1	Evaluation of GIS Based Spatial Interpolation Methods For Groundwater Level: Case						
2	Study of Türkiye						
3	Nilüfer TİROL KIRÇİÇEK ^{1*} , Alper BABA ² , Ayhan KOÇBAY ³ , Murat Mert TOKLU ³						
4	¹ Environmental Engineering Department, Izmir Institute of Technology, Izmir, Türkiye						
5	nilufertirol@iyte.edu.tr						
6	² Department of International Water Sources, Izmir Institute of Technology, Izmir, Türkiye.						
7	alperbaba@iyte.edu.tr						
8	³ General Directorate of State Hydraulic Works, Geotechnical Services and Groundwater						
9	Department, Ankara, Türkiye						
10	akocbay@dsi.gov.tr, mertt@dsi.gov.tr						
11	*Correspondence: nilufertirol@iyte.edu.tr						
12	ORCIDs:						
13	Nilüfer TİROL KIRÇİÇEK: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0239-1677						
14	Alper BABA: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5307-3156						
15	Ayhan KOÇBAY: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9048-0316						
16							
17	Abstract: Groundwater is a valuable and universally distributed resource on Earth.						
18	Understanding the spatial and temporal dynamics of groundwater is of utmost importance for						
19	effective management. Normally, groundwater levels are recorded at arbitrary points, but						
20	groundwater modeling requires interpolating the measured values at specific grid nodes. The						
21	aim of this study was to identify and evaluate the geographical variations of groundwater levels						
22	in Türkiye using three geostatistical interpolation techniques. Data from 355 groundwater wells						
23	from 1970 to 2019 were used for this purpose. In addition, an investigation of changes in annual						
24	average temperature and precipitation was conducted for two different time periods: 1985-2000						

and 2001-2016. The results show an increase in annual average temperature in Türkiye by 0.82
°C during the reference period (1985-2000). Despite regional differences in the precipitation
regime, the average annual precipitation in Türkiye has not changed significantly overall.
Especially in the Meriç-Ergene, Konya Closed (Konya Kapalı) and Euphrates-Tigris basins, a
significant decrease in groundwater levels was observed, even though this decrease falls below
100 meters in some wells. After a comprehensive analysis of all these data, possible
explanations for the changes in groundwater levels were considered.

32 Key words: Agriculture, GIS, groundwater resources, water resources, interpolation, Türkiye

- 33
- 34

35 1. Introduction

Groundwater resources play a crucial role in environmental sustainability, as these resources 36 provide water to humans and ensure the continuity of economic and domestic purposes such as 37 38 agriculture and industry (Holman et al., 2012; Patil et al., 2020). According to Giordano (2009), more than 650 km³ of groundwater is exploited worldwide each year, with 1.5 to 3 billion 39 people relying on groundwater for their drinking water supply. The use of groundwater for 40 41 irrigation purposes accounts for around 60-70%, although these figures vary depending on climate and location (Jakeman et al., n.d; Amanambu et al., 2020). Due to the increasing use of 42 groundwater resources, it has been estimated that groundwater levels have decreased by 4500 43 km³ worldwide between 1900 and 2008 (Frappart & Ramillien, 2018). 44

Groundwater includes all subsurface water in the soil, in the deeper vadose zone and in unconfined and confined aquifers (Green et al., 2011). Climatic conditions around the world affect hydrological systems both directly and indirectly. Recharge and discharge rates of groundwater resources depend on climatic variables as they change the feedback processes in the hydrological cycle (Cuthbert et al., 2019). Aquifers are primarily recharged by precipitation or interaction with surface waters. Consequently, the direct influence of climate on precipitation
and surface water ultimately changes the amount of groundwater storage (Bates et al., 2008;
Franssen, 2009).

53 The Mediterranean region is facing significant impacts of climate change on water quantity and quality (Bangash et al., 2013; Iglesias et al., 2007; Lionello & Scarascia, 2018). Climate change 54 affects groundwater, which is often tapped during periods of drought when surface water is 55 56 scarce. This dependency could become unsustainable in areas where more frequent and longer 57 droughts are expected. In addition, sea level rise due to climate change will threaten coastal aquifers, particularly those that are already salinized as a result of overuse (Jakemann et al., 58 n.d.). As stated in the IPCC report Climate Change 2021 The Physical Science Basis, there is 59 strong evidence that groundwater reserves have been depleted since at least the beginning of 60 the 21st century, primarily due to groundwater abstraction for irrigation in agricultural regions 61 in arid areas. Furthermore, the report emphasizes that the ongoing trend of global warming is 62 expected to intensify the global hydrological cycle, affecting its variability, global monsoon 63 precipitation and the severity of both wet and dry events (Masson-Delmotte et al., 2021). 64 Like other Mediterranean countries, Türkiye also will become hotter and drier due to climate 65 change and face unpredictable rainfall (World Bank Group, 2016). While there has been 66 67 extensive research on water resources and climate change, the focus has been primarily on surface water systems, mainly due to their visibility and accessibility (Amanambu et al., 2020; 68 Kumar, 2012). Assessing the impact of climate change on groundwater is a complex task that 69 usually requires the use of numerical models that take into account the characteristics of the 70 subsurface, aquifer systems, boundaries and recharge and abstraction rates (Secci et al., 2021). 71 Geostatistics, commonly used in groundwater management, helps to assess groundwater 72 storage, reservoir capacity, level fluctuations and water quality (Uyan & Cay, 2013; Xiao et al., 73 74 <u>2016).</u>

75	Per capita water availability is primarily influenced by the size of a country's population. At
76	present, the annual per capita water availability in Türkiye is around 1400 m ³ . The United
77	Nations World Water Development Report defines a state of 'water stress' for a country when
78	its annual water resources fall below 1700 m ³ per capita. In other words, Türkiye is already a
79	country suffering from water stress. Moreover, it is estimated that Türkiye's population will
80	reach about 100 million people by 2040 (TSI, 2018), resulting in a decrease in annual available
81	water per capita to 1120 m ³ , which is a sign of water scarcity (FAO, 2014; Pilevneli et al.,
82	2023).
83	The distribution of water use in Türkiye shows that 71.5 % is used for irrigation, 17.8 % for
84	industrial purposes and 10.7 % for drinking and service water supply, which corresponds to a
85	total withdrawal of 61.5 km ³ in 2018. According to the latest joint data from the State Hydraulic
86	Works (SHW), groundwater use in Türkiye is around 16 km ³ per year (SHW, 2020). Most of
87	the extracted water is used for agricultural irrigation (Çetin, 2020). The growing population and
88	increasing human activities, especially in the field of agriculture, have contributed to an
89	accelerated use of groundwater resources.
90	This study aims to comprehensively assess the dynamics of the groundwater table in Türkiye
91	over the last 50 years. Three different interpolation techniquesare used — simple kriging (SK),
92	empirical Bayesian kriging (EBK) and inverse distance weighting (IDW). The study stands out
93	from similar studies as it uses extensive data sets from different locations across the country
94	and takes a holistic approach. As a result, maps of the groundwater table are produced that
95	provide an overall view of the country's hydrological landscape. The study also attempts to
96	clarify the interplay between climate, agriculture, population dynamics and regional
97	groundwater levels.
98	

