Could computed tomography histogram analysis add value to the diagnosis of cholesteatoma

**Background/aim:** To investigate the potential role of computed tomography (CT) histogram analysis in differentiating cholesteatoma (CHS) and non-cholesteatoma (NCHS).

**Materials and methods:** We evaluated 77 temporal bone CT images (from November 2016 to February 2020) that were obtained pre-operatively (mean age, 37.10±17.27 years in CHS; 36.72±16.08 years in NCHS group). Histogram analyses of the resulting XML files were conducted using the R Project 3.3.2 program. ROC analysis was used to find threshold values and the diagnostic efficiency of these values in differentiating CHS-NCHS was determined.

**Results:** The CT images of 41 CHS (53.25%) and 36 NHCS cases (46.75%) were evaluated. There was a statistically significant difference between the CHS and NCHS group in terms of the mean, maximum, and median values (P=0.036, P=0.006, P=0.043). When examining the ROC curve obtained from the mean of these parameters, area under the curve (AUC)=0.638 and when the threshold value is selected as 42.55, the mean value was determined to have a sensitivity of 86.50% and specificity of 56.10% in differentiating CHS-NCHS.

**Conclusion:** In cases with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) contraindications, small sized lesions may be difficult to detect and characterize due to a poor resolution; to reduce the rate of false positives/negatives in these situations, CT histogram analysis of previously taken images may provide the additional information.
1. Introduction

Middle ear cholesteatoma (CHS) is a form of chronic otitis media requiring surgical intervention due to its severe complications [1]. A CHS diagnosis is usually made by an otorhinolaryngology physician through an otoscope examination. Preoperative imaging methods may be used to determine the extent of the disease, identify potential complications and tympanomastoid variations that present surgical risks, and verify the diagnosis in situations where otoscope examination is inconclusive. According to the guidelines of the GRADE Working Group, non-contrast high resolution computed tomography (HRCT) of the temporal bone is the primary choice for preoperative imaging of middle ear CHS [2, 3]. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) may be used to complement the findings of HRCT and also in certain indications.

In recent years, there has been a notable increase in research regarding computed tomography (CT) histogram analysis. Histogram analysis is a post-processing technique that is used to evaluate the intensity of the signals on digital images and their position relative to each other, and ultimately provides information by analyzing these differences through statistical software. This technique enables quantification of several parameters within the region of interest (ROI), including mean, maximum, median, minimum, standard deviation (SD), variance, skewness, kurtosis, uniformity, entropy, etc. Thus, the distribution or relationship of gray pixel volume within the ROI can allow for an objective evaluation and interpretation and may provide additional information regarding the micro-environment of the tissue [4].

In this study, we aimed to comparatively analyze the histogram analysis measurements of temporal bone HRCTs that had been preoperatively and routinely
taken from patients who have undergone surgery and have a surgical and histopathological diagnosis for CHS or non-cholesteatoma (NCHS) disease; we aimed to ultimately determine and report the accuracy of CT histogram analysis in predicting CHS preoperatively.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Patient Selection

The study was approved by the Kahramanmaraş Sütçü İmam University Institutional Local Ethics Board. Informed consent was not obtained as the data was collected retrospectively and all imaging data were anonymized.

In this study, the researchers retrospectively scanned the hospital’s radiology information system (RIS) for cases with temporal bone HRCT images taken starting from February 2020 until reaching the sample size (November 2016). Using the hospital information system (HIS), the researchers recorded patients who had undergone a middle ear-mastoid surgery and had histopathological results. All patients in the case group received surgical intervention for the first time, and patients who had imaging taken before recurrent surgery were excluded from the study. 77 patients who met the inclusion criteria were included. The patients were divided into two groups as the 41 CHS and 36 NCHS (including chronic granulation tissue and/or inflammation, cholesterol granuloma) cases.

2.2. Imaging Technique

In this study, the standard non-contrast temporal bone HRCT images were taken with a 320 slice- Aquilion ONE (Toshiba Medical Systems, Otawara, Japan) scanner.
and the acquisition parameters are as follows: Tube voltage of 120 kVp, a tube current of 200 mAs, effective mAs 100, a slice thickness 0.5 mm, a slice interval 0.5 mm, a reconstruction increment 1 mm, a scan field of view (FOV) of 15-20 cm and a high resolution matrix of 512 x 512. Coronal reformations were created through high resolution axial isovolumetric data with a bone algorithm.

