

1 **Evaluation of serum neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL), asymmetric**  
2 **dimethylarginine (ADMA) and symmetric dimethylarginine (SDMA) levels, and their**  
3 **relations with disease type and activity in inflammatory bowel diseases**

4 **Abstract**

5 **Background/aim:** Inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) mainly encompass two entities called  
6 ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn's disease (CD), both of which are chronic, progressive and,  
7 inflammatory conditions of the gastrointestinal tract. Various indicators and non-invasive  
8 markers have been sought and used in IBD patients to help assessing disease activity and  
9 treatment effectiveness, although none of them are proven to yield definite results in full  
10 correlation with the clinical, endoscopic, and histopathological examinations. The aim of the  
11 current study was to investigate the relationship of serum neutrophil gelatinase-associated  
12 lipocalin (NGAL), asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA), and symmetric dimethylarginine  
13 (SDMA) levels with disease type and activity and to assess their potential use in establishing  
14 diagnosis and activity status of IBD, namely UC and CD.

15 **Materials and methods:** A total of 111 IBD patients with determined active and inactive  
16 disease periods and 70 matched controls were recruited. Serum NGAL levels of the patients  
17 and the control group were measured using commercially available ELISA kits. ADMA and  
18 SMDA levels were accomplished by high performance liquid chromatography

19 **Results:** The IBD group had significantly higher serum levels of NGAL ( $p= 0.001$ ), ADMA  
20 ( $p= 0.0001$ ), and SDMA ( $p= 0.0001$ ) in comparison to the control group. Likewise, serum  
21 NGAL, ADMA, and SDMA levels were significantly higher in the active IBD group  
22 compared to the inactive IBD group ( $p= 0.0001$ ). Active UC and active CD patients similarly  
23 had significantly higher levels of serum NGAL, ADMA, and SDMA than the respective  
24 levels in inactive UK and inactive CD patients ( $p= 0.0001$ ).

1 **Conclusion:** Serum NGAL, ADMA and SMDA levels are increased in patients with IBD  
2 and serum NGAL, ADMA and SMDA concentrations are significantly higher in active IBD  
3 patients than inactive IBD patients. Our results suggest these biomarkers may serve in  
4 estimating IBD activity and severity.

5 **Key words:** Inflammatory bowel disease, ulcerative colitis, Crohn's disease, neutrophil  
6 gelatinase-associated lipocalin, asymmetric dimethylarginine, symmetric dimethylarginine

## 7 **1. Introduction:**

8 The etiology and pathophysiology of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) remain obscure. In  
9 genetically predisposed individuals, IBD develops in connection to environmental factors  
10 which result in an inappropriate immune response leading to chronic intestinal inflammation  
11 and destruction of microvascular endothelial cells in the small bowel and/or colon [1].

12 The clinical course of IBD is marked by alternating episodes of active disease and remission.  
13 Ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn's disease (CD) constitute the two major IBD forms  
14 characterized by epithelial cell destruction as well as a remarkable mucosal infiltration of  
15 inflammatory cells including granulocytes, macrophages, lymphocytes, and plasma cells  
16 [1,2].

17 Oxidative stress and endothelial dysfunction play a key role in IBD pathogenesis. Increased  
18 endothelial cell proliferation and activity has been blamed to cause an altered intestinal  
19 microcirculation which in turn compromises the local intestinal blood supply, eventually  
20 giving rise to the formation of local necrosis and mucosal ulcerations [3,4].

21 Lately, a growing body of research has focused on the use of various non-invasive activity  
22 indicators and markers in tests to assess disease activity and treatment effectiveness in IBD  
23 patients. Nevertheless, none of such testing yet achieved fully compatible results with clinical,  
24 endoscopic, and histopathological examinations. [5,6].

1 Asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA) is a marker of endothelial dysfunction [7]. ADMA is  
2 synthesized through a post-translational modification of the amino acid arginine. Its function  
3 as a competitive inhibitor in cellular intake of the enzyme nitric oxide synthase (NOS) and L-  
4 arginine is noteworthy, as this hampers nitric oxide (NO) production playing a multifactorial  
5 role in various human diseases [7,8].

6 Symmetric dimethylarginine (SDMA) is a closely related stereoisomer of ADMA which is  
7 produced in almost equal amounts. Although SDMA does not inhibit NOS itself, it acts as a  
8 competitive inhibitor of cellular L-arginine uptake, thus, reducing the amount of the substrate  
9 available for NOS and eventually causes attenuation of NO production [7,8].

10 NO is a key molecule which is not only involved in endothelium-dependent vasodilation but  
11 also employed in regulatory functions such as proliferation of smooth muscle cells in the  
12 vascular wall, luminal cell-cell interactions, inhibition of platelet adhesion and aggregation,  
13 monocyte adhesion and inhibition, thereby contributing to the control of vascular homeostasis  
14 and maintenance of blood supply to organs [7-9]. Considering further functions it exerts on  
15 the vascular system including stimulation of angiogenesis and inhibition of superoxide  
16 radicals, NO has been called an “endogenous anti-atherogenic molecule”. ADMA and SDMA  
17 selectively inhibit the synthesis of such an important anti-atherogenic molecule thus deprive  
18 the vascular system making use of the protective effects of NO, subsequently eliciting a  
19 pathophysiological impact [7,9,10].

20 Higher levels of ADMA induce endothelial dysfunction which clinically manifests as  
21 vasodilation arising from impaired endothelium as well as platelet hyperaggregation and  
22 increased monocyte adhesion [9-11].

23 Neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL), also known as GAL, lipocalin 2,  
24 siderocalin, 24p3 or LCN2, is primarily identified in circulating neutrophils, but it may also  
25 exist in several tissues although at quite lower levels [12]. On the other hand, NGAL

1 synthesis and release into circulation is remarkably increased by inflammatory stimuli that  
2 damage the small and large intestinal epithelial cells, respiratory cells, renal tubular cells, and  
3 hepatocyte endothelial cells [13,14].

4 The pathogenic bacteria have adapted several mechanisms to utilize the protein-bound iron on  
5 the host cells. Among them, the best recognized is the siderophores which are defined as low-  
6 molecular-weight ferric chelators [15]. NGAL binds to the iron-loaded siderophore to  
7 sequester the iron away from the environment thus creating a bacteriostatic effect on the  
8 microorganisms which rely on iron [15,16]. Consequently, a recent hypothesis proposes that,  
9 NGAL elicits a bacteriostatic effect by blocking the iron acquisition required by the bacteria  
10 for reproduction and other functions, and therefore attributes an essential role to NGAL as a  
11 part of the innate immune system [16].

12 Inflamed colon harbors an increased synthesis of NGAL and its release into the circulation  
13 which reflects neutrophil activation. On the other hand, with its bacteriostatic effects and  
14 capability to prevent neutrophil chemoattraction, NGAL is also claimed to play role in the  
15 pathogenesis of several inflammatory diseases of the colon [17,18]. In this regard there are  
16 several former studies in the literature investigating fecal NGAL levels in various diseases of  
17 the colon and questioning its applicability as a biomarker or a diagnostic test in these  
18 disorders [5,8,17].

