

1 pathogens including opportunistic infections, reactivation of latent microorganisms,
2 donor-mediated infections and healthcare-associated infections [1].

3 Bacteremia occurs in 5- 10% of kidney transplant and heart transplant recipients and more
4 commonly in liver transplant and lung transplant recipients with rates of 10-25 %. The
5 sources of bacteremia may include central-line catheters, the pulmonary tract, the urinary
6 tract and the surgical site [2].

7 Significantly, recent reports have shown a shift towards gram negative bacteria (GNB) in
8 the distribution of the pathogens that cause bloodstream infection (BSI) in the SOT
9 recipients [3-6].

10 The incidence of GNB BSIs is reported to be 210.3/1000 person-years in the first month
11 after transplantation and decreased to 25.7/1000 person-years between 2 and 12 months
12 after transplantation [7]. The incidence of septic shock in patients who have gram-
13 negative bacteremia is reported to be higher than in those with either gram-positive or
14 fungal infections [8].

15 Growing antimicrobial resistance is another concern in SOT recipients. Frequent or
16 prolonged hospitalization, exposure to multiple invasive procedures or to indwelling
17 devices and the use of multiple antimicrobial drugs all put patients at high risk of
18 colonization and infection with multiple drug resistant (MDR) bacteria. Managing
19 infections caused by these pathogens is very difficult. Controlling MDR or extensively
20 drug-resistant (XDR) bacteremia in immunosuppressive patients is a further challenge for
21 clinicians. There is no specific prevention or treatment proposal.

22 The aim of this study is to evaluate the distribution of the etiological agents of XDR GNB
23 BSIs and the clinical features of early- and late-onset XDR GNB BSIs along with 7- and
24 30-day mortality rates among SOT recipients.

1 **2. Materials and methods**

2 **2.1. Data Collection**

3 We conducted a retrospective study of SOT recipients of who had XDR GNB bacteremia
4 in 11 centers (from Ankara, Malatya, Istanbul, Adana, Izmir, Denizli, Antalya) in Turkey
5 between December 1, 2016 and December 31,2018. All patients were over 18 years of
6 age.

7 Demographic, clinical and laboratory data were collected retrospectively from the
8 patients' medical records and age, sex, type and date of transplant, date of BSI episode,
9 microbiological characteristics, source of infection, laboratory tests and 7-day,30-day
10 mortality rates were recorded.

11 Comorbidities included diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular diseases, chronic kidney
12 disease, chronic liver disease and chronic pulmonary diseases.

13 This study was approved by the Baskent University Institutional Review Board (Project
14 number: 94603339-604.01.02/20011).

15 The study evaluated demographic and microbiological characteristics, laboratory data,
16 early- and late-onset XDR GNB BSI attacks, and 7- day,30-day mortality rates.

17 **2.2. Definitions**

18 Early-onset bacteremia: Bacteremia that develops within 30 days after transplantation

19 Late-onset bacteremia: Bacteremia that develops more than 30 days after transplantation

20 Extensively drug-resistant (XDR): Bacteria susceptible to only one or two antibiotics
21 tested according to EUCAST criteria [9].

22 **2.3. Statistical analysis**

23 All categorical data were reported as number (percentage) or numeric data as
24 mean±standard deviation or median (range). Categorical variables were compared using

1 Pearson's χ^2 test. To determine the association between demographic/clinical variables
2 and MDR GNB-related 7-and 30-day mortalities, all co-variables associated with a p
3 value of <0.05 in the univariate analysis were selected for multivariate logistic regression
4 analysis. The odds ratios (ORs) that had 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for the effect of
5 the demographic and clinical predictors on mortality were evaluated using logistic
6 regression.

7 The continuous numerical variables were not normally distributed, so they were presented
8 as the median values compared using the Mann-Whitney U test. The categorical data were
9 presented with frequency (%) and compared using a chi-square test.

10 **3. Results**

11 During the study period, 171 bacteremia episodes in 164 patients were recorded in 11
12 centers. Of these patients, 93 (56.7%) were liver, 46 (28%) were kidney, 14 (8.5%) were
13 heart and 11 were (6.7%) lung recipients.

14 Of the 164 patients, 110 (67.3%) were male and the median age of all patients at the time
15 of the bacteremia episode was 51 years (min. 19, max. 74). Table 1 shows the patients'
16 demographic characteristics.

17 Hospitalization within the previous three months ranged from 0–7 times (median 1) and
18 hospitalizations within the previous year ranged from 0–22 times (median 2).

19 Antibiotic use during the previous 30 days was recorded in 105 (61.4%) of the BSI
20 episodes. In 57 of these cases (33.3%), multiple antibiotics were used. The distribution of
21 the antibiotics previously used were carbapenems in 62 (36.5%), piperacillin-tazobactam
22 in 21 (12.4%), cephalosporin in 20 (11.8%), glycopeptide in 19 (11.2%), linezolid in 15
23 (8.8%), colistin in 15 (8.8%) and quinolones in 12 (7.1%) patients.

