime}$ to be the minimum cardinal $q$ such that $q>p$, i.e., $p^{\prime}=$ $\min \{q: q>p\}$. It is crucial to emphasize that the existence of $p^{\prime}$ is guaranteed due to the well-ordered nature of cardinals. When $p$ is finite, $p^{\prime}=p+1$, representing its successor. The ideals of $P(X)$, as presented in [22], constitute the only sets of the form

$$
P_{r}=\{\alpha \in P(X):|\operatorname{im} \alpha|<r\}
$$

${ }_{2}$ where $2 \leq r \leq|X|^{\prime}$. Clearly, the ideals of $P(X)$ form a chain under set inclusion.
To characterize the ideals of $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$, unless otherwise stated, we let $|X|=a,|Y|=b$, and $|X \backslash Y|=c$. Furthermore, for each triplet of cardinals $r, s$, and $t$ satisfying $1 \leq r \leq a^{\prime}, 1 \leq s \leq b^{\prime}$, and $1 \leq t \leq c^{\prime}$, we define the subset $\overline{P T}(r, s, t)$ of $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$ as follows:

$$
\overline{P T}(r, s, t)=\{\alpha \in \overline{P T}(X, Y):|\operatorname{im} \alpha|<r,|Y \alpha|<s, \text { and }|\operatorname{im} \alpha \backslash Y|<t\} .
$$

Evidently, $\overline{P T}(r, s, t)$ can be empty, and $\overline{P T}\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)=\overline{P T}(X, Y)$. In cases where $\overline{P T}(r, s, t)$ is not empty, we obtain the following:

Theorem 2.1 Let $\overline{P T}(r, s, t) \neq \emptyset$. Then the set $\overline{P T}(r, s, t)$ is an ideal of $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$.
Proof Let $\alpha \in \overline{P T}(r, s, t)$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \overline{P T}(X, Y)$. Then $|\operatorname{im} \alpha|<r,|Y \alpha|<s$ and $|\operatorname{im} \alpha \backslash Y|<t$. By simple set-theoretical arguments, we can conclude that $|\operatorname{im} \lambda \alpha \mu| \leq|\operatorname{im} \alpha|<r,|Y \lambda \alpha \mu| \leq|Y \alpha|<s$, and $|\operatorname{im} \lambda \alpha \mu \backslash Y| \leq|\operatorname{im} \alpha \backslash Y|<t$. Thus, $\lambda \alpha \mu \in \overline{P T}(r, s, t)$, and consequently, $\overline{P T}(r, s, t)$ forms an ideal of $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$.

Observe that if $r \leq u, s \leq v$, and $t \leq w$, then we have $\overline{P T}(r, s, t) \subseteq \overline{P T}(u, v, w)$. However, the following example demonstrates that there exists an ideal in $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$ that does not conform to the form of $\overline{P T}(r, s, t)$. This also illustrates that the ideals in $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$ do not form a chain under set inclusion.

Example 2.2 Considering $X=\{1,2,3,4\}$ and $Y=\{1,2\}$, we have $|X|=4,|Y|=2$, and $|X \backslash Y|=2$. Both $\overline{P T}(3,3,1)$ and $\overline{P T}(4,2,2)$ are ideals of $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$, and therefore, the union of $\overline{P T}(3,3,1)$ and $\overline{P T}(4,2,2)$ is also an ideal of $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$. To demonstrate that $\overline{P T}(3,3,1) \cup \overline{P T}(4,2,2)$ does not constitute a member of the form $\overline{P T}(r, s, t)$, we suppose, to the contrary, that $\overline{P T}(3,3,1) \cup \overline{P T}(4,2,2)=\overline{P T}(r, s, t)$ for some $1 \leq r \leq 5,1 \leq s \leq 3$, and $1 \leq t \leq 3$. If $r<4$ or $t<2$, then there is

$$
\alpha=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 3 & 4 \\
1 & 2 & 4
\end{array}\right) \in \overline{P T}(4,2,2) \backslash \overline{P T}(r, s, t)
$$

and if $s<3$, then there is

$$
\beta=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \\
1 & 2
\end{array}\right) \in \overline{\overline{P T}}(3,3,1) \backslash \overline{P T}(r, s, t)
$$

Both cases contradict with the supposition. Hence, $r \geq 4, s=3$ and $t \geq 2$. However, there exists

$$
\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & 4 \\
1 & 2 & 3
\end{array}\right) \in \overline{P T}(r, 3, t)
$$

but $\gamma \notin \overline{P T}(3,3,1) \cup \overline{P T}(4,2,2)$, so $\overline{P T}(3,3,1) \cup \overline{P T}(4,2,2) \neq \overline{P T}(r, 3, t)$ for all $r \geq 4$, and $t \geq 2$. Since $\alpha \in \overline{P T}(4,2,2) \backslash \overline{P T}(3,3,1)$ and $\beta \in \overline{P T}(3,3,1) \backslash \overline{P T}(4,2,2)$, we obtain that the ideals of $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$ do not form a chain.

In order to determine all ideals of $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$, we refer to the result from [19] as follows:
Lemma 2.3 [19] Let $\alpha, \beta \in \overline{P T}(X, Y)$. Then $\alpha=\lambda \beta \mu$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in \overline{P T}(X, Y)$ if and only if $|\operatorname{im} \alpha| \leq|\operatorname{im} \beta|$, $|Y \alpha| \leq|Y \beta|$ and $|\operatorname{im} \alpha \backslash Y| \leq|\operatorname{im} \beta \backslash Y|$.