100 2. The Study Area

101 Türkiye is located in the northern subtropical climate zone of the earth, between 36–42 °N and 102 26–45 °E (Altinbilek and Hatipoglu, 2020). Although the country is located in the large 103 geographical Mediterranean region, Türkiye's climate depends on its location due to the 104 different mountain ranges along the coasts. Türkiye's coasts have a Mediterranean climate, 105 while the interior of the country has a continental climate (Türkeş, 2020).

106 **2.1.** Population

107 Türkiye has around 85 million inhabitants, who are mainly concentrated in the coastal regions 108 of the country. About 54 percent of this population lives in the Marmara, Aegean and 109 Mediterranean regions (TSI, 2023). Figure 1 shows the distribution of Türkiye's total population 110 by city. The five most populous cities are Istanbul, Ankara, Izmir, Bursa and Antalya 111 respectively. Among these cities, Antalya, Izmir, Kayseri, Bursa and Manisa are the cities with 112 the highest use of groundwater resources for municipal purposes (Koçbay, 2022).



- 113
- 114

Figure 1. City-based Türkiye's total population map (person) (TSI, 2023)

- 115
- 116

117 2.2. Groundwater Use and Agriculture

Türkiye is the seventh largest agricultural producerin the world (Giray & Rastilantie, 2005). 118 The agriculture and food sector is an important economic sector that enables Türkiye to play an 119 active role in international trade markets. According to the TSI, it accounts for about 28.5% of 120 the country's imports, which is more than a quarter of total imports (Taşkın et al., 2022). The 121 122 country has a considerable water footprint of around 139.6 billion m³ per year, 89% of which 123 is accounted for by the agricultural sector. The remaining 7% is for domestic use and 4% for industrial use (World Bank Group, 2016). Cereals account for the largest share of this water 124 footprint (38%), followed by forage crops (31%), industrial crops (13%), oil crops (5%) and 125 126 vegetables/legumes (2%) (Pegram et al., 2014). Figure 2 illustrates the map of Türkiye's agricultural area. The map shows that Konya, Sanliurfa and Ankara have the largest agricultural 127 areas in the country. These provinces are followed by Afyon, Adana, Corum, Divarbakir, 128 Eskişehir, Kayseri, Manisa, Sivas and Yozgat. It can be seen that agricultural activity is 129 concentrated in several regions of Türkiye, including the central interior, the northwest, the 130 southeast and the west. These areas also have high population density and industrialization, 131 which contributes to increased water consumption for agricultural, industrial and domestic 132 purposes. 133 In addition, Figure 3 provides a visual representation of land use and land cover in Türkiye: 134

agricultural areas nationwide are highlighted in yellow, urban areas in red and industrial areas
in purple. Blue shades indicate surface water resources. The distribution of agricultural land in
Türkiye can be examined in more detail using Figure 3. Recognizing and monitoring evolving
patterns of land use in terms of physical, social, and temporal aspects is becoming increasingly
crucial. Various methods are employed to detect shifts in urban landscapes, and ongoing
advancements in these methods continue to emerge. GIS stands out as a particularly effective
technique for scrutinizing urban expansion, employing a multifaceted approach that considers

- 142 both qualitative and quantitative factors. GIS is adept at handling spatial and digitized data,
- 143 exemplified by its use in modeling and forecasting urban growth and development (Karabulut
- 144 et. al., 2021).
- 145



147 **Figure 2.** Türkiye city-based agricultural area map for 2022 (decare) (TSI, 2023)

Despite the significant contribution that irrigation makes to the Turkish economy, the desired 148 optimal land use pattern within the irrigation systems has yet to be realized or established. 149 Moreover, the remarkably low irrigation efficiencies (37% on average) and irrigation ratios 150 (42% in irrigation systems operated by SHW and 66% in irrigation systems operated by water 151 user associations) highlight the challenges associated with inadequate irrigation management 152 and inherent problems of irrigated agriculture in Türkiye. In addition, the water consumption 153 of small private irrigation (PI) systems and municipal irrigation is not officially documented 154 (Cetin, 2020). For this reason, it can be assumed that the extent of irrigation is actually higher 155 than the official figures. 156

157 Figure 4 and Figure 5 show the amount of water extracted from the water sources depending158 on their use and the groundwater allocations in Türkiye, respectively. As can be seen from the

figures, water resources (surface water and groundwater) in Türkiye are mainly consumed for 159 irrigation purposes. In 2018, 61.5 km³ of water was consumed. Approximately 16.2 km³ of this 160 was covered by groundwater resources. The use of groundwater for irrigation has seen a 161 remarkable increase over the years. In 1995, the share of groundwater for irrigation in the total 162 groundwater allocation was about 55%, and by 2019, this figure had risen to 67% (SHW, 2020). 163 Another factor contributing to the increase in water consumption in agriculture is the transition 164 from dryland agriculture to irrigated agriculture. For example, in the Harran Plain, where dry 165 agriculture was previously practiced, the GAP project introduced irrigated agriculture. In 1990, 166 the share of irrigated agricultural land in the total land area was 21%, and by 2020, this share 167 had increased to 54.45% (Karabulut et al., 2023). In addition, climate change is expected to 168 further increase the demand for irrigation water in the Mediterranean region. Forecasts range 169 from 4% to 18%, a trend that is already evident (Galeotti, 2020). 170



Figure 3. Land use/cover classes visualized with 100 m resolution (Source: Figure received
 from Ustaoglu & Aydinoglu, 2019).









Figure 5. Groundwater Allocations in Türkiye (1995-2019) (SHW, 2020).