19 Although results are encouraging to support NGAL as an early marker of inflammatory  
20 conditions, studies on its use in IBD are limited in number. Furthermore, studies evaluating  
21 serum levels of NGAL as a possible biomarker in the diagnosis of IBD and determination of  
22 disease activity have controversial results [5,17,18].

23 In this context, the aim of the current study was to investigate serum NGAL, ADMA, and  
24 SDMA levels in IBD patients and evaluate their possible associations with disease type and

1 activity and also to assess their potential use as a biomarker in establishing diagnosis and  
2 activity status of IBD, namely UC and CD.

## 3 **2. Materials and methods**

### 4 **2.1. Study design, setting, and participants**

5 The present cross-sectional study was performed in Outpatient Department of  
6 Gastroenterology at Selcuk University, Medical Faculty Hospital. One hundred and eleven  
7 consecutive IBD patients admitted to the outpatient clinic from May 1, 2018 to February 28,  
8 2020, who were eligible in terms of inclusion and exclusion criteria, were enrolled in the  
9 study. Current study was conducted in accordance with the ethics guidelines of the Helsinki  
10 Declaration. All patients provided signed informed consent prior to their participation into the  
11 study. Also, ethical approval was obtained from Selcuk University, Faculty of Medicine,  
12 Ethics Committee for Non-Interventional Clinical Research (2014/119).

### 13 **2.2. Selection criteria for the patient and the control groups**

14 Current study included 111 IBD patients, namely UC and CD patients aged 18 to 70 years, all  
15 of whom were followed-up in the Outpatient Department of Gastroenterology at Selcuk  
16 University, Medical Faculty Hospital. For all patients, UC and CD diagnoses were based on  
17 histological, endoscopic, radiological, and clinical criteria. Seventy age- and sex matched  
18 healthy individuals were also recruited in the study as the control group.

19 Exclusion criteria: Individuals who are pregnant or have other inflammatory, cardiovascular  
20 or cerebrovascular conditions, peripheral artery disease, renal failure, liver disease, chronic  
21 obstructive pulmonary disease, or cancer were excluded from the study.

22 For each of the participants in the patient group, weight, height, gender, age, status of  
23 cigarette smoking, type and duration of IBD, ongoing medical treatment, extraintestinal  
24 symptoms and complications were noted. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated per person,  
25 by dividing body weight in kilograms to the square of height in centimeters.

1 Clinical activity in UC patients was determined according to the Truelove–Witts criteria and  
2 CCAI [19, 20]. UC patients were classified into 4 groups according to CCAI score; Inactive  
3 or remitted disease (CCAI score  $\leq 4$ ), mild activity (CCAI score 5-10), moderate activity  
4 (CCAI score 11-17) and severe activity (CCAI score  $\geq 18$ ).

5 Crohn’s Disease Activity Index (CDAI) was used to assess disease activity in CD patients  
6 [21]. CD patients were also classified into 3 subgroups according to CDAI score; Inactive or  
7 remitted disease (CDAI  $\leq 150$ ), mild activity (CDAI score 150-220), moderate and severe  
8 activity (CDAI score  $\geq 220$ ).

### 9 **2.3. Laboratory analysis**

10 For all laboratory analyses, peripheral venous blood samples were obtained from IBD patients  
11 and the control group early in the morning after 12 hours of fasting and collected into EDTA,  
12 sodium citrate and gel containing tubes. After allowing for 30 min, gel coated tubes were  
13 centrifuged at 3,500 rpm for 15 min. Once sera were separated, serum samples were aliquoted  
14 into two and were immediately transferred to freezer to be stored at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  until NGAL,  
15 ADMA, and SDMA analysis. Serum NGAL concentrations were measured using a  
16 commercially available sandwich-type enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kit (ELISA;  
17 BioPorto Diagnostic A/S, Gentofte, Denmark) with an automated ELISA reader (Rayto RT  
18 2100 C), ADMA and SMDA levels were accomplished by high performance liquid  
19 chromatography [HPLC (HP Agilent 1200, Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA)]  
20 according to the protocol provided by the manufacturer.

21 Complete blood count (CBC) serum iron and ferritin concentrations were measured using  
22 automated analysers. C-reactive protein (CRP) levels were measured using the ARCHITEC  
23 C1600 device (Abbott Diagnostics, USA) and erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) was  
24 measured using the Test-1 fully automated analyzer (Alifax, Italy).

### 25 **2.4. Statistical analysis**

1 Continuous variables were tested for normal distribution using one-sample Kolmogorov–  
2 Smirnov test. When the tested parameter was normally distributed, parametric tests were  
3 used for statistical analyses, and the results were presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation  
4 (SD). For normally distributed data, statistical comparisons were done using Student *t* test  
5 (comparison of two groups) and one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test (comparison of  
6 more than two groups). Post-hoc analyses for one-way ANOVA tests were done using  
7 Bonferroni test. When the tested parameter was not normally distributed, nonparametric tests  
8 were used, and the results were presented as median  $\pm$  interquartile range. For non-normally  
9 distributed data, statistical comparisons were done using Mann–Whitney *U* test (comparison  
10 of two groups) and Kruskal–Wallis test (comparison of more than two groups). Post-hoc  
11 analyses were done using Mann–Whitney *U* test with Bonferroni correction when Kruskal–  
12 Wallis test yielded significant results.

13 The difference between the categorical variables was tested using Chi-square test. Correlation  
14 tests (Pearson’s or Spearman’s rank correlation) were done to decide as to whether there is  
15 any correlation among the variables. Multiple linear regression models were applied to search  
16 for any relationship patterns between the correlations of NGAL or ADMA and the other  
17 variables. All statistical analysis was carried out using computer software SPSS for Windows  
18 version 20 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Values of  $p < 0.05$  were accepted as statistically  
19 significant.

20 The power analysis for calculation of the sample size was done using computer software “the  
21 G\*Power v.3.1.5” [22]. The required sample size was 45 participants in each group for  
22 serum NGAL ( power = 0.95 at  $\alpha = 0.05$ ), 68 participants in each group for serum ADMA  
23 ( power = 0.85 at  $\alpha = 0.05$ ) and 49 participants in each group for serum SDMA ( power =  
24 0.95 at  $\alpha = 0.05$ ).

### 25 **3. Results**

1 A total of 111 IBD patients (54 female/57 male) and 70 age- and sex-matched healthy  
2 controls (34female/36 male) were included into this study. The median duration of disease in  
3 the patient group was 53.0 (4.00-98.0) months. Out of the IBD patients, 77 had UC (69.4%)  
4 and 34 had CD (30.6%). Among all IBD patients, 51 were in remission (45.9%), while 60  
5 had active disease (54.1%).

### 6 **3.1. Serum NGAL, ADMA, and SDMA levels in IBD patients *versus* the control group**

7 The IBD group and the control group had no significant difference in terms of their  
8 demographic characteristics and smoking behaviors. Mean serum creatinine concentration  
9 ( $0.79 \pm 0.14$  mg/dL;  $0.08 \pm 0.15$  mg/dL,  $p= 0.6$ ) and glomerular filtration rates (GFR) ( $98.98$   
10  $\pm 17.68$  mL/min;  $98.25 \pm 15.80$  mL/min,  $p= 0.7$ ) were also similar in the IBD group and the  
11 control group.