Moreover, we define the set $\overline{P T}[Z]$, for $\emptyset \neq Z \subseteq \overline{P T}(X, Y)$, as:

$$
\overline{P T}[Z]=\{\alpha \in \overline{P T}(X, Y):|\operatorname{im} \alpha| \leq|\operatorname{im} \beta|,|Y \alpha| \leq|Y \beta|,|\operatorname{im} \alpha \backslash Y| \leq|\operatorname{im} \beta \backslash Y| \text { for some } \beta \in Z\}
$$

It is evident that $Z \subseteq \overline{P T}[Z]$, and furthermore, if $Z_{1} \subseteq Z_{2}$, then $\overline{P T}\left[Z_{1}\right] \subseteq \overline{P T}\left[Z_{2}\right]$.

Theorem 2.4 The ideals of $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$ are precisely those sets of the form $\overline{P T}[Z]$, where $Z$ is a nonempty subset of $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$.

Proof To prove that $\overline{P T}[Z]$ is an ideal of $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$, let $\alpha \in \overline{P T}[Z]$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \overline{P T}(X, Y)$. Then $|\operatorname{im} \alpha| \leq|\operatorname{im} \beta|,|Y \alpha| \leq|Y \beta|$ and $|\operatorname{im} \alpha \backslash Y| \leq|\operatorname{im} \beta \backslash Y|$ for some $\beta \in Z$. By employing a comparable proof as demonstrated in Theorem 2.1, we obtain $|\operatorname{im} \lambda \alpha \mu| \leq|\operatorname{im} \alpha|,|Y \lambda \alpha \mu| \leq|Y \alpha|$ and $|\operatorname{im} \lambda \alpha \mu \backslash Y| \leq|\operatorname{im} \alpha \backslash Y|$. Thus $|\operatorname{im} \lambda \alpha \mu| \leq|\operatorname{im} \beta|,|Y \lambda \alpha \mu| \leq|Y \beta|$ and $|\operatorname{im} \lambda \alpha \mu \backslash Y| \leq|\operatorname{im} \beta \backslash Y|$. Hence, $\lambda \alpha \mu \in \overline{P T}[Z]$, implying that $\overline{P T}[Z]$ is an ideal of $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$.

Now, let $I$ be an ideal of $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$. To prove that $I=\overline{P T}[I]$, we begin by considering $\alpha \in \overline{P T}[I]$. Then $|\operatorname{im} \alpha| \leq|\operatorname{im} \beta|,|Y \alpha| \leq|Y \beta|$, and $|\operatorname{im} \alpha \backslash Y| \leq|\operatorname{im} \beta \backslash Y|$ for some $\beta \in I$. By Lemma 2.3, we have $\alpha=\lambda \beta \mu$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in \overline{P T}(X, Y)$. Since $\beta \in I$ and $I$ is an ideal of $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$, it follows that $\alpha=\lambda \beta \mu \in I$. Hence, $\overline{P T}[I] \subseteq I$. Since $I$ is already included in $\overline{P T}[I]$, we conclude that $I=\overline{P T}[I]$, as required.

Note that for an ideal $I$ of $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$, as indicated in the proof of Theorem 2.4, we have $\overline{P T}[I]=I$. Additionally, it is possible for the difference sets $Z$ to yield the same ideal in $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$. To distinguish subsets of $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$ that form distinct ideals, we define a subset $J_{r, s, t}$ of $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$, where $0 \leq r \leq a, 0 \leq s \leq b$, and $0 \leq t \leq c$, as follows:

$$
J_{r, s, t}=\{\alpha \in \overline{P T}(X, Y):|\operatorname{im} \alpha|=r,|Y \alpha|=s \text { and }|\operatorname{im} \alpha \backslash Y|=t\}
$$

Observe that if $r, s$, and $t$ satisfy any of the conditions $s+t>r, r-s-t>b-s$, or $r-s-t>c-t$, then $J_{r, s, t}=\emptyset$. On the other hand, if $s+t \leq r, r-s-t \leq b-s$, and $r-s-t \leq c-t$, then we define $\alpha_{r, s, t} \in J_{r, s, t}$ by choosing $S \subseteq Y$ and $T \subseteq X \backslash Y$ with $|S|=s$ and $|T|=t$. Next, we let $R \subseteq(X \backslash Y) \backslash T$ and $R^{\prime} \subseteq Y \backslash S$ with $|R|=r-s-t=\left|R^{\prime}\right|$. Now, fixing a bijection $\sigma: R \rightarrow R^{\prime}$, we define $\alpha_{r, s, t}=\sigma \cup \operatorname{id}_{S} \cup \operatorname{id}_{T}$, where $\operatorname{id}_{S}$ and $\operatorname{id}_{T}$ are the identity maps on $S$ and $T$, respectively.

Let $\mathcal{Z}$ be a collection of all $\alpha_{r, s, t}$, where $J_{r, s, t} \neq \emptyset$. It is evident that $\left|\mathcal{Z} \cap J_{r, s, t}\right|=1$. A nonempty subset $Z$ of $\mathcal{Z}$ is called pt-pure if for any distinct two elements $\alpha_{n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}}$ and $\alpha_{m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}}$ in $Z$, there exist $i, j \in\{1,2,3\}$ such that $n_{i}>m_{i}$ and $m_{j}>n_{j}$.
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Theorem 2.5 Let $X$ be a finite set. The ideals of $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$ are precisely those sets of the form $\overline{P T}[Z]$, where $Z$ is a pt-pure subset of $\mathcal{Z}$. In particular, distinct pt-pure subsets of $\mathcal{Z}$ result in distinct ideals.