There are 450 thousand registered and certified wells in the State Hydraulic Works inventory.
Approximately 389 thousand of these wells are used for irrigation and 11,930 hm³ of irrigation

180 water is consumed annually (Koçbay, 2022). Water abstraction from unlicensed wells is one of 181 the most critical problems affecting Türkiye's groundwater resources. It is estimated that there 182 are more than 100 thousand unlicensed wells in the country, of which more than 60 thousand 183 are located in the closed Konya basin (Koçbay, 2022). Especially in the Aegean and Central 184 Anatolia regions, there has been a significant decline in groundwater. In some places, ground 185 subsidence has even occurred due to groundwater depletion (Caló et al., 2017).

186

187 3. Materials and Methods

188 **3.1. Data Collection**

The meteorological data were obtained from the Turkish State Meteorological Service. Figure 6 shows the distribution of the meteorological observation stations used in this study. The monthly data from 115 meteorological observation stations were converted into annual average values. The precipitation and temperature data were divided into two equal periods as a 15-year average (reference period: 1985-2000 and 2001-2016). These periods were compared in terms of average precipitation and temperature. These data were transferred to the ArcGIS Pro (ESRI, USA) environment. The results section includes maps of average annual precipitation andtemperature in Türkiye and data scatter plots.



Figure 6. Meteorological observation stations

Data on groundwater levels were obtained from The General Directorate of State Hydraulic Works (SHW), which operates the wells on a monthly basis and monitors the levels regularly. In this study, 355 groundwater monitoring wells throughout Türkiye were analyzed (Figure 7). Although there are 355 wells in total, the number of wells analyzed for each period is different. The reason for this is that the years in which data collection began and ended are different for each well. The analyzed wells were examined for a total of 5 equal time periods. According to the SHW data, the level measurements in the wells began in 1970. The time periods and the number of wells included in the time periods are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Periods and the number of wells



214

215

Figure 7. Groundwater wells' locations

SYRIA

Nicosia

216 **3.2.** Interpolation Techniques

200

Km

0

Groundwater levels were analyzed between 1970 and 2019 using (1) simple kriging (SK), (2) empirical bayesian kriging (EBK) and (3) inverse distance weighting (IDW) interpolation methods in Geostatistical Wizard of ESRI ArcGIS Pro. The interpolation method enables the prediction of variables in regions where measured values are unavailable. It is based on the assumption that attribute values are continuous throughout space.

222 **3.2.1.** Simple Kriging

Kriging is one of the most well-known and researched statistical interpolation techniques. Itemploys statistical models that allow for various outcomes, including estimations, standard

errors of prediction, probability, and quantity. Eq. 1 defines the simple kriging interpolation. The simple kriging predictor, Z_W^* for estimating the value of Z(x) at a specific point x_0 is calculated by adding the mean value μ to a weighted average of the differences between the random function Z(x) evaluated at each sample point x_i and the mean value μ .

229
$$Z_W^*(x_0) = \mu + \sum_{i=1}^n w_i (Z(x_i) - \mu) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i (Z(x_i) + \mu(1 - \sum_{i=1}^n w_i) = \mu + w^T(z - \mu 1)$$
 (1)

Simple kriging allows for estimating and developing methods for regions with limited data
(Kamińska & Grzywna, 2014). It permits the attribute to be estimated inside the data border.
Another assumption is that the character is spatially dependent, implying that similar values are
more likely to be comparable than those far apart.

234 **3.2.2.** Emprical Bayesian Kriging (EBK)

Empirical Bayesian Kriging is a development over the conventional geostatistical kriging 235 techniques employed in the ESRI® software package (which only use one variogram), as 236 introduced by Krivoruchko and Gribov (2019). EBK approach (Eq. 2) decreases error by 237 automating the semi-variogram modelling process. Empirical Bayesian kriging combines 238 Bayes' theorem and kriging interpolation, and repeated simulations are used to account for the 239 inaccuracy in predicting the true semi-variogram. It selects the best model from randomly 240 241 created models. This method enables moderately non-stationary data and surpasses other 242 kriging methods for small datasets (Zirakbash et al., 2020). EBK models, as described by Gribov and Krivoruchko (2020), offer several advantages over traditional kriging models, 243 including: 1) the ability to handle moderate local and significant non-stationarity in the data; 2) 244 245 the ability to accommodate varying levels of measurement error; 3) the option to apply a local Normal Score process to transform the data into a spatial Gaussian distribution; 4) the ability 246 to divide large datasets into subsets of specified size, with or without overlap; and 5) the 247 capacity to generate the distribution of different possible variograms and provide predictions 248 for each subset. 249

250
$$z_i = t^{-1} (y_i | \Theta) = t^{-1} (y(S_i) + \epsilon_i | \Theta), i = \overline{1 \dots K}$$
 (2)

In this equation, z_i is the measured value at the site that was observed (s_i). The transformed measured value is denoted by y_i, the transformed Gaussian process is denoted by y(s), the normally distributed measurement error of the transformed z_i value with mean zero denoted by \in_i , the transformed Gaussian process is denoted by t(• | Θ), the parameters describing the process are denoted by Θ , and the number of measurements is denoted by K.

256 **3.2.3.** Inverse Distance Weighted (IDW)

The Inverse Distance Weighting (IDW) technique is rooted in Tobler's first law (also known as 257 258 the first law of geography) from 1970. It posits that all things are interrelated, but nearby things 259 are more strongly connected than those that are far apart. In other words, the proximity of a point to the center of the processing cell determines its influence in the averaging process. IDW 260 261 method is widely recognized as a standard spatial interpolation technique in the field of geographic information science. It has been recommended by experts such as Burrough and 262 McDonnell in 1998, and Longley et al. in 2001, and has been integrated into various GIS 263 software programs. Consequently, many GIS users who do not possess extensive knowledge in 264 265 spatial statistics or geostatistics employ IDW as their default method for creating a surface when 266 attribute values are only available at sampled locations. The IDW method (Eq. 3 and 4) calculates an estimate of an unknown value at a location Z by taking into account the observed 267 values (Z) at surrounding sampled locations (x_i). To calculate the approximate value at location 268 269 S_0 , a linear combination is utilized, which involves the weights (λ_i) and the observed values (y) at the surrounding locations (x_i). 270

271
$$Z^*(x_0) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i Z(x_i)$$
 (3)

272
$$\lambda_i = d_{0i}^{-a} / \sum_i^n d_{0i}^{-a}$$
 (4)