12 The IBD group had significantly higher levels of leukocyte count [ $8.07 \pm 2.44$  ( $\times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$ );  $5.91$   
13  $\pm 1.78$  ( $\times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$ ),  $p= 0.0001$ ], ESR ( $18.19 \pm 12.58$  mm/h;  $5.62 \pm 3.45$  mm/h,  $p= 0.0001$ ) and  
14 CRP [median  $11.51$  ( $5.01$ - $30.1$ ) mg/L;  $5.31$ ( $3.10$  - $10.2$ ) mg/L,  $p= 0.0001$ ] than the control  
15 group. Mean serum concentrations of NGAL ( $148.04 \pm 53.21$  ng/mL;  $107.50 \pm 23.62$  ng/mL,  
16  $p= 0.0001$ ), ADMA ( $0.146 \pm 0.07$   $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ;  $0.110 \pm 0.03$   $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ,  $p= 0.0001$ ), and SDMA  
17 ( $0.146 \pm 0.04$   $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ;  $0.117 \pm 0.01$   $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ,  $p= 0.0001$ ) were also significantly higher in the  
18 IBD group than the control group. On contrary, serum iron ( $57.13 \pm 31.5$  mg/dL;  $88.02 \pm$   
19  $32.17$  mg/dL,  $p= 0.0001$ ) and ferritin ( $53.13 \pm 22.37$  ng/mL;  $99.42 \pm 39.67$  ng/mL,  $p= 0.0001$ )  
20 concentrations were lower in the IBD group than the control group.

### 21 **3.2. Serum NGAL, ADMA and SDMA levels in active vs inactive IBD patients**

22 Demographics, biochemical and inflammatory parameters and mean serum NGAL, ADMA,  
23 and SDMA concentrations in the control group and the active and inactive IBD patients were  
24 shown in Table 1. Demographic characteristics, smoking behaviors and GFR levels were  
25 similar in active and inactive patient groups and healthy controls ( $p \geq 0.05$ ). On the other



1 hand, there was a significant difference between the control group and the active and inactive  
2 IBD patients in terms of serum iron, ferritin and CRP levels, ESR and leucocyte count as well  
3 as mean serum NGAL, ADMA, and SDMA concentrations ( $p < 0.05$ ).  
4 In comparison to the control group, both serum iron and ferritin levels were significantly  
5 lower in the active and inactive IBD patients, whereas only serum iron level was significantly  
6 lower in the active IBD group compared to the inactive IBD group.  
7 Inflammatory parameters (leukocyte count, CRP, ESR) and mean serum NGAL, ADMA, and  
8 SDMA concentrations were significantly higher in the active IBD group than those in the  
9 inactive IBD group. On the other hand, mean serum NGAL, ADMA, and SDMA  
10 concentrations were similar in the inactive IBD group and the control group without any  
11 statistical significance in any of these parameters (Table 1).

### 12 **3.3. Serum NGAL, ADMA, and SDMA levels in patients with ulcerative colitis and** 13 **Crohn's disease**

14 Statistical comparison of UC, CD, and the control group revealed significantly higher ESR  
15 ( $17.50 \pm 13.69$ ;  $19.76 \pm 9.60$ ;  $5.62 \pm 3.45$ ,  $p = 0.0001$ ), CRP [ median  $10.13$  ( $5.01$ - $32.1$ )  $\text{mg/L}$ ;  
16  $14.58$  ( $8.10$ - $44.01$ )  $\text{mg/L}$ ;  $5.31$  ( $3.25$ - $10.10$ )  $\text{mg/L}$ ,  $p = 0.0001$ ], NGAL ( $143.02 \pm 58.28$   
17  $\text{ng/mL}$ ;  $159.41 \pm 36.29$   $\text{ng/mL}$ ;  $107.50 \pm 23.62$   $\text{ng/mL}$ ,  $p = 0.0001$ ), ADMA ( $0.127 \pm 0.046$   
18  $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ;  $0.161 \pm 0.030$   $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ;  $0.110 \pm 0.035$   $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ,  $p = 0.0001$ ), and SDMA ( $0.138 \pm$   
19  $0.050$   $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ;  $0.163 \pm 0.039$   $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ;  $0.117 \pm 0.018$   $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ,  $p = 0.0001$ ) levels in UC and CD  
20 groups compared to the control group (results are given for UC, CD, and control group,  
21 respectively).

22 Mean serum NGAL concentration was significantly higher both in UC and CD groups  
23 compared to the control group ( $p = 0.0001$ ), but there was no significant difference between  
24 the UC and CD patients in terms of serum NGAL concentration ( $p = 0.21$ ).

1 Likewise, mean serum ADMA concentration was significantly higher both in UC and CD  
2 groups compared to the healthy controls ( $p= 0.003$ ,  $p= 0.0001$ , respectively). Statistical  
3 analyses also revealed that CD group had significantly higher serum ADMA concentration  
4 when compared to the UC group ( $p= 0.0001$ ).

5 Similarly, mean serum SDMA concentration was significantly higher both in UC and CD  
6 groups compared to the control group ( $p= 0.0003$ ,  $p= 0.0001$ , respectively), which was also  
7 significantly higher in CD group compared to the UC group ( $p= 0.007$ ).

8 ESR and CRP values were, as well, significantly higher both in UC and CD groups compared  
9 to the control group ( $p= 0.003$ ,  $p= 0.0001$ , respectively), which were also higher in CD group  
10 compared to the UC group, but not to the significant extent ( $p= 0.8$ ,  $p= 0.9$ , respectively).

#### 11 **3.4. Serum NGAL, ADMA, and SDMA levels in active vs inactive ulcerative colitis and** 12 **active vs inactive Crohn's disease patients**

13 As shown in Table 2, demographic characteristic did not significantly vary among the active  
14 UC, inactive UC, active CD, inactive CD, and the control group. On the other hand, active  
15 UC and active CD patients had significantly higher levels of ESR and CRP than the  
16 respective levels in the inactive UC, inactive CD, and the control patients (Table 2). Serum  
17 NGAL, ADMA, and SDMA levels of the active UC and active CD patients were also  
18 significantly higher than the respective levels in the inactive UC, inactive CD, and the control  
19 patients (Table 2).

#### 20 **3.5. Analysis of the relation of serum NGAL, ADMA, and SDMA levels with disease** 21 **characteristics in IBD patients**

22 When UC patients were divided into four subgroups according to anatomical involvement of  
23 the colon as proctitis, proctosigmoiditis, left-sided colitis, and pancolitis and then have their  
24 mean NGAL, ADMA, and SDMA levels compared by ANOVA, it was found that all three  
25 parameters were significantly different between the four groups ( $p= 0.0001$ , Table 3).

1 Subgroup comparisons revealed that serum NGAL, ADMA, and SDMA levels did not differ  
2 significantly between the proctitis and proctosigmoiditis subgroups, whereas patients with  
3 left-sided colitis and pancolitis had significantly higher serum NGAL, ADMA and SDMA  
4 levels when compared to the patients with proctitis and proctosigmoiditis. (p= 0.0001, Table  
5 3).