Proof Let $I$ be any ideal of $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$. Let $r=\max \{|\operatorname{im} \alpha|: \alpha \in I\}, s=\max \{|Y \alpha|: \alpha \in I\}$, and $t=\max \{|\operatorname{im} \alpha \backslash Y|: \alpha \in I\}$. Choose $\alpha \in J_{r, s_{r}, t_{r}} \cap I$, where $s_{r}=\max \left\{u: J_{r, u, v} \cap I \neq \emptyset\right\}$ and $t_{r}=\max \left\{v: J_{r, u, v} \cap I \neq \emptyset\right\}$. Similarly, we choose $\beta \in J_{r_{s}, s, t_{s}} \cap I$ and $\gamma \in J_{r_{t}, s_{t}, t} \cap I$. By Lemma 2.3, we obtain that $\alpha_{r, s_{r}, t_{r}}, \alpha_{r_{s}, s, t_{s}}$, and $\alpha_{r_{t}, s_{t}, t}$ belong to $I$. Let $Z_{I}=\left\{\alpha_{r, s_{r}, t_{r}}, \alpha_{r_{s}, s, t_{s}}, \alpha_{r_{t}, s_{t}, t}\right\}$. Note that the elements in $Z_{I}$ may not differ at all and $Z_{I}$ is a pt-pure subset. It is clear that $I \subseteq \overline{P T}\left[Z_{I}\right]$. Let $\delta \in \overline{P T}\left[Z_{I}\right]$. Then $|\operatorname{im} \delta| \leq u,|Y \delta| \leq v$, and $|\operatorname{im} \delta \backslash Y| \leq w$ for some $u, v, w$ with $\alpha_{u, v, w} \in Z_{I}$. According to Lemma 2.3, we have $\delta=\lambda \alpha_{u, v, w} \mu \in I$, thus implying $I=\overline{P T}\left[Z_{I}\right]$.

Next, we consider any pure subsets $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$ of $\mathcal{Z}$ with $Z_{1} \neq Z_{2}$. Without loss of generality, if one is strictly contained in the other, we assume that $Z_{1} \subsetneq Z_{2}$. Then there exists $\alpha_{r, s, t} \in Z_{2} \backslash Z_{1}$. Since $Z_{2}$ is a pt-pure subset of $\mathcal{Z}$, for each $\alpha_{u, v, w} \in Z_{1}, u>r$ or $v>s$ or $w>t$. Hence, $\alpha_{r, s, t} \in \overline{P T}\left[Z_{2}\right] \backslash \overline{P T}\left[Z_{1}\right]$. For the case $Z_{1} \nsubseteq Z_{2}$ and $Z_{2} \nsubseteq Z_{1}$, we have $Z_{1} \backslash Z_{2} \neq \emptyset$ and $Z_{2} \backslash Z_{1} \neq \emptyset$. Let $r_{1}=\max \left\{u: \alpha_{u, v, w} \in Z_{1} \backslash Z_{2}\right\}$ and $r_{2}=\max \left\{u: \alpha_{u, v, w} \in Z_{2} \backslash Z_{1}\right\}$. Then there exist $\alpha_{r_{1}, s_{1}, t_{1}} \in Z_{1} \backslash Z_{2}$ and $\alpha_{r_{2}, s_{2}, t_{2}} \in Z_{2} \backslash Z_{1}$.

Case 1: $r_{1}>r_{2}$. If $\alpha_{r_{1}, s_{1}, t_{1}} \in \overline{P T}\left[Z_{2}\right]$, then there exists $\alpha_{u, v, w} \in Z_{2}$ such that $r_{2}<r_{1} \leq u, s_{2} \leq v$, and $t_{2} \leq w_{2}$. The maximum value of $r_{2}$ implies that $\alpha_{u, v, w} \in Z_{1}$, which contradicts the fact that $Z_{1}$ is a pure subset. Hence, $\alpha_{r_{1}, s_{1}, t_{1}} \in \overline{P T}\left[Z_{1}\right] \backslash \overline{P T}\left[Z_{2}\right]$.

Case 2: $r_{2}>r_{1}$. Using the same argument as in Case 1, we can conclude that $\alpha_{r_{2}, s_{2}, t_{2}} \in \overline{P T}\left[Z_{2}\right] \backslash$ $\overline{P T}\left[Z_{1}\right]$.

Case 3: $r_{1}=r_{2}$. Let $v_{1}=\max \left\{v: \alpha_{r_{1}, v, w} \in Z_{1} \backslash Z_{2}\right\}$ and $v_{2}=\max \left\{v: \alpha_{r_{2}, v, w} \in Z_{2} \backslash Z_{1}\right\}$. If $v_{1} \neq v_{2}$, applying the same previous argument, we conclude that $\overline{P T}\left[Z_{1}\right] \neq \overline{P T}\left[Z_{2}\right]$. In the case where $v_{1}=v_{2}$, we let $w_{1}=\max w: \alpha_{r_{1}, v_{1}, w} \in Z_{1} \backslash Z_{2}$ and $w_{2}=\max w: \alpha_{r_{2}, v_{2}, w} \in Z_{2} \backslash Z_{1}$. Consequently, we have $w_{1} \neq w_{2}$ and also establish $\overline{P T}\left[Z_{1}\right] \neq \overline{P T}\left[Z_{2}\right]$.

To simplify notation, in the case of $Z$ being a finite set such that $Z=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right\}$, we use the notation $\overline{P T}\left[\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right]$ instead of $\overline{P T}\left[\left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right\}\right]$. It is clear that $\overline{P T}[Z]=\bigcup_{\gamma \in Z} \overline{P T}[\gamma]$.