2.3. Imaging Evaluation and Analysis

Images that met the criteria were evaluated with consensus by two experienced radiologists (11 and 17 years) on a workstation (27 inch iMac computer (Apple Inc. Cupertino, 88 California, USA)) through a blinded read. Considering a standard sized ROI (5-10 mm²), areas of pathological soft tissue density at the epitympanum level were hand-marked with a drawing tool, the Hounsfield Unit (HU) value of every pixel within the marked area was recorded to an XML (eXtensible Markum Language) file (Figure 1). In every case a total of 30-60 (mean 47) pixels were worked out. Histogram analysis was conducted through the XML files using R Project 3.3.2. The histogram analysis included evaluation of mean, maximum, median, minimum, SD, variance, skewness, kurtosis, uniformity and entropy parameters. ROC analysis was used to find threshold values and the diagnostic efficiency of these values in differentiating CHS-NCHS was determined. The mean value is the average of a given set of data. The maximum parameter is the highest number expressed within the values of an analysis. The median value is defined as the value that divides an ordered ascending series of data into two from the middle. The diagram shows the basic concept of the study (Figure 2).
2.4. Statistical Evaluation

Study data was evaluated using the R 3.3.2 program and IBM SPSS statistics, version 22 (IBM SPSS for Windows, version 22, IBM Corporation, Armonk, New York, United States). The normal distribution of data was evaluated using the Shapiro-Wilk test. For variables that did not exhibit normal distribution, the Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare the groups. The ROC curves were used to determine the sensitivity, specificity and cut-off values. Statistical parameters were expressed as mean±SD and median (25% quartile-75% quartile). Statistical significance was expressed by a P value <0.05.

3. Results

77 temporal bone HRCT images were evaluated. The case group included 41 males and 36 females between the ages of 8-81 years (CHS group 37.10±17.27, NCHS group 36.72±16.08 years). Of the 41 CHS patients, 24 were male and 17 female. Of the 36 NCHS patients, 17 were male and 19 female (Table 1). There was no statistically significant difference between the groups in terms of age and gender (P = 0.922 and P= 0.321, respectively).

From the 10 parameters that were considered in the CT histogram analysis, there was a mild statistically significant difference between the CHS and NCHS group in terms of mean, maximum, and median values (in order P=0.036, P=0.006 and P= 0.043). Mean, maximum, and median values were statistically significantly higher in the CHS group compared to the NCHS group. Minimum, kurtozis and uniformity values were higher in the CHS group, and SD, variance, skewness, and entropy values were higher
in the NCHS group; however, these differences were not statistically significant (Table 2).

When examining the ROC curve obtained through the mean of statistically significant parameters in the histogram analysis, considering that the area under the curve (AUC) = 0.638 and when the threshold value is selected as 42.55, the mean value was determined to have a sensitivity of 86.50% and specificity of 56.10% in differentiating CHS-NCHS (Figure 3).

ROC analysis was conducted on the mean, maximum, and median parameters as they exhibited a statistically significant difference between the CHS and NCHS groups; with respect to the selected cut-off values, the resulting sensitivity and specificity values are displayed below (Table 3).

4. Discussion

In this study, statistically significant differences between the CHS and NCHS groups in terms of mean, maximum, and median values included in the CT histogram analysis parameters were detected. Mean, maximum, and median values were statistically significantly lower in the CHS group compared to the NCHS group. To the best of the researchers’ knowledge, this is the first study in the literature investigating the potential role of histogram analysis, a modern imaging method in radiology, in differentiating CHS-NCHS.

An objective and reliable imaging method is crucial for correctly identifying CHS prior to treatment and detecting postoperative residue or recurrence. Preoperative temporal bone HRCT has several advantages such as confirming the diagnosis, revealing the main complications, displaying the extent of the lesion, and contributing
to surgical planning by showing the patient’s anatomy. For the surgeon, this
collection is especially significant when evaluating hidden areas such as the
epitympanic recess and tympanic cavity [5]. The two primary findings of CHS on
HRCT are a classic homogeneous non-calcified nodular tissue mass that is surrounded
by areas of osteolysis [2]. In the early stages of disease, the diagnosis of CHS may be
difficult if bone changes are absent; the presence of dependant soft tissue or a mass
loading effect on the ossicles are findings compatible with CHS [6]. An entirely full
tympanic cavity makes it more difficult to distinguish CHS from correlated adjacent
inflammatory reactions or granulation tissue [7, 8].