274 4. Results and Discussion

275 **4.1. Cross-Validation**

- The results of the cross-validation for the SK, EBK and IDW interpolation methods can be 276 found in the supplementary material. The mean value is the value that indicates whether the 277 model tends to have very high or low values. If the mean value is close to 0, the predicted values 278 are close to the measured values. EBK provided better results than the mean value. Root-Mean-279 Square (RMS) is the parameter that indicates the average difference between the predicted value 280 and the measured value. The closer the RMS value is to 0, the more accurate the predicted 281 values are. When comparing the RMS values of the methods in this study, it can be said that 282 283 SK provides more accurate results when the number of samples is small, and IDW and EBK provide more accurate results when the number of samples increases. The scatterplot compares 284 the predicted values with the measured values. It is best if the reference line matches the 285 286 regression line. EBK and IDW interpolation methods have similar results if the SK method has a regression line parallel to the reference line. However, it should be noted that the regression 287 of the EBK and IDW methods is a good fit to the data as the number of data increases. In the 288 area where the number of data is high, it can be seen that the reference line and the regression 289 line match. Therefore, EBK and IDW provide a more accurate and realistic result map for 290 spatial and geological features in this study. 291
- 292

293 **4.2.Climate Trend**

- 294 The monthly average values for precipitation and the annual average temperature in Türkiye
- were divided into two equal periods (1985-2000 and 2001-2016). Figures 8 and 9 show maps
- with the 15-year average monthly precipitation, while Figures 10 and 11 show maps with the
- 297 **15-year average temperature.**





Figure 8. Türkiye's 15-year average precipitation map for the period 1985-2000













Precipitation maps show areas with below-average monthly precipitation (less than 55 mm) in yellow and areas with above-average values in blue. Temperature maps show light green for average temperatures, yellow and orange for above-average temperatures and blue for belowaverage temperatures. In the coastal areas of Türkiye, from the northwest to the southeast, temperatures are higher than the national average.

313

Data from 115 meteorological stations show that the average annual temperature in Türkiye was 13.28 °C between 1985 and 2000 and rose to 14.10 °C between 2001 and 2016. This represents a temperature increase of 0.82 °C during these periods. Several studies, including Türkeş (2012), Sensoy et al. (2013) and Hadi & Tombul (2018), also emphasize a warming trend in Türkiye, especially after the 1990s.

319

In terms of precipitation, Türkiye had a monthly average of 55.2 mm between 1985 and 2000, 320 321 which increased slightly to around 58 mm between 2001 and 2016. While there are regional variations in the precipitation regime, the total annual precipitation in Türkiye has remained 322 relatively constant overall. A detailed analysis reveals a slight increase in autumn precipitation 323 and a proportional decrease in winter precipitation, with no significant changes in other seasons 324 (Sen, 2013). In addition, it can be seen from the maps that precipitation increases more 325 significantly in regions with higher precipitation, especially in the Marmara, Aegean, 326 Mediterranean, Black Sea and Eastern Anatolia regions. Conversely, in the dry regions 327 characterized by low precipitation (shown in yellow and its various shades), there is a 328 significant decrease in precipitation over time. In other words: While precipitation increased 329 over time in the coastal regions of Türkiye, it continued to decrease in the inland regions. In the 330 study conducted by Sensoy and Demircan (2016), results were obtained that support these 331 findings. Türkiye's agricultural sector is most expansive in the provinces of Konya, Ankara and 332 Sanliurfa, where rainfall is the lowest and the intensity of yellow tones is the highest. Therefore, 333

it can be said that in these cities where irrigation demand is high, low rainfall will further 334 increase the pressure on water resources. Studies that have used long-term precipitation data 335 and statistically tested with the Mann-Kendall method also show a decreasing trend for 336 precipitation in Anatolia (Altın et al. 2012; Cicek et al. 2015), in the southern region (Cicek et 337 al. 2015) and in the western part of Türkiye (Aşıkoğlu and Çiftlik 2015; Bacanlı and Tanrıkulu 338 2016; Bacanli 2017). It has been shown that precipitation only shows an increasing trend in the 339 eastern Black Sea and north-eastern Anatolia (Tayanc et al. 2009; Ünal et al. 2012; Sen 2013; 340 Çiçek et al. 2015). 341

342

343 **4.3. Groundwater Level**

Figure 15 shows the groundwater levels in Türkiye for 10-year periods according to the SK, 344 EBK and IDW interpolation methods. Especially after the 1990s, all three methods show that 345 346 the decline in groundwater levels in the Meric-Ergene, Marmara, Gediz, Büyük Menderes, Küçük Menderes, Konya Closed and Euphrates-Tigris catchment areas has taken on serious 347 proportions. In some regions, the groundwater table is lower than 108 meters. The population 348 349 density influences the water demand in Meric-Ergene, Marmara, Küçük Menderes and Gediz (Figure 1). On the other hand, Meric-Ergene, Büyük Menderes, Gediz, Konya Closed and 350 351 Euphrates-Tigris are also the regions where agriculture is intensively practiced in Türkiye. For example, in the study for the Euphrates-Tigris Basin, Celik (2015) emphasized that the use of 352 groundwater for irrigation in the basin, which has semi-arid climate characteristics, causes a 353 decrease in the groundwater table. When examining the climate trends, an increase in average 354 temperature values can be observed, especially in the basins on the Aegean and Mediterranean 355 coasts, in the closed Konya Closed and in the Euphrates-Tigris basins. The precipitation maps 356 (Fig. 8 and 9) also show that precipitation in the Konya Basin and the Euphrates-Tigris Basin 357 has decreased significantly compared to other regions. Over the years, precipitation in these 358

basins has gradually decreased, which is particularly remarkable given the high agricultural activity in these areas. This decrease in precipitation has led to increasing pressure on groundwater levels. Consequently, the presence of red colors in the maps displayed by all three interpolation methods reflects the consequences of this precipitation shift, which is a crucial element in the hydrological cycle. In addition, this observation is supported by the provision of detailed information on the decline in levels of selected observation wells from these catchments, as shown in Figure 13 and Figure 14.

366

For the period 2010-2019, groundwater levels in the catchments in the Black Sea region (Bati Karadeniz, Yeşilırmak, Doğu Karadeniz, Çoruh) are close to the surface, especially for the EBK and IDW methods. Looking at the climate trend, these catchment areas are above the average for Türkiye in terms of precipitation and below in terms of temperature. The number of wells used for groundwater analysis is lower than in other catchments; it was even found that there is no well data for some catchments. This situation shows that the demand for groundwater in these catchments is low.