For $\alpha, \beta \in \overline{P T}(X, Y), \overline{P T}[\alpha] \subseteq \overline{P T}[\beta]$ if and only if $|\operatorname{im} \alpha| \leq|\operatorname{im} \beta|,|Y \alpha| \leq|Y \beta|$, and $|\operatorname{im} \alpha \backslash Y| \leq$ $|\operatorname{im} \beta \backslash Y|$. Consequently, $\overline{P T}[\alpha]=\overline{P T}[\beta]$ if and only if $|\operatorname{im} \alpha|=|\operatorname{im} \beta|,|Y \alpha|=|Y \beta|$, and $|\operatorname{im} \alpha \backslash Y|=|\operatorname{im} \beta \backslash Y|$. Additionally, if $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{Z}, \overline{P T}[\alpha]$ and $\overline{P T}[\beta]$ are distinct.

Proposition 2.6 The principal ideals of $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$ are precisely those sets of the form $\overline{P T}\left[\alpha_{r, s, t}\right]$.
Proof Let $\alpha_{r, s, t} \in \mathcal{Z}$. Our objective is to demonstrate that $\overline{P T}\left[\alpha_{r, s, t}\right]=\overline{P T}(X, Y) \alpha_{r, s, t} \overline{P T}(X, Y)$. We begin by considering $\beta \in \overline{P T}\left[\alpha_{r, s, t}\right]$. This implies that $|\operatorname{im} \beta| \leq r,|Y \beta| \leq s$, and $|\operatorname{im} \beta \backslash Y| \leq t$. According to Lemma 2.3, we can express $\beta$ as $\lambda \alpha_{r, s, t} \mu$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in \overline{P T}(X, Y)$. Consequently, we have established that $\beta$ belongs to $\overline{P T}(X, Y) \alpha_{r, s, t} \overline{P T}(X, Y)$. On the other hand, consider $\gamma$ in $\overline{P T}(X, Y) \alpha_{r, s, t} \overline{P T}(X, Y)$. This implies that $\gamma=\theta \alpha_{r, s, t} \eta$ for some $\theta, \eta \in \overline{P T}(X, Y)$. Since $\alpha_{r, s, t} \in \overline{P T}\left[\alpha_{r, s, t}\right]$ and $\overline{P T}\left[\alpha_{r, s, t}\right]$ is an ideal, we can conclude that $\gamma$ is an element of $\overline{P T}\left[\alpha_{r, s, t}\right]$. Therefore, $\overline{P T}\left[\alpha_{r, s, t}\right]=\overline{P T}(X, Y) \alpha_{r, s, t} \overline{P T}(X, Y)$ is a principal ideal within $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$.

Let $I$ be any principal ideal of $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$. Then $I=\overline{P T}(X, Y) \alpha \overline{P T}(X, Y)$ for some $\alpha \in \overline{P T}(X, Y)$. Let $|\operatorname{im} \alpha|=r,|Y \alpha|=s$, and $|\operatorname{im} \alpha \backslash Y|=t$. By Lemma 2.3, $\alpha=\lambda \alpha_{r, s, t} \mu$ and $\alpha_{r, s, t}=\lambda^{\prime} \alpha \mu^{\prime}$ for some $\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}, \mu, \mu^{\prime} \in$ $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$. Hence, $I=\overline{P T}(X, Y) \alpha \overline{P T}(X, Y) \subseteq \overline{P T}(X, Y) \alpha_{r, s, t} \overline{P T}(X, Y) \subseteq \overline{P T}(X, Y) \alpha \overline{P T}(X, Y)=I$. Therefore, $I=\overline{P T}(X, Y) \alpha_{r, s, t} \overline{P T}(X, Y)=\overline{P T}\left[\alpha_{r, s, t}\right]$.

Next, we will discuss the minimal and maximal ideals of $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$. It is clear that $J_{0,0,0}=\{\emptyset\}=$ $\overline{P T}\left[\alpha_{0,0,0}\right]$ is the minimum ideal of $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$.

As $\{\emptyset\}$ represents the minimum ideal within $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$, we can define a minimal ideal in $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$ as an ideal $I$ such that $\{\emptyset\} \subsetneq I$ and $I$ satisfies the condition: if there exists an ideal $J$ such that $\{\emptyset\} \subseteq J \subseteq I$, then either $J=\{\emptyset\}$ or $J=I$. The following theorem elaborates on the details of the minimal ideal in $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$.

Theorem 2.7 $\{\emptyset\} \cup J_{1,0,0}$ is the unique minimal ideal of $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$.

Proof It is routine to verify that $\{\emptyset\} \cup J_{1,0,0}=\overline{P T}(2,1,1)$ is an ideal of $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$. To prove the minimality, we let $J$ be an ideal of $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$ such that $\{\emptyset\} \subseteq J \subsetneq\{\emptyset\} \cup J_{1,0,0}$. Then there exists $\alpha \in J_{1,0,0}$, but $\alpha \notin J$. To demonstrate that $J=\{\emptyset\}$, we assume the contrary. In this case, there exists $\emptyset \neq \beta \in J$. Since both $\alpha$ and $\beta$ belong to $J_{1,0,0}$, by Lemma 2.3, there exist $\lambda, \mu \in \overline{P T}(X, Y)$ such that $\alpha=\lambda \beta \mu$. Since $\beta \in J$ and $J$ is an ideal, we obtain $\alpha=\lambda \beta \mu \in J$, which leads to a contradiction. Consequently, $\{\emptyset\} \cup J_{1,0,0}$ qualifies as a minimal ideal within $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$. For the uniqueness, we let $M$ be a minimal ideal of $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$. As $M$ is an ideal of $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$, it can be expressed as $M=\overline{P T}[Z]$ for some a nonempty subset $Z$ of $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$. Since $\{\emptyset\} \subsetneq M$, there must exist $\alpha \in M$ such that $|\operatorname{im} \alpha| \geq 1$. Since $\alpha \in M=\overline{P T}[Z]$, we have $|\operatorname{im} \alpha| \leq|\operatorname{im} \beta|,|Y \alpha| \leq|Y \beta|$, and $|\operatorname{im} \alpha \backslash Y| \leq|\operatorname{im} \beta \backslash Y|$ for some $\beta \in Z$. Now, let $\gamma \in J_{1,0,0}$. Then $|\operatorname{im} \gamma|=1 \leq|\operatorname{im} \alpha| \leq|\operatorname{im} \beta|,|Y \gamma|=0 \leq|Y \alpha| \leq|Y \beta|$, and $|\operatorname{im} \gamma \backslash Y|=0 \leq|\operatorname{im} \alpha \backslash Y| \leq|\operatorname{im} \beta \backslash Y|$. This implies that $\gamma \in \overline{P T}[Z]=M$. Consequently, we have shown that $\{\emptyset\} \cup J_{1,0,0} \subseteq M$, and therefore, $M=\{\emptyset\} \cup J_{1,0,0}$ by the minimality of $M$.