Canal wall up (CWU) and canal wall down (CWD) tympanoplasty are the primary
techniques of CHS surgery. While CWU surgery has greater postoperative patient
comfort, residual lesion rates are higher and because of this, second-look surgery is
carried out after the 1st CWU tympanoplasty to assess the presence of and to treat
residual lesions [9]. Residual-recurrence detection through otoscopic evaluation
becomes increasingly difficult after tympanic membrane grafting, which makes
postoperative imaging gain great importance [10]. Surgeons can safely postpone
second-look surgeries if abnormal soft tissue is not detected in HRCT images taken 6-9
months after the initial surgery. CT holds a very high negative predictive value in the
presence of an empty cavity after mastoidectomy [11, 12]. However, HRCT has a 43%
sensitivity, 42-51% specificity and a 28% predictive value in detecting
residual-recurrent CHS in the presence of soft tissue [11]. In this study, the question
was whether we could increase the sensitivity and specificity of temporal bone CT
examination.
The histogram of a structure is represented by numbers showing the specific gray value of the pixels within the structure. The distribution or relationship of gray pixel volume within the ROI can allow for an objective evaluation and interpretation and provide information regarding the micro-environment of the tissue. Using these values of the histogram, various parameters can be obtained such as mean, variance, and standard deviation [13]. Integrating this analysis with conventional imaging techniques can provide further detail regarding tissue nature. Recent studies have investigated the potential role of histogram analysis in the diagnosis and follow-up of tumor/tumor-like lesions as well as their benign-malign and aggressive-nonaggressive differentiation [14, 15]. Alongside its use in oncology, there are also studies regarding the possible use of CT histogram analysis in showing liver and lung fibrosis as well as changes in the lens due to radiotherapy and also determining the changes in some anatomical regions of the brain in functional neurological disorders [15-19].

CHS is histopathologically defined as an epidermoid cyst consisting of a lumen filled with desquamated epithelial debris and a subepithelial membrane affected by an inflammatory event containing cholesterol crystals and giant cells [20]. The lower mean, maximum and median values found in the CHS group compared to the NCHS were consistent with histological content.

The ROC curve of the maximum was examined with a selected threshold value of 248.50, showing a sensitivity of 73% and specificity of 61% for differentiating CHS-NCHS. The ROC curve of the median was assessed with a selected threshold value of 50.25, revealing a sensitivity of 75.70% and specificity of 58.50% in differentiating CHS-NCHS. HRCT has a low sensitivity and specificity for detecting
residual-recurrent CHS in the presence of soft tissue [11]; we believe this may be increased through the use of histogram analysis parameters.

In a CT study by Tok and colleagues, there was no statistically significant difference between CHS and COM in terms of soft tissue density and HU measurements [21]. When comparing studies, the higher number of patients in our study could have an impact on statistical significance.

In their studies regarding HU measurement in preoperative CT, Min-Hyun Park and colleagues reported a statistically significant difference between CHS and inflammatory granulation tissue as a result of measurements made at the mastoid antrum level. Being consistent with the results of our study, their mean HU value was found to be 42.68 ± 24.42 in the CHS group and 86.07 ± 26.50 in the NCHS group (our mean values were 39.6 in the CHS group and 65.71 in the NCHS group). They linked the low mean HU value in the CHS group to the destruction of trabecular bone structure in CHS patients [22].

Minimum, kurtozis and uniformity median values were higher in the CHS group compared to the NCHS group, and SD, variance, skewness, and entropy values were higher in the NCHS group; however, these differences were not statistically significant.

Factors such as beam hardening, reconstruction artifact, scattered radiation, and material homogeneity could have an impact on HU values [23]. CT number can vary between machines, imaging techniques, and measurement methods. Therefore, the main purpose of our study is to investigate whether there is a difference between the parameters of both groups’ histogram analyses by conducting a detailed evaluation of the pixels through histogram analysis.
The use of DWI in postoperative patients could determine and alter the data of second look surgery or replace it altogether. However, prior to the initial operation, since temporal bone HRCT provides significantly higher resolution images compared to MRI, it is extremely valuable for confirming the patient’s diagnosis and identifying critical complications within anatomical features, and its ability to display fine anatomical detail is undoubtedly indispensable.