374

Figure 12, Figure 13 and Figure 14 show the change of some wells in the regions (Meriç-Ergene, Konya Closed and Euphrates-Tigris Basin) where the groundwater level has decreased the most. Some groundwater wells have dropped to 100 meters in the Meriç-Ergene Basin, 90 378 meters in the Konya Closed Basin and 130 meters in the Euphrates-Tigris Basin. A downward



trend can be observed in most of the wells in these three basins.



Figure 12. Meriç-Ergene Basin groundwater level change over the years





Figure 14. Euphrates-Tigris Basin groundwater level change over the years

387	Studies carried out for different regions of Türkiye also confirm the decrease in groundwater
388	levels over time Anavdin (2010) showed that the Halacli aquifer, which is characterized as a
300	ievels over time. Apayon (2010) showed that the Halaen aquiter, which is characterized as a
389	shallow aquifer, is susceptible to climate fluctuations. Although it was not exploited before, the
390	groundwater level dropped between 1989 and 1997, but when exploitation began in the summer
391	of 1998, the water levels rose again. In order to get a grip on the natural fluctuations in water
392	levels and well discharges, it is important to analyze the reaction of the groundwater system to
393	climate fluctuations and human activities. Another research paper underlines the importance of
394	the Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE) as a valuable tool for studying
395	fluctuations in terrestrial water storage (TWS) at medium and large spatial scales. Using
396	GRACE observations from March 2003 to March 2009, the study estimated linear trends in
397	TWS variations in Türkiye. Especially in the southern part of the Central Anatolian region, a

398	significant decrease in TWS was observed, which amounted to up to 4 cm/year (Lenk, 2013).
399	Çelik's study of the upper Tigris Basin revealed that the changes in groundwater levels in this
400	region are influenced by three main factors. (1) Climate change and associated precipitation
401	variability; (2) population increase has led to increased demand for groundwater resources for
402	drinking and domestic purposes and (3) increasing demand for wells to meet agricultural
403	irrigation needs is another factor affecting groundwater levels in the area (2015). In another
404	study, Yağbasan (2016) calculated a reduction in groundwater recharge of approximately 15%
405	using the hydrological budget method for the observation period (1964-2011) in the Küçük
406	Menderes River Basin. Arkoc (2022) conducted research using different interpolation methods
407	in the Ergene Basin. This research has revealed that the groundwater prediction maps have
408	decreased the groundwater level in the areas where the Organized Industrial Zones (OIZ) are
409	located, mainly due to the excessive pumping capacity of the factories. There has also been a
410	decline in groundwater levels due to pumping for agricultural irrigation during the summer. The
411	accuracy of estimating the groundwater level in this region using interpolation methods depends
412	on the amount of data included in the analysis.
413	
414	In addition, findings from modeling studies underscore the worrying prospect that groundwater
415	resources in certain regions could deteriorate due to the dual impact of changing climatic
416	conditions and escalating overconsumption. For example, Şimşek et al. (2020) used a GIS-
417	integrated method to analyze groundwater level fluctuations and determine groundwater
418	recharge in the Alaşehir alluvial aquifer for a specific hydrological period. This approach
419	facilitated the calculation of total groundwater discharge from the aquifer and showed a
420	decrease in groundwater volume amounting to 235.82 hm ³ , with the largest decrease observed
421	during a dry season. The study indicates that the decrease in groundwater volume exceeds the
422	increase during the hydrological period, which is primarily due to over-exploitation of

423	groundwater resources. Long-term analyzes of groundwater level data show an annual decrease
424	of about 1 meter. Ertürk et al. (2014) investigated the effects of climate change on groundwater
425	resources in a specific area of the Köyceğiz–Dalyan watershed. Using the Soil and Water
426	Assessment Tool (SWAT) model, they assessed the impacts on different components of the
427	water balance considering climate change and land use scenarios. The results of the study's
428	simulations showed an overall decrease in various elements of the water balance, leading to a
429	decrease in the allocation of irrigation water by SWAT due to the effects of climate-induced
430	water decline. As a result, there was an increase in days of water and temperature stress, leading
431	to a reduction in crop yields. This study highlights the urgent concern of impending water
432	scarcity and emphasizes the need to explore and implement more efficient irrigation techniques
433	and promote crops with lower water requirements. Avc1 et al. (2020) show that the current
434	groundwater use pattern in the Demre coastal aquifer may no longer be sustainable by 2050 if
435	agricultural practices do not change and lateral recharge from the mountainous karst aquifer
436	continues. However, the discrepancies between the expected climate change scenarios (RCP
437	4.5 and 8.5) and the observed precipitation and temperature values cast doubt on the reliability
438	of the groundwater flow model that predicts the future conditions of the aquifer. They
439	emphasize the importance of improving the accuracy of climate projections before formulating
440	reliable groundwater management strategies based on predictive models. This underlines the
441	call for future research to focus on refining the input data and assessing the uncertainties within
442	these models.
443	
444	
445	





Figure 15. Groundwater level elevation changes between 1970 to 2019

6. Conclusion

449	This study examines the changes in groundwater levels in Türkiye from 1970 to 2019, analyzing
450	data from 355 wells using three geostatistical interpolation methods. GIS provides effective
451	solutions for managing complex data. GIS tools are able to improve the routine calculation of
452	performance indices and provide valuable insights into the state of water systems for both water
453	managers and decision makers. By utilizing satellite imagery with high spatialand temporal
454	resolution, remote sensing facilitates the extraction of information and deepens the
455	understanding of the relationships between different parameters. It also saves time by analyzing
456	a large number of parameters quickly, making it easier to take action against problems.
457	
458	The results of this study show that the water level is continuously decreasing in all catchment
459	areas, including Meriç-Ergene, Gediz, Konya Closed, Büyük Menderes, Küçük Menderes and
460	Euphrates-Tigris. These areas are characterized by high agricultural production and dense
461	population, so the decline in groundwater levels is a cause for concern. The main causes of this
462	decline are excessive agricultural irrigation practices and changing climate conditions. The
463	study highlights the potentially irreversible consequences of these changes to groundwater,
464	particularly in arid and semi-arid regions where pressure on groundwater has increased.
465	
466	To tackle groundwater depletion comprehensively and ensure sustainable water management
467	in Türkiye, an integrated approach is essential. This includes the implementation of strict
468	regulations on groundwater abstraction in all catchment areas, with a particular focus on densely
469	populated areas, in order to conserve water resources. At the same time, it is necessary to
470	support the introduction of water-saving irrigation technologies in agriculture, incentivize
471	farmers to adopt sustainable practices and promote awareness of responsible water use. Key
472	components include monitoring and regulating population growth, introducing water saving