Now, we will introduce the concept of a maximal ideal in $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$. An ideal $I$ in $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$ is categorized as a maximal ideal if, for any ideal $M$ such that $I \subseteq M \subseteq \overline{P T}(X, Y)$, it holds that either $M=I$ or $M=\overline{P T}(X, Y)$.

Theorem $2.8 \overline{P T}(X, Y) \backslash J_{a, b, c}$ is the unique maximal ideal of $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$.

Proof It is clear that $\overline{P T}(X, Y) \backslash J_{a, b, c}=\overline{P T}\left[\overline{P T}(X, Y) \backslash J_{a, b, c}\right]$ is an ideal of $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$. To show that $\overline{P T}(X, Y) \backslash J_{a, b, c}$ is a maximal ideal of $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$, we let $M$ be an ideal of $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$ such that $\overline{P T}(X, Y) \backslash J_{a, b, c} \subsetneq$ $M \subseteq \overline{P T}(X, Y)$. This implies that there exists $\alpha \in M$, but $\alpha \notin \overline{P T}(X, Y) \backslash J_{a, b, c}$. As a result, we have $|\operatorname{im} \alpha|=a,|Y \alpha|=b$, and $|\operatorname{im} \alpha \backslash Y|=c$. Now, let $\beta \in J_{a, b, c}$. Since $\alpha, \beta \in J_{a, b, c}$, there exist $\lambda$ and $\mu$ in $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$ such that $\beta=\lambda \alpha \mu$. Consequently, $\beta=\lambda \alpha \mu \in M$ since $\alpha \in M$ and $M$ is an ideal. Thus, $M=\overline{P T}(X, Y)$. For the uniqueness, we let $M^{\prime}$ be a maximal ideal of $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$. Then $M \cup M^{\prime}$ is an ideal and $i d_{X} \notin M \cup M^{\prime}$, whence $M \cup M^{\prime} \subseteq \overline{P T}(X, Y)$. Since $M \subseteq M \cup M^{\prime}$ and $M$ is a maximal ideal, we have $M \cup M^{\prime}=M$. Similarly, we can conclude that $M \cup M^{\prime}=M^{\prime}$. Thus, $M=M \cup M^{\prime}=M^{\prime}$
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If $Y \neq X$, then $\overline{P T}\left[\alpha_{1,0,1}\right]$ and $\overline{P T}\left[\alpha_{1,1,0}\right]$ neither contains the other. This means that if $Y \neq \emptyset$, then the ideals does not form a chain.
we conclude the study of ideals on $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$ by elucidating the set $J_{r, s, t}$ and the poset of ideals in $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$ for the sets $X=\{1,2,3\}$ and $Y=\{1,2\}$. To enhance clarity, an element $\alpha$ in $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$ satisfying $1 \alpha=x, 2 \alpha=y$, and $3 \alpha=z$ is denoted as $(x, y, z)$. Specifically, the vacant positions in the 3-tuple signify their exclusion from the domain of those elements. The subsets $J_{r, s, t}$ with $\alpha_{r, s, t}$ in red and the Hasse diagram of ideals in $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$ are presented in Table 1 and Figure 1, respectively.

| $J_{3,2,1}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(1,2,3) \quad(2,1,3)$ |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll} J_{2,1,0} & \\ (1,1,2) & (2,2,1) \\ (1,, 2) & (2,, 1) \\ (, 1,2) & (, 2,1) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & J_{2,1,1} \\ & (1,1,3) \\ & (1,, 3) \\ & (,, 1,3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (2,2,3) \\ & (2, \quad, 3) \\ & (, 2,3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} J_{2,2,0} \\ (1,2,1) & (2,1,2) \\ (1,2,2) & (2,1,1) \\ (1,2,) & (2,1, \quad) \end{array}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & J_{1,0,0} \\ & \quad\left(\begin{array}{l} (, \end{array}\right) \\ & \quad(,1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} J_{1,0,1} \\ (, ~ \end{array}$ |  | $J_{1,1,0}$  <br> $(1,)$, $(2,)$, <br> $(, 1)$, $(, 2)$, <br> $(1,1)$, $(2,2)$, <br> $(1,, 1)$ $(2,, 2)$ <br> $(, 1,1)$ $(, 2,2)$ <br> $(1,1,1)$ $(2,2,2)$ |
| $J_{0,0,0}$ |  |  |  |

Table 1. The subsets $J_{r, s, t}$ of $\overline{P T}(\{1,2,3\},\{1,2\})$


Figure 1. The Hasse diagram of ideals in $\overline{P T}(\{1,2,3\},\{1,2\})$
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Our next propose is to explore the ideals of $\operatorname{PFix}(X, Y)$ in the case where $Y$ is a proper subset of $X$. Recall that the ideals of $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$ are of the form $\overline{P T}[Z]$ where $\emptyset \neq Z \subseteq \overline{P T}(X, Y)$. Since $P F i x(X, Y)$ is a subsemigroup of $\overline{P T}(X, Y)$, we easily obtain the following:

Lemma $2.9 \overline{P T}[Z] \cap \operatorname{PFix}(X, Y)$ is an ideal of $\operatorname{PFix}(X, Y)$.