6 UC patients were divided into four subgroups according to disease severity using CCAI index  
7 (remission, mild UC, moderate UC, and severe UC) and serum NGAL, ADMA, and SDMA  
8 levels were compared using one way ANOVA test. It was found that, all three parameters  
9 were significantly different between the four groups (p= 0.0001, p= 0.003, and p= 0.02,  
10 respectively). In mildly, moderately, and severely ill UC patients, serum NGAL levels were  
11 significantly higher than those of patients in remission. Serum ADMA, and SDMA levels, on  
12 the other hand, were significantly higher only in moderately and severely ill patients when  
13 compared to the patients with mild disease or in remission. There was no significant  
14 difference between the patients with mild disease or in remission in terms of serum ADMA  
15 and SMDA concentrations (Table 3).

16 When UC patients were further divided into subgroups according to disease severity using  
17 Truelove-Witts index (remission, mild UC, moderate UC, and severe UC) and then have their  
18 mean serum NGAL, ADMA, and SDMA levels compared using one way ANOVA test, it was  
19 found that serum NGAL and ADMA levels were significantly different between the four  
20 groups (p= 0.004, 0.001, respectively). In mildly, moderately, and severely ill UC patients,  
21 serum NGAL and ADMA levels were significantly higher than those of patients in remission  
22 (p < 0.05). Moreover, serum NGAL and ADMA levels of the moderate and severe subgroups  
23 were not significantly higher than the respective values of the mild disease subgroup (p >  
24 0.05, Table 3).

1 When CD patients were divided into subgroups according to anatomic location of  
2 involvement as colonic disease, ileocolonic disease and ileal disease and then have their mean  
3 serum NGAL, ADMA, and SDMA levels compared by one way ANOVA test, it was found  
4 that serum NGAL, ADMA and SDMA levels were not significantly different among the three  
5 groups ( $p > 0.05$ ). Although not statistically significant, highest serum levels of NGAL and  
6 ADMA were observed in the ileocolonic subgroup (Table 3).

7 CD patients were divided into three subgroups according to disease severity using CDAI  
8 index scores (remission, mild CD, moderate and severe CD) as previously described. Serum  
9 NGAL, ADMA, and SDMA levels of the groups were compared using one way ANOVA test.  
10 It was found that all three parameters were significantly different between the three groups  
11 ( $p= 0.0001$  for NGAL, ADMA and SMDA). Subgroup analyses revealed that patients with  
12 mild or moderate and severe disease had significantly higher serum NGAL, ADMA, and  
13 SDMA levels when compared to the patients in remission (Table 3).

14 CD patients were also divided into subgroups according to disease phenotype as stricturing,  
15 penetrating, and non-stricturing, non-penetrating and into another set of subgroups by  
16 medication used, and then their mean serum NGAL, ADMA, and SDMA levels compared by  
17 one way ANOVA test which did not show any significant difference among these groups  
18 (Table 3).

### 19 **3.6. Correlation Analysis**

20 Correlations of serum NGAL and ADMA concentrations with several clinic, laboratory and  
21 demographic parameters are given in Table 4 and Table 5, respectively. Both NGAL and  
22 ADMA were found to be positively correlated with leukocyte count, ESR, and CRP levels,  
23 Truelove-Witts activity index and CDAI scores, anatomical localization of UC and status of  
24 IBD activity and they were negatively correlated with serum iron and ferritin levels. Multiple

1 linear correlation analyses, on the other hand, revealed correlation of serum NGAL and  
2 ADMA concentrations with leukocyte count, ESR, CRP and serum iron, and ferritin levels.

### 3 **4. Discussion**

4 IBD develops as a result of chronic intestinal inflammation and the destruction of the  
5 intestinal microvascular endothelial cells, but its etiology and pathophysiology is still not  
6 fully known [1-3].

7 NGAL synthesis is increased in the inflamed colonic epithelium, reflecting the neutrophil  
8 activation which decreases neutrophil chemoattraction and displays bacteriostatic effects.  
9 Therefore, a crucial role has been attributed to NGAL in the inflammatory disease of the  
10 colon [17,18].

11 The NO generation from L- arginine is inhibited by ADMA and SDMA. The distinctive  
12 characteristic of ADMA, a competitive inhibitor of the cellular uptake of L-arginine and  
13 NOS, is that it is accepted as a marker of endothelial dysfunction. As for SDMA, another  
14 competitive inhibitor of the cellular uptake of L-arginine, it is mostly excreted with urine.  
15 While 80% of ADMA is metabolized, 20% is excreted in urine [8,10,11].

16 As for the major findings in our study, we found that serum NGAL, ADMA, and SDMA  
17 levels were significantly higher in IBD patients compared to the healthy controls. Serum  
18 levels of NGAL, ADMA and SDMA were also found to be higher in the active IBD group  
19 compared to the inactive IBD group but no statistical differences were observed between the  
20 inactive IBD group and the control group. In subgroup analyses, serum levels of NGAL,  
21 ADMA, and SDMA were found to be similar in UC and CD patients. For both UC and CD,  
22 serum levels of NGAL, ADMA, and SDMA were significantly higher in patients with active  
23 disease compared to those with inactive disease.

24 In the study conducted by Nielsen et al. on 37 IBD patients, one of the first studies in this  
25 field, it was found that while serum NGAL levels were increased in IBD patients there was no

1 sufficient difference to distinguish the disease activity [17]. In that study, the small number of  
2 patients might have been the possible cause of the different results obtained in terms of  
3 disease activity. Similar to our study, the studies recently conducted by Yeşil et al. [5],  
4 Oikonomou et al. [18], and Budzynska et al. [23] reported that serum NGAL levels were  
5 significantly higher in the IBD group compared to healthy individuals. While no significant  
6 difference in NGAL values was found between active and inactive IBD patients in the study  
7 conducted by Yeşil et al. who compared these patient groups based on their endoscopic and  
8 clinical activity indices; significant differences were reported between active *versus* inactive  
9 IBD, UC and CD patients in the other two studies, similar to our study. In addition, while  
10 serum NGAL level was found to be higher in UC patients compared to patients with CD in  
11 the study by Yeşil et al.; no significant difference was observed between UC and CD patients  
12 in the other two studies, as well as our study. These conflicting results might have arisen from  
13 the inclusion of patients with varying degree of colonic involvement into the relevant studies,  
14 as NGAL level is considered to be lower in patients with involvement confined to ileum  
15 [5,18].

16 In a recent study, Thorsvik et al. [24] demonstrated that not only the serum NGAL levels were  
17 higher in IBD patients, but they were also significantly higher in the active UC and CD  
18 patients compared to inactive UC and CD patients, corroborating our study. Moreover, our  
19 study revealed correlation of the serum NGAL levels with clinical activity index, CRP, ESR,  
20 leukocyte, iron, and ferritin. While there was no correlation with the clinical and endoscopic  
21 activity indices in the study conducted by Yeşil et al. [5]; correlations were identified in the  
22 study conducted by Oikonomou et al. [18], in line with our study.