initiatives and investing in water recycling and reuse systems in urban areas. In agricultural 473 regions, strict regulations on agricultural and urban groundwater use must be enforced, 474 complemented by the introduction of efficient water management practices and the 475 development of alternative water sources. In addition, promoting sustainable agriculture 476 through water-saving practices, implementing groundwater monitoring programs and educating 477 farmers on water conservation are crucial measures. Promoting precision irrigation techniques, 478 exploring alternative water sources, such as treated wastewater, and enforcing regulations on 479 the withdrawal of water from unlicensed wells also contribute to a holistic strategy. Finally, the 480 development and enforcement of regulations on groundwater use for irrigation, combined with 481 investment in climate-resilient agricultural practices and exploration of sustainable water 482 supply options, will promote a resilient and balanced framework for water management in 483 Türkiye. 484

485

486 Acknowledgements

- The authors express special gratitude to the State Hydraulic Works and State Meteorological
 Service, which kindly allowed access to data.
- 489 Author contributions
- 490 Nilüfer Tirol Kırçiçek: Conceptualization; Data curation; Investigation; Methodology;
- 491 Visualization; Writing original draft
- 492 Alper Baba: Conceptualization; Investigation; Methodology; Writing original draft
- 493 Ayhan Koçbay: Data provision, review
- 494 Murat Mert Toklu: Data provision, review

References

496	Altın TB, Barak B, Altın BN (2012). Change in Precipitation and Temperature Amounts over					
497	Three Decades in Central Anatolia, Türkiye. Atmos Clim Sci. 02(01):107-125.					
498	doi:10.4236/acs.2012.21013					
499	Altinbilek, D., Hatipoglu, M.A. (2020). Water Resources Development. In: Harmancioglu, N.,					
500	Altinbilek, D. (eds) Water Resources of Turkey. World Water Resources, vol 2.					
501	Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-11729-0_3					
502	Amanambu AC, Obarein OA, Mossa J, Li L, Ayeni SS, Balogun O, Oyebamiji A & Ochege					
503	FU (2020). Groundwater system and climate change: Present status and future					
504	considerations. In Journal of Hydrology (Vol. 589). Elsevier B.V.					
505	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2020.125163					
506	Apaydin A (2010). Response of groundwater to climate variation: Fluctuations of					
507	groundwater level and well yields in the Halacli aquifer (Cankiri, Türkiye).					
508	Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 165(1–4), 653–663.					
509	https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-009-0976-8					
510	Arkoc O (2022). Modeling of spatiotemporal variations of groundwater levels using different					
511	interpolation methods with the aid of GIS, case study from Ergene Basin, Türkiye,					
512	Modeling Earth Systems and Environment, 8(1), 967–976.					
513	https://doi.org/10.1007/s40808-021-01083-x					
514	Aşıkoğlu OL, Çiftlik D (2015). Recent rainfall trends in the aegean region of Türkiye. J					
515	Hydrometeorol 16(4):1873-1885. doi:10.1175/JHM-D-15-0001.1					
516	Avcı P, Bayarı CS, Özyurt NN (2021). Assessing the effect of climate change on groundwater					
517	use in Demre coastal aquifer (Antalya, Türkiye), coupled use of climate scenarios and					

- 518 numerical flow modeling. Environmental Earth Sciences, 80(6).
- 519 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-021-09517-6
- 520 Bacanlı UG, Tanrıkulu A (2016). Trends in Yearly Precipitation and Temperature on the
- 521 Aegean Region, Türkiye. Published online 2963460.
- 522 Bacanlı UG (2017). Trend analysis of precipitation and drought in the Aegean region,
- 523 Türkiye. Meteorol Appl. 24(2):239-249. doi:10.1002/met.1622
- 524 Bangash RF, Passuello A, Sanchez-Canales M, Terrado M, López A, Elorza FJ, Ziv G, Acuña
- 525 V, Schuhmacher M (2013). Ecosystem services in Mediterranean river basin: Climate
- 526 change impact on water provisioning and erosion control. Science of The Total
- 527 Environment, 458–460, 246–255.
- 528 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.04.025
- 529 Bates B, Kundzewicz Z, Wu S, Palutikof J (eds) (2008). Climate change and water Technical
- 530 Paper of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (Geneva: IPCC Secretariat)
- 531 Clim. Change, 95, p. 96.
- Burrough PA, McDonnell RA (1998). Principles of geographical information systems. Oxford
 University Press, Oxford
- 534 Caló F, Notti D, Galve J, Abdikan S, Görüm T, Pepe A, Balik Şanli F (2017). DInSAR-Based
- 535 Detection of Land Subsidence and Correlation with Groundwater Depletion in Konya
- 536 Plain, Türkiye. Remote Sensing, 9(1), 83. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs9010083
- 537 Cressie NAC (1993). Statistics for Spatial Data. Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical
- 538 Statistics: Applied Probability and Statistics. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

- 539 Cuthbert MO, Gleeson T, Moosdorf N, Befus KM, Schneider A, Hartmann J, Lehner B
- 540 (2019). Global patterns and dynamics of climate–groundwater interactions. Nature

541 Climate Change, 9(2), 137–141. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-018-0386-4

- 542 Celik R (2015). Temporal changes in the groundwater level in the Upper Tigris Basin,
- 543 Türkiye, determined by a GIS technique. Journal of African Earth Sciences, 107, 134–
- 544 143. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafrearsci.2015.03.004
- 545 Çetin, M (2020). Agricultural Water Use. In: Harmancioglu, N., Altinbilek, D. (eds) Water
 546 Resources of Türkiye. World Water Resources, vol 2. Springer, Cham.
- 547 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-11729-0 9
- 548 Çiçek İ, Duman N (2015). Seasonal and Annual Precipitation Trends in Türkiye. Carpathian J
 549 Earth Environ Sci 10(2):77-84.
- SHW (State Hydraulic Works). (2020). https://www.SHW.gov.tr/Sayfa/Detay/1344 Accessed
 date: 28.04.2022
- 552 Ertürk A, Ekdal A, Gürel M, Karakaya N, Guzel C, Gönenç E (2014). Evaluating the impact
- of climate change on groundwater resources in a small Mediterranean watershed.
- 554 Science of the Total Environment, 499, 437–447.
- 555 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.07.001
- 556 FAO, 2014. AQUASTAT database. http://www.fao.org/nr/aquastat
- Franssen HJH (2009). The impact of climate change on groundwater resources. Int. J. Clim.
 Change Strategies Manage., 1 (3) ,pp. 241-254.
- 559 Frappart F, Ramillien G (2018). Monitoring groundwater storage changes using the Gravity
- 560 Recovery and Climate Experiment (GRACE) satellite mission: A review. In Remote
- 561 Sensing (Vol. 10, Issue 6). MDPI AG. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10060829