The following example demonstrates that there exists an ideal in $\operatorname{PFix}(X, Y)$ that does not conform to the form of $\overline{P T}[Z] \cap \operatorname{PFix}(X, Y)$.

Example 2.10 Let $X=\{1,2,3\}$ and $Y=\{1,2\}$. Consider the ideal

$$
I=\{\emptyset,(,, 1),(,, 2),(1,,),(1,, 1)\}
$$

in PFix $(X, Y)$. If $Z$ takes the form $\{(,, 1)\},\{(,, 2)\}$, or $\{(,, 1),(,, 2)\}$, then the corresponding $\overline{P T}[Z]$ is $\{\emptyset,(,, 1),(,, 2)\}$; if $Z=\{(,, 3)\}$, then $\overline{P T}[Z]=\{\emptyset,(,, 1),(,, 2),(,, 3)\}$; and if $Z=\{(,, 1),(,, 3)\}$, then $\overline{P T}[Z]=\{\emptyset,(,, 1),(,, 2),(,, 3)\}$. In all mentioned cases, it is implied that $\overline{P T}[Z] \cap \operatorname{PFix}(X, Y)=\overline{P T}[Z] \neq I$. Furthermore, for any $Z \subseteq \overline{P T}(X, Y)$ not falling within the previously mentioned scenarios, $\overline{P T}[Z]$ consistently contains $(, 2$,$) , which results in \overline{P T}[Z] \cap P F i x(X, Y) \neq I$. Consequently, we assert that $I \neq \overline{P T}[Z] \cap \operatorname{PFix}(X, Y)$ for all $Z \subseteq \overline{P T}(X, Y)$.

To identify all ideals of $\operatorname{PFix}(X, Y)$, we refer to the result from [26] as follows:

Lemma 2.11 [26] Let $\alpha, \beta \in \operatorname{PFix}(X, Y)$. Then $\alpha=\lambda \beta \mu$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in \operatorname{PFix}(X, Y)$ if and only if $\operatorname{dom} \alpha \cap Y \subseteq \operatorname{dom} \beta \cap Y,|\operatorname{im} \alpha| \leq|\operatorname{im} \beta|$ and $|\operatorname{im} \alpha \backslash(\operatorname{im} \beta \cap Y)| \leq|\operatorname{im} \beta \backslash Y|$.

Moreover, we define a subset $P F[Z]$, where $\emptyset \neq Z \subseteq P F i x(X, Y)$, as the set $P F[Z]=\{\alpha \in P F i x(X, Y)$ : $\operatorname{dom} \alpha \cap Y \subseteq \operatorname{dom} \beta \cap Y,|\operatorname{im} \alpha| \leq|\operatorname{im} \beta|,|\operatorname{im} \alpha \backslash(\operatorname{im} \beta \cap Y)| \leq|\operatorname{im} \beta \backslash Y|$ for some $\beta \in Z\}$. Clearly, $Z \subseteq P F[Z]$, and if $Z_{1} \subseteq Z_{2}$, then $P F\left[Z_{1}\right] \subseteq P F\left[Z_{2}\right]$.

Following the argument presented in the proof of Theorem 2.4, we establish the following theorem:

Theorem 2.12 The ideals of PFix $(X, Y)$ are precisely those sets of the form $P F[Z]$, where $Z$ is a nonempty subset of $\operatorname{PFix}(X, Y)$.

If $Z$ is a finite set such that $Z=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right\}$, similar to the notation used in $\overline{P T}[Z]$, we use the notation $P F\left[\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right]$ instead of $P F\left[\left\{\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right\}\right]$. It is clear that $P F[Z]=\bigcup_{\gamma \in Z} P F[\gamma]$.

For $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in $\operatorname{PFix}(X, Y)$. Then, $P F[\alpha] \subseteq P F[\beta]$ if and only if $\operatorname{dom} \alpha \cap Y \subseteq \operatorname{dom} \beta \cap Y$, $|\operatorname{im} \alpha| \leq|\operatorname{im} \beta|$, and $|\operatorname{im} \alpha \backslash(\operatorname{im} \beta \cap Y)| \leq|\operatorname{im} \beta \backslash Y|$. Consequently, $P F[\alpha]=P F[\beta]$ if and only if $|\operatorname{im} \alpha|=|\operatorname{im} \beta|$, $\operatorname{dom} \alpha \cap Y=\operatorname{dom} \beta \cap Y,|\operatorname{im} \alpha \backslash(\operatorname{im} \beta \cap Y)| \leq|\operatorname{im} \beta \backslash Y|$ and $|\operatorname{im} \beta \backslash(\operatorname{im} \alpha \cap Y)| \leq|\operatorname{im} \alpha \backslash Y|$.