23 Mean NGAL levels vary in patient and control groups in various studies conducted with  
24 NGAL. It is known that people from different regions have different intestinal microbiota and

1 even the intestinal microbiota of the people in the same region may show differences [25,26].  
2 This may account for the different mean NGAL values.  
3 Another finding in our study was that serum NGAL levels are significantly increased in CD  
4 patients with colonic involvement and ileo-colonic involvement compared to ileal  
5 involvement. Similarly, UC patients with expanded colonic involvement, as in pancolitis, had  
6 higher serum levels of NGAL compared to patients with more localized disease. However,  
7 difference in NGAL levels was insignificant among the disease phenotypes (stricturing,  
8 penetrating, non-stricturing, non-penetrating) in CD. This suggest that the disease phenotype  
9 does not have a direct effect on the serum NGAL levels. Some previous studies in the  
10 literature have also reported similar findings [5,18].  
11 ADMA and SDMA have been increasingly recognized as toxic non-proteinogenic amino  
12 acids in a wide range of human diseases over the past decades [8]. Emerging clinical and  
13 experimental evidence indicates that ADMA and SDMA are involved in the pathophysiology  
14 of endothelial dysfunction, atherosclerosis, oxidative stress, inflammation, and impaired  
15 immunological function [8]. The most well-known effect of ADMA and SDMA at  
16 pathological concentrations is the inhibition of NO production. [7-10]  
17 In the past studies, it has been reported that the endothelial dysfunction in IBD patients is  
18 associated with the decreased NO-dependent dilation and that the diminished microvascular  
19 perfusion contributes to the impaired wound healing and persistent chronic inflammation [2,  
20 27].  
21 In the study conducted by Owczarek et al. [28] on 31 UC and 32 CD patients, it was reported  
22 that serum ADMA and SDMA concentrations in the IBD group were significantly increased  
23 compared to the control group; and in addition, both levels were also significantly higher in  
24 the active UC and CD groups compared to the inactive UC and CD groups. The authors also  
25 noted that these metabolites correlate with the clinical activity of CD [28]. In our study, such

1 a correlation was observed with both UC and CD activation indices (CCAI, CDAI). Of note,  
2 our study includes a quite higher number of patients and evaluated smoking and the drugs  
3 used in treatment as well as the subgroups of these patients, the location of the disease, and its  
4 relationship with its phenotype.

5 In a recent study carried out by Korpicka et al. [29], no difference was found in serum  
6 ADMA and SDMA levels between the group of IBD patients and the control group. The  
7 clinicians further reported that although there was no significant difference in ADMA value  
8 between active *vs* inactive UC and active *vs* inactive CD; SDMA was significantly lower in  
9 CD group compared to the control group and active UC group. Give the inherent  
10 proinflammatory and pro-oxidative function of SDMA, these results are quite conflicting [8].

11 The analytical techniques used might have been another reason of such different results  
12 obtained in the studies. So far, various analytical methods including high-performance liquid  
13 chromatography, gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (MS), liquid chromatography with  
14 MS detection, ultra-high performance liquid chromatography (UPLC)-MS/MS, and enzyme-  
15 linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) have been used to quantify serum ADMA and SDMA  
16 concentrations [8,30].

17 While MS-based methods are sensitive, different results are delivered through various MS  
18 systems. ELISA methods, on the other hand, tend to overestimate ADMA concentrations. For  
19 both ADMA and SDMA only moderate degree of correlation was identified between  
20 quantification by ELISA compared with that by UPLC-MS/MS [8,30]. Standardized  
21 analytical techniques are required in order to reliably assess serum ADMA and SDMA  
22 concentrations on a routine basis in clinical practice.

23 Apart from the inclusion of patients with different extent of colonic involvement in the  
24 studies [5,18], another reason why NGAL, ADMA, and SDMA results are conflicting in the  
25 differentiation of active and inactivated disease states in IBD patients could be that although



1 exclusion criteria have been strictly applied, the conditions such as viral or bacterial  
2 infections, diverticulitis or ischemic colitis might have not been completely excluded. This  
3 may have an effect on serum NGAL, ADMA, and SDMA levels. Moreover, although  
4 validated in several studies, clinical activity indices such as CCAI and CDAI still have some  
5 limitations in detecting and representing the disease activation, their accuracy rates are not  
6 precise [31,32].

7 Main limitations of our study were the small sample size (especially number of CD patients)  
8 and the lack of endoscopic scoring component of the disease index. Moreover, taking the  
9 different mean NGAL values reported in different publications into account, we consider that  
10 it would be appropriate to assess regional mean NGAL levels with large scale studies.

11 Almost all studies demonstrating an association between ADMA or SDMA and clinical  
12 diseases have measured blood plasma levels of ADMA or SDMA, and not their tissue levels  
13 [7, 8]. Any changes in plasma ADMA levels are not correlated with intracellular ADMA  
14 levels in different tissues. The state of activation or inhibition of NOS is, therefore, dependent  
15 on the local intracellular L-arginine to ADMA ratio [8]. For this reason, it is important to note  
16 that systemic and tissue ADMA levels should be assessed simultaneously to elucidate the  
17 relative importance of different mechanisms regulating ADMA homeostasis.

18 In conclusion, serum NGAL and ADMA and SMDA levels are significantly higher in IBD  
19 patients compared to healthy controls and they are also higher in patients with active disease  
20 compared to patients with remission when IBD patients are concerned. Serum NGAL, and  
21 ADMA levels displayed correlations with several clinical activity indices in the current study.

22 These findings imply that, these parameters may have a potential to be utilized as biomarkers  
23 in determining the disease activity in IBD patients. Having said that, future studies to be  
24 conducted with a larger number of patients and in diverse patient populations will guide us to  
25 pinpoint their utilization in the era.

1 **Conflict of interest**

2 Authors declare that no conflicts of interest exists and all authors are responsible for the  
3 contents and creation of the paper.

4 **Informed consent**

5 All of the participants signed written informed consent for the study, which was approved by  
6 Selcuk University, Faculty of Medicine, Ethics Committee for Non-Interventional Clinical  
7 Research (2014/119).