- 562 Galeotti M (2020). The Economic Impacts of Climate Change in the Mediterranean.
- 563 Mediterranean Yearbook (IEMed).
- 564 Giordano M (2009). Global groundwater? Issues and solutions. Annual Review of
- 565 Environment and Resources, 34, 153–178.
- 566 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.environ.030308.100251
- 567 Giray H, Rastilantie M (2005). Turkish agriculture at a glance Economics of Food Quality
- Assurance and Certification Schemes managed within an Integrated Supply Chain View
- 569 project Active Local Territories for Economic development of Rural Areas View project
- 570 WFL Publisher Science and Technology Turkish agriculture at a glance. In Agriculture
- 571 & Environment (Vol. 10, Issue 4). www.world-food.net
- 572 Green TR, Taniguchi M, Kooi H, Gurdak JJ, Allen DM, Hiscock KM, Treidel H, Aureli A
- 573 (2011). Beneath the surface of global change: Impacts of climate change on
- 574 groundwater. Journal of Hydrology, 405(3–4), 532–560.
- 575 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.05.002
- 576 Gribov A, Krivoruchko K (2020). Empirical Bayesian kriging implementation and usage.
- 577 Science of The Total Environment, 722, 137290.
- 578 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137290
- 579 Hadi SJ, Tombul M (2018). Long-term spatiotemporal trend analysis of precipitation and
- temperature over Türkiye. Meteorological Applications, 25(3), 445–455.
- Holman IP, Allen DM, Cuthbert MO, Goderniaux P (2012). Towards best practice for
- assessing the impacts of climate change on groundwater. Hydrogeology Journal, 20(1),
- 583 1–4. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10040-011-0805-3

- Iglesias A, Garrote L, Flores F, Moneo M (2007). Challenges to Manage the Risk of Water
- 585 Scarcity and Climate Change in the Mediterranean. Water Resources Management,

586 21(5), 775–788. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-006-9111-6

- 587 Jakemann A, Randall O, Huntt J, Andrewwross JD (n.d.). Integrated Groundwater
- 588 Management Concepts, Approaches and Challenges.
- Kamińska A, Grzywna A (2014). Comparison of deteministic interpolation methods for the
 estimation of groundwater level. Journal of Ecological Engineering, 15(4), 55–60.
- 591 https://doi.org/10.12911/22998993.1125458
- 592 Karabulut, Aİ, Yazici-Karabulut, B, Derin, P, Yesilcinar, Mİ, Cullu, MA (2022). Landfill
- 593 siting for municipal solid waste using remote sensing and geographic information system
- 594 integrated analytic hierarchy process and simple additive weighting methods from the
- 595 point of view of a fast-growing metropolitan area in GAP area of Turkey. Environ Sci

596 Pollut Res 29, 4044–4061 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-15951-7

- 597 Karabulut, Aİ, Yazıcı Karabulut, B, Demir Yetiş, A (2023). Socioeconomic driving forces of
- land use/cover changes in the semi-arid Harran plain and their probable implications on
- arising groundwater level, the GAP area of southeastern Türkiye. Acta Geophys. 71,

600 2795–2810 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11600-023-01162-w.

- Koçbay A (2022). Türkiye'de Yeraltı Suyu Yönetimi. TMMOB Jeoloji Mühendisleri Odası
 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YhVNx-Cm_hw Accessed date: 01.05.2022 (in
 Turkish)
- Krivoruchko K (2012). (a) Empirical Bayesian kriging and (b) Modeling contamination using
 empirical Bayesian kriging. https://www.esri.com/news/arcuser/1012/fall2012.html

- 606 Krivoruchko K, Gribov A (2019). Evaluation of empirical Bayesian kriging. Spatial Statistics,
- 607 32, 100368. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SPASTA.2019.100368
- 608 Kumar CP (2012). Climate Change and Its Impact on Groundwater Resources. In
- 609 RESEARCH INVENTY: International Journal of Engineering and Science (Vol. 1, Issue
- 610 5). www.researchinventy.com
- 611 Lenk O (2013). Satellite based estimates of terrestrial water storage variations in Türkiye.
- 512 Journal of Geodynamics, 67, 106–110.
- 613 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jog.2012.04.010
- Lionello P, Scarascia L (2018). The relation between climate change in the Mediterranean
- region and global warming. Regional Environmental Change, 18(5), 1481–1493.
- 616 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-018-1290-1
- Longley PA, Goodchild MF, Maguire DJ, Rhind DW (2001). Geographic information
 systems and science. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Masson-Delmotte, V, Zhai, P, Chen, Y, Goldfarb, L, Gomis, MI, Matthews, JBR, Berger, S,
- Huang, M, Yelekçi, O, Yu, R, Zhou, B, Lonnoy, E, Maycock, TK, Waterfield, T,
- 621 Leitzell, K, Caud, N, (2021). Climate Change 2021 The Physical Science Basis –
- 622 Working Group I Contribution to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental
- 623 Panel on Climate Change.
- Patil NS, Chetan NL, Nataraja M, Suthar S (2020). Climate change scenarios and its effect on
- groundwater level in the Hiranyakeshi watershed. Groundwater for Sustainable
- 626 Development, 10, 100323. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.GSD.2019.100323
- 627 Pegram G, Conyngham S, Aksoy A, Dıvrak BB, Öztok D (2014). Türkiye'nin su ayak izi
- 628 raporu: Su, üretim ve uluslararası ticaret ilişkisi. WWF-Türkiye. (in Turkish)