Note that, according to Lemma 2.11, we directly obtain that $\alpha \in P F[\beta]$ if and only if $\alpha=\lambda \beta \mu$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in \operatorname{PFix}(X, Y)$. By applying the same argument used in the proof of Proposition 2.6, we arrive at the following theorem:
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Proposition 2.13 The principal ideals of $\operatorname{PFix}(X, Y)$ are precisely those sets of the form $P F[\alpha]$, where $\alpha \in P F i x(X, Y)$.

Next, we will examine the minimal and maximal ideals of $\operatorname{PFix}(X, Y)$. Henceforth, let $|X \backslash Y|=c$, we will then proceed to define

$$
J(A, B, t)=\{\alpha \in P F i x(X, Y): \operatorname{dom} \alpha \cap Y=A, \operatorname{im} \alpha \cap Y=B \text { and }|\operatorname{im} \alpha \backslash Y|=t\}
$$

$$
3
$$

where $A, B \subseteq Y$, and $0 \leq t \leq c$. It is clear that $J(\emptyset, \emptyset, 0)=\{\emptyset\}=P F[\emptyset]$ is the minimum ideal of $P F i x(X, Y)$.

Lemma 2.14 Let $y \in Y$. Then $\{\emptyset\} \cup J(\emptyset,\{y\}, 0)$ is a minimal ideal of PFix $(X, Y)$.
Proof Let $y \in Y, x \in X \backslash Y$, and $\gamma=\binom{x}{y}$. It is clear that $\{\emptyset\} \cup J(\emptyset,\{y\}, 0)=P F[\gamma]$ is an ideal of $\operatorname{PFix}(X, Y)$. To prove the minimality, we let $J$ be an ideal of $\operatorname{PFix}(X, Y)$ such that $\{\emptyset\} \subseteq J \subsetneq$ $\{\emptyset\} \cup J(\emptyset,\{y\}, 0)$. Then, there exists $\alpha \in J(\emptyset,\{y\}, 0)$, but $\alpha \notin J$. To demonstrate that $J=\{\emptyset\}$, we assume the contrary. In this case, there exists $\emptyset \neq \beta \in J$. Since both $\alpha$ and $\beta$ belong to $J(\emptyset,\{y\}, 0)$, it follows that $\operatorname{dom} \alpha \cap Y=\operatorname{dom} \beta \cap Y,|\operatorname{im} \alpha|=1=|\operatorname{im} \beta|$, and $|\operatorname{im} \alpha \backslash(\operatorname{im} \beta \cap Y)|=0=|\operatorname{im} \beta \backslash Y|$. By Lemma 2.11, there exist $\lambda, \mu \in \operatorname{PFix}(X, Y)$ such that $\alpha=\lambda \beta \mu$. Since $\beta \in J$ and $J$ is an ideal, we obtain $\alpha \in J$, which leads to a contradiction. Consequently, $\{\emptyset\} \cup J(\emptyset,\{y\}, 0)$ qualifies as a minimal ideal within PFix $(X, Y)$.

Lemma 2.15 Let $\emptyset \neq Z \subseteq P F i x(X, Y)$. If $\operatorname{im} \alpha \cap Y=\emptyset$ for all $\alpha \in Z$, then $P F[Z]$ is not a minimal ideal of $\operatorname{PFix}(X, Y)$.

Proof Assume that the given condition holds. The assertion is clear in the case where $Z=\{\emptyset\}$. Therefore, we consider the case where $\emptyset \neq \alpha \in Z$. Let $x \in X \backslash Y$ and consider $\gamma=\binom{x}{x}$. We can see that $\operatorname{dom} \gamma \cap Y=\emptyset \subseteq \operatorname{dom} \alpha \cap Y,|\operatorname{im} \gamma|=1 \leq|\operatorname{im} \alpha|$, and $|\operatorname{im} \gamma \backslash(\operatorname{im} \alpha \cap Y)|=|\operatorname{im} \gamma| \leq|\operatorname{im} \alpha|=|\operatorname{im} \alpha \backslash Y|$. This follows that $\gamma \in P F[\alpha] \subseteq P F[Z]$. To show $J(\emptyset,\{y\}, 0) \subseteq P F[Z]$, we let $\beta \in J(\emptyset,\{y\}, 0)$. Then $\operatorname{dom} \beta \cap Y=\emptyset=\operatorname{dom} \gamma \cap Y,|\operatorname{im} \beta|=1=|\operatorname{im} \gamma|$, and $|\operatorname{im} \beta \backslash(\operatorname{im} \gamma \cap Y)|=|\operatorname{im} \beta|=|\operatorname{im} \gamma|=|\operatorname{im} \gamma \backslash Y|$. This implies, by Lemma 2.11, that $\beta=\lambda \gamma \mu$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in \operatorname{PFix}(X, Y)$. Since $P F[Z]$ is an ideal and $\gamma \in P F[Z]$, we get $\beta \in P F[Z]$, which implies $J(\emptyset,\{y\}, 0) \subseteq P F[Z]$. Hence, $\{\emptyset\} \cup J(\emptyset,\{y\}, 0) \subsetneq P F[Z]$ since $\gamma \notin J(\emptyset,\{y\}, 0)$. Therefore, $P F[Z]$ is not minimal.

Theorem 2.16 The minimal ideals of PFix $(X, Y)$ are precisely those sets of the form $\{\emptyset\} \cup J(\emptyset,\{y\}, 0)$, where $y \in Y$.