8 **Funding/support**

9 This work was supported by Selcuk University, scientific research projects department.

## 1 **References**

- 2 1. Hatoum OA, Binion DG. The vasculature and inflammatory bowel disease: contribution to  
3 pathogenesis and clinical pathology. *Inflammatory Bowel Diseases* 2005; 11 (3): 304-313.  
4 doi: 10.1097/01.mib.0000160772.78951.61
- 5 2. Hatoum OA, Miura H, Binion DG. The vascular contribution in the pathogenesis of  
6 inflammatory bowel disease. *The American Journal of Physiology-Heart and Circulatory*  
7 *Physiology* 2003; 285 (5): 1791-1796. doi: 10.1152/ajpheart.00552.2003
- 8 3. Hendrickson BA, Gokhale R, Cho JH. Clinical aspects and pathophysiology of  
9 inflammatory bowel disease. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews* 2002; 15 (1): 79–94. doi:  
10 10.1128/cmr.15.1.79-94.2002
- 11 4. Fiocchi C. Inflammatory bowel disease: etiology and pathogenesis. *Gastroenterology* 1998;  
12 115 (1): 182–205. doi: 10.1016/s0016-5085(98)70381-6
- 13 5. Yeşil A, Gönen C, Şenates E, Paker N, Gökden Y et al. Relationship between neutrophil  
14 gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL) levels and inflammatory bowel disease type and  
15 activity. *Digestive Diseases and Sciences* 2013; 58 (9): 2587–2593. doi: 10.1007/s10620-013-  
16 2676-z
- 17 6. Vilela EG, Torres HODG, Martins FP, Ferrari MLA, Andrade MM et al. Evaluation of  
18 inflammatory activity in Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis. *World Journal of*  
19 *Gastroenterology* 2012; 18 (9): 872–881. doi: 10.3748/wjg.v18.i9.872
- 20 7. Dowsett L, Higgins E, Alanazi S, Alshuwayer NA, Leiper FC et al. ADMA: a key player  
21 in the relationship between vascular dysfunction and inflammation in atherosclerosis. *Journal*  
22 *of Clinical Medicine* 2020; 9 (9): 3026. doi: 10.3390/jcm9093026
- 23 8. Tain YL, Hsu CN. Toxic dimethylarginines: asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA) and  
24 symmetric dimethylarginine (SDMA). *Toxins* 2017; 9 (3): 92. doi: 10.3390/toxins9030092

- 1 9. Erbil MK, Kurt YG, Yaman H. Çakır E, Akgül EÖ et al. Metabolism of asymmetric  
2 dimethylarginine and its clinical significance. *Turkish Journal of Biochemistry* 2012; 37 (1):  
3 99–105. doi: 10.5505/tjb.2012.76486
- 4 10. Böger RH. Association of asymmetric dimethylarginine and endothelial dysfunction.  
5 *Clinical Chemistry Laboratory Medicine* 2003; 41 (11): 1467–1472. doi:  
6 10.1515/CCLM.2003.225
- 7 11. Cooke JP. Does ADMA cause endothelial dysfunction? *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and*  
8 *Vascular Biology* 2000; 20 (9): 2032–2037. doi: 10.1161/01.atv.20.9.2032
- 9 12. Xu SY, Carlson M, Engström A, Garcia R, Peterson CGB et al. Purification and  
10 characterization of a human neutrophil lipocalin (HNL) from secondary granules of human  
11 neutrophils. *Scandinavian Journal of Clinical and Laboratory Investigation* 1994; 54 (5): 365-  
12 376. doi: 10.3109/00365519409088436
- 13 13. Seveus L, Amin K, Peterson CB, Roomans GM, Venge P. Human neutrophil lipocalin  
14 (HNL) is a specific granule constituent of the neutrophil granulocytes. *Studies in bronchial*  
15 *and lung parenchymal tissue and peripheral blood cells. Histochemistry and Cell Biology*  
16 1997; 107: 423–433. doi: 10.1007/s004180050129
- 17 14. Devarajan P. Review: neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin: a troponin-like  
18 biomarker for human acute kidney injury. *Nephrology (Carlton)* 2010; 15 (4): 419–428. doi:  
19 10.1111/j.1440-1797.2010.01317.x
- 20 15. Goetz DH, Holmes MA, Borregaard N, Bluhm ME, Raymond KN et al. The neutrophil  
21 lipocalin NGAL is a bacteriostatic agent that interferes with siderophore-mediated iron  
22 acquisition. *Molecular Cell* 2002; 10 (5): 1033–1043. doi: 10.1016/s1097-2765(02)00708-6
- 23 16. Yang J, Goetz D, Li JY, Wang W, Mori WK et al. An iron delivery pathway mediated by  
24 a lipocalin. *Molecular Cell* 2002; 10 (5): 1045–1056. doi: 10.1016/s1097-2765(02)00710-4

- 1 17. Nielsen OH, Gionchetti P, Ainsworth M, Vainer B, Campieri M et al. Rectal dialysate  
2 and fecal concentrations of neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin, interleukin-8, and  
3 tumor necrosis factor-alpha in ulcerative colitis. *American Journal of Gastroenterology* 1999;  
4 94 (10): 2923–2928. doi: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.1999.01439.x
- 5 18. Oikonomou KA, Kapsoritakis AN, Theodoridou C, Karangelis D, Germenis A et al.  
6 Neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL) in inflammatory bowel disease:  
7 association with pathophysiology inflammation, established markers, and disease activity.  
8 *Journal of Gastroenterology* 2012; 47 (5): 519–530. doi: 10.1007/s00535-011-0516-5
- 9 19. Truelove SC, Witts LJ. Cortisone in ulcerative colitis: final report on a therapeutic trial.  
10 *British Medical Journal* 1955; 29 (2): 1041–1048. doi: 10.1136/bmj.2.4947.1041
- 11 20. Rachmilewitz D. Coated mesalazine (5-aminosalicylic acid) versus sulphasalazine in the  
12 treatment of active ulcerative colitis: a randomised trial. *British Medical Journal* 1989; 298  
13 (6666): 82-86. doi: 10.1136/bmj.298.6666.82
- 14 21. Best WR, Beckett JM, Singleton JW, Kern F Jr. Development of a Crohn's disease activity  
15 index. National Cooperative Crohn's Disease Study. *Gastroenterology* 1976; 70 (3): 439-444.
- 16 22. Faul F, Erdfelder E, Lang AG, Buchner A. G\*Power 3: a flexible statistical power  
17 analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. *Behavior Research*  
18 *Methods* 2007; 39 (2): 175-191. doi: 10.3758/bf03193146
- 19 23. Budzynska A, Gawron-Kiszka M, Nowakowska-Dulawa E, Spiewak J, Lesinska M et  
20 al. Serum neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL) correlates with clinical and  
21 endoscopic activity in ulcerative colitis but fails to predict activity in Crohn's disease. *Journal*  
22 *of Physiology and Pharmacology* 2017; 68 (6): 859-865.
- 23 24. Thorsvik S, Damas JK, Granlund vBA, Flo TH, Bergh K et al. Fecal neutrophil  
24 gelatinase-associated lipocalin as a biomarker for inflammatory bowel disease. *Journal of*  
25 *Gastroenterology and Hepatology* 2017; 32 (1): 128–135. doi: 10.1111/jgh.13598