Pilevneli, T, Capar, G, & Sanchez-Cerda, C (2023). Investigation of climate change impacts
on agricultural production in Türkiye using volumetric water footprint approach.
Sustainable Production and Consumption, 35, 605-623.
Secci D, Tanda MG, D'Oria M, Todaro V, Fagandini C (2021). Impacts of climate change on
groundwater droughts by means of standardized indices and regional climate models.
Journal of Hydrology, 603. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2021.127154
Şen ÖL (2013). Türkiye'de iklim değişikliğinin bütünsel resmi, Türkiye'de İklim Değişikliği
Kongresi (TİKDEK 2013), 3-5 Haziran, İstanbul. (in Turkish)
Sensoy S, Turkoglu N, Akcakaya A, Ekici M, Ulupinar, Y, Demircan M, Atay H, Tüvan A,
Demirbas, H (2013) Trends in Türkiye climate indices from 1960 to 2010. In: The 6th
atmospheric science symposium, ITU, Istanbul
Sensoy S, Demircan M (2016). State of the climate in Türkiye in 2015. Republic of Türkiye
Ministry of Forestry and Water AfairsTurkish State Meteorological Service.
http://www.mgm.gov.tr/fles/en-US/State_of_the_Climate_in_Türkiye_in_2015.pdf.
Şimşek, C, Demirkesen AC, Baba A, Kumanlıoğlu A, Durukan S, Aksoy N, Demirkıran Z,
Hasözbek A, Murathan A, Tayfur G (2020). Estimation groundwater total recharge and
discharge using GIS-integrated water level fluctuation method: a case study from the
Alaşehir alluvial aquifer Western Anatolia, Türkiye. Arabian Journal of Geosciences,
13(3), 143. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12517-020-5062-0
Taşkın, Ö, Somuncu, M, & Çapar, G (2022). An evaluation on the effects of climate change
on water resources and agriculture sector in Türkiye. (İklim değişikliğinin Türkiye'de su
kaynakları ve tarım sektörüne etkileri üzerine bir değerlendirme) (In Turkish).
TÜCAUM 2022 International Geography Symposium, 12-14 October 2022, Ankara,

652 Türkiye.

- Tayanç M, Im U, Doğruel M, Karaca M (2009). Climate change in Türkiye for the last half
- 654 century. Clim Change 94(3-4):483-502. doi:10.1007/s10584-008-9511-0
- 655 TSI (2018). Population Projections, 2018-2080.
- https://data.tuik.gov.tr/Bulten/Index?p=Nufus-Projeksiyonlari-2018-2080-30567.
- 657 Accessed date: 15.12.2023
- TSI (2023). Population of Türkiye, Turkish Statistical Institute, https://data.tuik.gov.tr/
- 659 Accessed date: 09.12.2023
- 660 Türkeş M (2012). Türkiye'de gözlenen ve öngörülen iklim değişikliği, kuraklık ve çölleşme.
- 661 Ankara Üniversitesi Çevrebilimleri Dergisi , 4 (2) , 1-32 . DOI:
- 662 10.1501/Csaum_000000063 (in Turkish)
- Türkeş, M. (2020). Climate and Drought in Turkey. In: Harmancioglu, N., Altinbilek, D. (eds)
- 664 Water Resources of Turkey. World Water Resources, vol 2. Springer, Cham.
- 665 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-11729-0_4
- 666 Ustaoglu E, Aydınoglu AC (2019). Regional Variations of Land-Use Development and Land-
- 667 Use/Cover Change Dynamics: A Case Study of Türkiye. Remote Sens., 11, 885.
- 668 https://doi.org/10.3390/rs11070885
- 669 Uyan M, Cay T (2013). Spatial analyses of groundwater level differences using geostatistical
- 670 modeling. Environmental and Ecological Statistics, 20(4), 633–646.
- 671 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.14076
- ⁶⁷² Ünal YS, Deniz A, Toros H, İncecik S (2012). Temporal and spatial patterns of precipitation
- 673 variability for annual, wet, and dry seasons in Türkiye. Int J Climatol 32(3):392-405.
- 674 doi:10.1002/joc.2274

- World Bank Group (2016). Valuing Water Resources in Türkiye: A Methodological
 Overview and Case Study. World Bank.
- Kiao Y, Gu X, Yin S, Shao J, Cui Y, Zhang Q, Niu Y (2016). Geostatistical interpolation
- 678 model selection based on ArcGIS and spatio-temporal variability analysis of
- groundwater level in piedmont plains, northwest China. SpringerPlus, 5(1), 425.
- 680 https://doi.org/10.1186/s40064-016-2073-0
- 681 Yagbasan O (2016). Impacts of climate change on groundwater recharge in Küçük Menderes
- 682 River Basin in Western Türkiye. Geodinamica Acta, 28(3), 209–222.
- 683 https://doi.org/10.1080/09853111.2015.1121802
- ⁶⁸⁴ Zirakbash T, Admiraal R, Boronina A, Anda M, Bahri, PA (2020). Assessing Interpolation
- 685 Methods for Accuracy of Design Groundwater Levels for Civil Projects. Journal of
- 686 Hydrologic Engineering, 25(9), 04020042. https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)he.1943-
- 687
 5584.0001982
- 688

689 Supplementary Material



690

Figure S1. Cross-validation results of each interpolation method

Table S1. Cross-validation results of interpolation methods

	Period	<mark>1970-1979</mark>	<mark>1980-1989</mark>	<mark>1990-1999</mark>	<mark>2000-2009</mark>	2010-2019
	Count	110	<mark>144</mark>	<mark>270</mark>	<mark>310</mark>	<mark>310</mark>
	<mark>SK</mark>	<mark>0,15</mark>	0,21	<mark>-0,26</mark>	0,07	0,20
<mark>Mean</mark>	EBK	0,12	<mark>0,19</mark>	<mark>-0,14</mark>	<mark>-0,09</mark>	<mark>0,29</mark>
F	IDW	<mark>0,51</mark>	<mark>0,77</mark>	<mark>-0,54</mark>	<mark>-0,34</mark>	0,71
- <mark>u</mark>	SK	<mark>12,17</mark>	11,42	14,52	14,73	<mark>16,88</mark>
t-Mea	EBK	<mark>12,91</mark>	<mark>12,16</mark>	<mark>14,43</mark>	14,10	<mark>16,62</mark>
Roo	IDW	<mark>13,18</mark>	<mark>11,87</mark>	<mark>14,68</mark>	<mark>14,18</mark>	16,32