Nodular density findings (important clue for CHS) on HRCT cannot be considered in cases where the relative pockets of air are filled with soft tissue density. CT is insufficient in cases where bone erosion cannot be localized clearly, especially in areas hidden to the surgeon. Without requiring additional imaging of the patient and by installing a computer software, CT histogram analysis may be utilized to obtain detailed information regarding the inner structure of the aforementioned soft tissue density at the pixel level, and contribute to the diagnosis. CT of the temporal bone may be preferred in postoperative follow-up imaging, especially in patients that cannot enter an MRI machine, those who require anesthesia for MRI, or to avoid the risks of possible contrast material exposure. In cases of false negative results in MRI where patients require non-invasive imaging prior to second look surgery, additional information can be acquired from the histogram analysis of temporal bone HRCT.

Due to its high resolution, HRCT is favored in detecting small lesions and provides more information compared to MRI and further data may be acquired through histogram analysis.

Our study included certain limitations; the number of patients in the study and control group were limited. The intra and inter-observer variability could not be evaluated as the measurements were made by consensus. The measurements were obtained manually and
were made from the epithympanum-prusac distance, which may have induced bias in the
evaluation of the images. Another limitation was that our study was conducted
retrospectively. Limited number of pixels were worked out proportionally to the small
ROI. To contribute to the literature, we believe that future studies should be conducted
with patients that have undergone both DWI and temporal bone HRCT, where areas
corresponding to restricted diffusion are confirmed through HRCT, and subsequently
measured and evaluated using histogram analysis.

In conclusion, because of a software that can be added to workstations and
histogram analysis that can be utilized with ease in everyday practice, highly detailed data
can be obtained through advanced pixel analysis, especially in debatable cases that
require temporal bone CT examination or MRI sequences. Therefore, the repetitive use of
additional imaging techniques, contrast materials and radiation exposure could be
avoided. In cases where MRI is contraindicated and the localization and high-resolution
characterization of smaller lesions are difficult to obtain, histogram analysis of past
images could be used to obtain greater amounts of data without additional imaging and
can help avoid false-positive and negative situations.
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**Figure 1.** In the axial plane section of the 34 years old male cholesteatoma (CHS) patient’s non-contrast temporal bone high resolution computed tomography (HRCT), the region of interest (ROI) with pathological soft tissue density was hand-marked at the epitympanum level, and its transfer to an XML file is displayed.
Figure 2. The diagram shows the basic concept of the study

Figure 3. The ROC curve of the mean value, which is one of the computed tomography (CT) histogram analysis parameters used to differentiate cholesteatoma (CHS) and non-cholesteatoma (NCHS).

Table 1. Descriptive data of study patients
Table 2. Mean values of computed tomography histogram analyse parameters in cholesteatoma and non-cholesteatoma lesions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Cholesteatoma (n=41)</th>
<th>Non-cholesteatoma (n=36)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median(Q1-Q3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>39.61 (34.05-71.51)</td>
<td>65.71 (48.09-80.46)</td>
<td>0.036*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>236.00 (198.00-302.00)</td>
<td>313.00 (246.00-373.00)</td>
<td>0.006*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>44.00(36.00-69.00)</td>
<td>70.00 (51.00-86.00)</td>
<td>0.043*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>-149.00 (-234.00/-99.00)</td>
<td>-155.00 (-256.00/-101.00)</td>
<td>0.791</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>91.50 (68.28-110.45)</td>
<td>104.73 (74.42-132.93)</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>8372.57(4662-12198)</td>
<td>10967.66(5538.86-17671.10)</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skewness</td>
<td>-0.16 (-0.48-0.11)</td>
<td>-0.02 (-0.24-0.23)</td>
<td>0.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameters</td>
<td>AUC</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>0.638</td>
<td>0.036*</td>
<td>0.865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>0.681</td>
<td>0.006*</td>
<td>0.730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>0.633</td>
<td>0.043*</td>
<td>0.757</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD: Standard deviation
Mann-Whitney U test; $\alpha$:0.05; *Statistically significant

**Table 3.** Diagnostic performance of texture analysis parameters for the diagnosis of cholesteatoma

AUC: Area under curve
Roc Curve- $\alpha$:0.05; *Statistically significant