- 1 25. Purchiaroni F, Tortora A, Gabrielli M, Bertucci F, Gigante G et al. The role of intestinal  
2 microbiota and the immune system. *European Review for Medical and Pharmacological*  
3 *Sciences* 2013; 17 (3): 323–333.
- 4 26. Leone V, Chang EB, Devkota S. Diet, microbes, and host genetics: the perfect storm in  
5 inflammatory bowel diseases. *Journal of Gastroenterology* 2013; 48 (3): 315-321. doi:  
6 10.1007/s00535-013-0777-2
- 7 27. Hatoum OA, Binion DG, Otterson MF, Gutterman DD. Acquired microvascular  
8 dysfunction in inflammatory bowel disease: loss of nitric oxide-mediated vasodilation.  
9 *Gastroenterology* 2003; 125 (1): 58–69. doi: 10.1016/s0016-5085(03)00699-1
- 10 28. Owczarek D, Cibor D, Mach T. Asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA), symmetric  
11 dimethylarginine (SDMA), arginine, and 8-Iso-Prostaglandin F2a (8-iso-PGF2a) level in  
12 patients with inflammatory bowel diseases. *Inflammatory Bowel Diseases* 2010; 16 (1): 52–  
13 57. doi: 10.1002/ibd.20994
- 14 29. Korpacka MK, Fleszar MG, Misa IB, Lewandowski L, Szczuka I et al. Transcriptional  
15 and metabolomic analysis of L-Arginine/Nitric Oxide pathway in inflammatory bowel disease  
16 and its association with local inflammatory and angiogenic response: preliminary findings.  
17 *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 2020; 21 (5): 1641; doi:10.3390/ijms21051641
- 18 30. Boelaert J, Schepers E, Glorieux, G, Eloot S, Vanholder R et al. Determination of  
19 asymmetric and symmetric dimethylarginine in serum from patients with chronic kidney  
20 disease: UPLC-MS/MS versus ELISA. *Toxins (Basel)* 2016; 8 (5): 149. doi:  
21 10.3390/toxins8050149
- 22 31. Schoepfer AM, Beglinger C, Straumann A, Trummler M, Vavricka SR et al. Fecal  
23 calprotectin correlates more closely with the simple endoscopic score for Crohn's disease  
24 (SES-CD) than CRP, blood leukocytes, and the CDAI. *American Journal of Gastroenterology*  
25 2010; 105 (1): 162–169. doi: 10.1038/ajg.2009.545

1 32. Vucelic B. Inflammatory bowel diseases: controversies in the use of diagnostic  
2 procedures. *Digestive Diseases* 2009; 27 (3): 269–277. doi: 10.1159/000228560

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 **Tables:**

2 **Table 1. Analysis of demographics, and laboratory parameters in active IBD, inactive**

3 **IBD and control groups**

<b>Parameters</b>	<b>Inactive IBD</b>	<b>Active IBD</b>	<b>Control</b>	<b>P*</b>	<b>Inactive vs Active IBD p</b>
Age (years)	44.57 ± 11.88	41.25 ± 15.80	44.94 ± 7.86	0.1	0.4
Female/ Male	25/26	29/31	34/36	0.9	-
†Duration of Disease (m)	66.00(13.75-93.00)	43.00(3.00-78.00)	-	0.04	-
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	25.93 ± 4.22	25.97 ± 4.10	24.33 ± 3.34	0.2	-
Leukocytes (x10 <sup>3</sup> /μL)	7.07 ± 1.67 <sup>a</sup>	8.92 ± 2.67 <sup>b</sup>	5.91 ± 1.78	0.0001	0.0001
†CRP (mg/L)	4.93(4.19-10.49)	17.11(8.01-35.8)	4.22(3.00-5.00)	0.0001	0.0001
ESR (mm/h)	10.09 ± 6.64 <sup>a</sup>	25.08 ± 12.36 <sup>b</sup>	4.62 ± 3.45	0.0001	0.0001
NGAL (ng/mL)	114.29 ± 31.74	176.72 ± 51.04 <sup>b</sup>	107.50 ± 23.62	0.0001	0.0001
ADMA ( μmol/L)	0.124 ± 0.099	0.165 ± 0.038 <sup>b</sup>	0.110 ± 0.035	0.0001	0.0001
SDMA ( μmol/L)	0.124 ± 0.41	0.165 ± 0.46 <sup>b</sup>	0.117 ± 0.18	0.0001	0.0001



GFR ( mL/min)	97.20 ± 16.43	100.50 ± 18.68	98.25 ± 15.80	0.5	-
Iron ( mg/dL)	70.48 ± 31.68 <sup>a</sup>	47.00 ± 27.38 <sup>b</sup>	88.02 ± 32.17	0.0001	0.0001
Ferritin ( ng/mL)	52.92 ± 22.48 <sup>a</sup>	53.30 ± 26.50 <sup>b</sup>	99.42 ± 30.67	0.0001	1
Smoking					
Smoker	12	15	18	0.9	-
Non-smoker	26	31	35		
Former smoker	13	14	17		

1 Results were presented as mean ± SD, and † median and interquartile range (Q1-Q3). m,  
2 month; BMI, body mass index; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP, C- reactive  
3 protein; GFR, glomerular filtration rate. P\*, intragroup statistical p value; a= Inactive IBD vs  
4 Control p< 0.05; b= Active IBD vs Control p< 0.05

5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12

1 **Table 2. Comparative analysis of active ulcerative colitis vs inactive ulcerative colitis**  
 2 **and active Crohn's disease vs inactive Crohn's disease**

	<b>Active UC</b>	<b>Inactive UC</b>	<b>Active CD</b>	<b>Inactive CD</b>	<b>Control</b>	<b>p</b>
Age (year)	43.09 ± 17.41	45.79 ± 11.36	38.85 ± 13.36	38.00 ± 13.24	44.94 ± 7.86	0.09
BMI	25.5 ± 3.40	26.05 ± 3.41	26.48±4.89	25.33 ± 7.54	24.33 ± 3.34	0.07
Leukocytes (x10 <sup>3</sup> /μL)	8.98 ± 2.29 <sup>abc</sup>	7.28 ± 1.72	8.86 ± 3.15 <sup>de</sup>	5.90 ± 0.58	5.91 ± 1.78	0.001
NGAL (ng/mL)	178.61 ± 63.91 <sup>abc</sup>	114.87 ± 34.43	174.2 ± 27.32 <sup>de</sup>	111.18 ± 8.23	107.50 ± 23.62	0.0001
ADMA (μmol/L)	0.157 ± 0.047 <sup>abc</sup>	0.104 ± 0.03	0.175 ± 0.020 <sup>de</sup>	0.118 ± 0.002	0.110 ± 0.035	0.0001
SDMA (μmol/L)	0.156 ± 0.052 <sup>abc</sup>	0.124 ± 0.044	0.177 ± 0.036 <sup>de</sup>	0.120 ± 0.002	0.117 ± 0.018	0.0001
†CRP(mg/L)	16.00(7.25-28.25) <sup>abc</sup>	5.23(3.19- 10.43)	18.10(9.01- 44.01) <sup>de</sup>	4.30(3.19-10.39)	3.00(2.00- 4.00)	0.0001
ESR (mm/h)	26.08 ± 15.32 <sup>abc</sup>	10.72 ± 6.92	23.76 ± 16.89 <sup>de</sup>	6.75 ± 3.49	5.62 ± 3.45	0.0001

3  
 4 Results were presented as mean ± SD, and † median and interquartile range (Q1-Q3). m,  
 5 month; BMI, body mass index; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP, C- reactive  
 6 protein; GFR, glomerular filtration rate  
 7 a= active UC vs inactive UC, b= active UC vs inactive CD, c= active UC vs control, d= active  
 8 CD vs inactive CD, e= active UC vs control, p< 0.05 denotes statistical significance

9

1 **Table 3. Analysis of the relation of serum NGAL, ADMA, and SDMA levels with disease**