Proof Let $I$ be any minimal ideal of $\operatorname{PFix}(X, Y)$. According to Theorem 2.12, $I=P F[Z]$ for some nonempty set $Z \subseteq \operatorname{PFix}(X, Y)$. Since $I$ is minimal, as indicated by Lemma 2.15, there exists $\alpha \in Z$ such that $\operatorname{im} \alpha \cap Y \neq \emptyset$. Choose $y \in \operatorname{im} \alpha \cap Y$. To demonstrate that $J(\emptyset,\{y\}, 0) \subseteq I$, let $\beta \in J(\emptyset,\{y\}, 0)$. Then $\operatorname{dom} \beta \cap Y=\emptyset \subseteq \operatorname{dom} \alpha \cap Y,|\operatorname{im} \beta|=1 \leq|\operatorname{im} \alpha|$, and $|\operatorname{im} \beta \backslash(\operatorname{im} \alpha \cap Y)|=0 \leq|\operatorname{im} \alpha \backslash Y|$. Consequently, $\beta \in P F[Z]=I$, implying $\{\emptyset\} \cup J(\emptyset,\{y\}, 0) \subseteq I$. Since $I$ is minimal, we conclude that $I=\{\emptyset\} \cup J(\emptyset,\{y\}, 0)$, as required.
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Theorem 2.17 $\operatorname{PFix}(X, Y) \backslash J(Y, Y, c)$ is the unique maximal ideal of $\operatorname{PFix}(X, Y)$.
Proof It is routine to verify that $\operatorname{PFix}(X, Y) \backslash J(Y, Y, c)=\operatorname{PF}[\operatorname{PFix}(X, Y) \backslash J(Y, Y, c)]$ is an ideal of $\operatorname{PFix}(X, Y)$. To show that $\operatorname{PFix}(X, Y) \backslash J(Y, Y, c)$ is a maximal ideal of $\operatorname{PFix}(X, Y)$, we let $M$ be an ideal of $\operatorname{PFix}(X, Y)$ such that $\operatorname{PFix}(X, Y) \backslash J(Y, Y, c) \subsetneq M \subseteq P F i x(X, Y)$. This implies that there exists an $\alpha \in M$, but $\alpha \notin \operatorname{PFix}(X, Y) \backslash J(Y, Y, c)$. As a result, we have $\operatorname{dom} \alpha \cap Y=Y, \operatorname{im} \alpha \cap Y=Y$ and $|\operatorname{im} \alpha \backslash Y|=c$. Now, let $\beta \in J(Y, Y, c)$. Since $\alpha, \beta \in J(Y, Y, c)$, there exist $\lambda$ and $\mu$ in PFix $(X, Y)$ such that $\beta=\lambda \alpha \mu$. Consequently, $\beta=\lambda \alpha \mu \in M$ since $\alpha \in M$ and $M$ is an ideal. Thus, $M=\operatorname{PFix}(X, Y)$. The uniqueness can be proved similar to Theorem 2.8.

Theorem 2.18 The ideals of PFix $(X, Y)$ form a chain under the set inclusion if and only if $Y=\emptyset$.
Proof Assume that $Y \neq \emptyset$. Then there exist an element $y$ in $Y$ and an element $x$ from $X \backslash Y$. Define $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in $\operatorname{PFix}(X, Y)$ by

$$
\alpha=\binom{x}{x} \quad \text { and } \quad \beta=\binom{y}{y}
$$

Since $|\operatorname{im} \alpha \backslash(\operatorname{im} \beta \cap Y)|=1 \not \leq 0=|\operatorname{im} \beta \backslash Y|$, it follows that $\alpha \in P F[\alpha] \backslash P F[\beta]$. Also, since dom $\beta \cap Y=$ $\{y\} \nsubseteq \emptyset=\operatorname{im} \alpha \cap Y$, implies $\beta \in P F[\beta] \backslash P F[\alpha]$. This implies that neither contains the other. Hence, the ideals of $\operatorname{PFix}(X, Y)$ do not form a chain. The converse is trivial, since $\operatorname{PFix}(X, Y)=P(X)$ when $Y=\emptyset$.

Note that in the case where $X$ is a finite set, we have $\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{J}$; this implies, by Theorem 3.5 in [26], that $P F[\alpha]=P F[\beta]$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in J(A, B, t)$. Also, for $\alpha \in J(A, B, t)$ and $\beta \in J(U, V, w)$ such that $A \subseteq U, B \subseteq V$ and $t \leq w$, then $P F[\alpha] \subseteq P F[\beta]$. However, the converse of this statement dose not hold.

This section concludes by explicating the set $J(A, B, t)$ and the poset of ideals within $P F i x(X, Y)$ concerning the sets $X=\{1,2,3\}$ and $Y=\{1,2\}$, as depicted in Table 2 and Figure 2, respectively. The utilization of blue color in these depictions signifies the representation of ideals in the form $\overline{P T}[Z] \cap P F i x(X, Y)$.

| $(1,2,3)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} J(\{1\}, Y, 0) \\ (1,, 2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} J(\{2\}, Y, 0) \\ (, 2,1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} J(\{1\},\{1\}, 1) \\ (1,, 3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} J(\{2\},\{2\}, 1) \\ (, 2,3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} J(Y, Y, 0) \\ (1,2, \\ (1,2,1) \\ (1,2,2) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} J(\{1\},\{1\}, 0) \\ (1,,) \\ (1,, 1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} J(\{2\},\{2\}, 0) \\ (, 2,) \\ (, 2,2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & J(\emptyset,\{1\}, 0) \\ & (,, 1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & J(\emptyset,\{2\}, 0) \\ & (,, 2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline J(\emptyset, \emptyset, 1) \\ (,, 3) \end{gathered}$ |
| $J(\emptyset, \emptyset, 0) \quad \emptyset$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 2. The subsets $J(A, B, t)$ of $\operatorname{PFix}(\{1,2,3\},\{1,2\})$
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Figure 2. The Hasse diagram of ideals in $\operatorname{PFix}(\{1,2,3\},\{1,2\})$
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