2 **characteristics in IBD patients**

	<b>N</b>	<b>NGAL</b>	<b>p</b>	<b>ADMA</b>	<b>p</b>	<b>SDMA</b>	<b>p</b>
<b>Ulcerative Colitis (UC)</b>	77						
<b>Location of UC</b>			0.0001*		0.0001*		0.0001*
Proctitis	11	109.44 ± 44.02		0.106 ± 0.047		0.116 ± 0.023	
Proctosigmoiditis	44	122.65 ± 41.75	0.2	0.111 ± 0.034	0.7	0.123 ± 0.061	0.7
Left-sided colitis	10	205.72 ± 52.25	0.0001 <sup>ab</sup>	0.186 ± 0.045	0.0001 <sup>a</sup>	0.193 ± 0.057	0.0001 <sup>ab</sup>
Pancolitis	12	196.22 ± 53.27	0.0001 <sup>ab</sup>	0.157 ± 0.035	0.003 <sup>b</sup>	0.181 ± 0.025	0.0001 <sup>ab</sup>
<b>CCAI Classification</b>			0.001*		0.003*		0.02*
Remission	45	122.58 ± 41.51		0.114 ± 0.043		0.134 ± 0.055	
Mild	25	165.53 ± 72.18	0.01 <sup>c</sup>	0.138 ± 0.046		0.137 ± 0.043	0.8
Moderate	5	194.41 ± 52.86	0.03 <sup>c</sup>	0.176 ± 0.026	0.01 <sup>c</sup>	0.171 ± 0.021	0.03 <sup>c</sup>
Severe	2	192.90 ± 5.89	0.02 <sup>c</sup>	0.178 ± 0.002	0.01 <sup>c</sup>	0.180 ± 0.028	0.02 <sup>c</sup>
<b>Truelove-Witts Classification</b>			0.004*		0.001*		0.14*
Remission	43	122.16 ± 40.97		0.109 ± 0.033		0.129 ± 0.044	
Mild	18	163.37 ± 79.51	0.05 <sup>c</sup>	0.140 ± 0.063	0.07	0.140 ± 0.07	
Moderate	12	177.02 ± 59.13	0.01 <sup>c</sup>	0.160 ± 0.038	0.03 <sup>c</sup>	0.161 ± 0.037	
Severe	4	173.63 ± 22.52	0.01 <sup>c</sup>	0.165 ± 0.015	0.03 <sup>c</sup>	0.167 ± 0.022	
<b>Location of CD</b>	34		0.1*		0.07*		0.8*
Colon	5	150.50 ± 43.21		0.154 ± 0.032		0.168 ± 0.051	
Ileum	13	146.52 ± 25.89		0.149 ± 0.028		0.157 ± 0.047	
Ileum-colon	16	172.66 ± 38.81		0.174 ± 0.027		0.167 ± 0.030	
<b>CDAI Score</b>			0.0001*		0.0001*		0.0001*
<150	8	111.18 ± 8.23		0.118 ± 0.002		0.120 ± 0.002	
150-219	18	168.17 ± 20.54	0.0001 <sup>d</sup>	0.168 ± 0.019 <sup>d</sup>		0.158 ± 0.016	0.0001 <sup>d</sup>
>220	8	187.90 ± 36.57	0.0001 <sup>d</sup>	0.190 ± 0.014 <sup>d</sup>		0.220 ± 0.031	0.0001 <sup>d</sup>
<b>Phenotype of CD</b>			0.22*		0.19*		0.16*
Non-stricturing, non-penetrating	21	160.03 ± 21.39		0.164 ± 0.025		0.172 ± 0.044	
Stricturing	6	139.42 ± 4.270		0.142 ± 0.029		0.138 ± 0.020	
Penetrating	7	174.66 ± 58.38		0.170 ± 0.039		0.158 ± 0.031	

Treatment			0.2*		0.6		0.9*
5-ASA	66	141.78 ± 53.31		0.136 ± 0.044		0.144 ± 0.049	
5-ASA+Steroids	19	144.44 ± 52.22		0,132 ± 0,047		0.148 ± 0.060	
5-ASA+immunosuppressive	3	144.30 ± 29.02		0.126 ± 0.027		0.138 ± 0.023	
5ASA+Steroids+immunosuppressive	12	176.72 ± 60.21		0.149 ± 0.044		0.154 ± 0.040	
Anti TNF $\alpha$	11	161.51 ± 46.24		0.152 ± 0.052		0.149 ± 0.041	

1 CCAI, clinical colitis activity index; CDAI, Crohn's disease activity index; 5-ASA; 5-  
2 aminosalicylic acid; TNF $\alpha$ , Tumor necrosis factor. \*= intragroup statistical (ANOVA) p  
3 value, a= p value of proctitis, and proctosigmoiditis vs left-sided colitis, b= p value of  
4 proctitis, and proctosigmoiditis vs pancolitis, c= p value of comparison against remission, d=  
5 p value of comparison against CDAI< 150, p< 0.05 denotes statistical significance

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1

**Table 4. Correlation analyses for serum NGAL concentration**

Parameters	Pearson's Correlation Analysis		Linear Regression Analysis	
	r	p	$\beta$	p
Age	0.043	0.56		
Duration of Disease	-0.122	0.20		
Leukocytes	0.479	0.0001	0.239	0.0001
ESR	0.583	0.0001	0.210	0.001
CRP	0.508	0.0001	0.154	0.01
Iron	-0.363	0.0001	0.009	0.8
Ferritin	-0.224	0.002	-0.020	0.6
Disease Activity Status	0.597	0.0001		
CCAI Classification	0.419	0.0001		
Truelove-Witts Classification	0.378	0.001		
CDAI Score	0.736	0.0001		
Phenotype of CD	0.095	0.5		
Location of UC	0.356	0.0001		

2

3 r, correlation coefficient; p, significance;  $\beta$ , standardized coefficient; CCAI, clinical colitis  
4 activity index; CDAI, Crohn's disease activity index; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate;  
5 CRP, C- reactive protein; p value < 0.05 accepted as statistically significant.

1

**Table 5. Correlation analyses for serum ADMA concentration**

<b>Parameters</b>	<b>Pearson's Correlation Analysis</b>		<b>Linear Analysis</b>	<b>Regression</b>
	<b>r</b>	<b>p</b>	<b>β</b>	<b>p</b>
Age	0.059	0.4		
Duration of Disease	0.154	0.3		
Leukocytes	0.293	0.0001	0.140	0.04
ESR	0.317	0.0001	0.397	0.0001
CRP	0.222	0.003	0.110	0.1
Iron	-0.243	0.0001	0.060	0.9
Ferritin	-0.203	0.006	-0.42	0.5
Disease Activity Status	0.515	0.0001		
CCAI Classification	0.407	0.0001		
Truelove-Witts Classification	0.448	0.0001		
CDAI Score	0.825	0.0001		
Phenotype of CD	0.04	0.9		
Location of UC	0.502	0.0001		

2

3 r, correlation coefficient; p, significance; β, standardized coefficient; CCAI, clinical colitis  
4 activity index; CDAI, Crohn's disease activity index ; ; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate;  
5 CRP, C- reactive protein; p value < 0.05 accepted as statistically significant.

6

7

8

9