1 Bacteremia episodes occurred in 63.7% of the patients (n = 109) in the first year after
2 transplantation, 17.5% (n = 30) in the second year, 7% (n = 12) in the third year and 1.8%
3 (n = 3) in the fourth year. The data showed a year-by-year decline. Early-onset bacteremia
4 was found in 45% (n=77) of the episodes. Table 2 shows the clinical and microbiological
5 characteristics of the attacks.

6 The distribution of isolated bacteria was as follows: 69 episodes (40.4%) *Klebsiella*
7 *pneumoniae*, 59 (34.5%) *Acinetobacter baumannii*, 20 (11.7%) *Escherichia coli*, 18
8 (10.5%) *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and 5 (2.9%) *Enterobacter* spp. In addition, 14 (8.2%)
9 episodes were polymicrobial. The distribution of isolated bacteria according to
10 transplanted organ presented in Table 3.

11 The sources of the bacteremia were as follows: 67 (39.2%) the surgical site, 40 (23.4%)
12 urinary tract, 26 (15.2%) central-line catheters, 19 (11.1%) respiratory tract and 15 (8.8%)
13 intra-abdominal collections. The source of the infection was not recorded in four patients.
14 The distribution of bacteremia sources according to transplanted organ presented in Table
15 4.

16 The rate of 30-day mortality after bacteremia was 26.3% (n = 45), and 68.8% (31/45) of
17 these deaths occurred within the first 7 days.

18 When we compared the data by the time of the bacteremia onset, the rate of early-onset
19 bacteremia was higher in recipients of lung transplantation (81.8%,9/11) and heart
20 transplantation (64.3%,9/14); the rate of late-onset bacteremia was higher in recipients of
21 liver transplantation (58.2%,57/98) and kidney transplantation (62.5%, 30/48) (p=0.022).
22 Transplantation from deceased donors was associated with an increased rate of early-
23 onset bacteremia: 54.5% (42/79), while transplantation from living donors was associated
24 with an increase in late-onset bacteremia: 60.6% (57/92) (p=0.048).

1 The evaluation of the data and laboratory values according to bacteremia time presented
2 in Table 5 and Table 6.

3 The highest 7-day mortality rate was found in heart recipients as 50% (7/14), followed by
4 lung recipients 36.4% (4/11), kidney recipients 16.7% (8/48), and liver recipients 12.2%
5 (12/98). (p=0.002).

6 The 7-day mortality rate was 27.8% (22/79) for those receiving organs from deceased
7 donors and 9.8% (9/92) for those receiving organs from living donors (p=0.002). The
8 bacteremia in 15 (48.3%) of the 31 patients who died within 7 days was associated with
9 a central-line catheter (p<0.001). The 7-day mortality rate was 26.7% (28/105) for
10 patients who had used antibiotics for 30 days before the bacteremia attack and 4.5% (3/66)
11 for those who had not (p<0.001). In early-onset bacteremia group, the 7-day mortality
12 rate was 22.1% (17/77), while in late-onset bacteremia group was 14.8% (14/94)
13 (p=0.225). In the multivariate analysis, only catheter-associated infection was found to
14 be an independent risk factor (p=0.037) for 7-day mortality.

15 The highest 30-day mortality rate was 64.3% (9/14) in patients with heart transplants,
16 followed by those with lung transplants (54.5%,6/11), renal transplants (22.9%,11/48),
17 and liver transplants (19.4%,19/98) (p=0.001). The 30-day mortality rate was 39.2%
18 (31/79) in transplant recipients from deceased donors and 15.2% (14/92) in transplant
19 recipients from living donors (p< 0.001). The 30-day mortality rate was 20.7% (12/58) in
20 patients without comorbidities, 23.3% (14/60) in patients with a single comorbidity and
21 35.8% (19/53) in patients with multiple comorbidities (p=0.157). When the 30-day
22 mortality was evaluated by the source of the bacteremia, central-line catheters were
23 responsible in 16 (35.5%) of the 46 patients (p< 0.001).

1 Of the 105 episodes of antibiotic use within the 30 days before the infection, 39 (37.1%)
2 of these resulted in exitus ($p < 0.001$). The 30-day mortality rate was 33.8% (26/77) in
3 cases of early-onset bacteremia and 20.2% (19/94) in cases of late-onset bacteremia ($p =$
4 0.045).

5 In the multivariate analysis, bacteremia seen in the first one month i.e. early-onset
6 bacteremia was found as an independent risk factor for 30-day mortality ($p = 0.017$).

7 Table 7 shows the results of 7- and 30- day mortality univariate and multivariate analysis.

8 The relationships between 7-day mortality rate and the median levels of leukocyte, CRP,
9 and procalcitonin were statistically significant ($p = 0.013, 0.003, \text{ and } 0.019$, respectively).

10 The median levels of CRP and procalcitonin were higher in patients who experienced
11 mortality within 30 days ($p = 0.003 \text{ and } 0.028$, respectively). The laboratory values
12 analysis of 7- and 30-day mortality presented in table 8.

13

14 **4. Discussion**

15 The increasing problem of antimicrobial resistance and the lack of new treatment
16 options make it difficult to control infections. This is even more difficult for transplant
17 patients. SOT recipients were admitted to the emergency department three times more
18 frequently and were eighteen times more likely to be exposed to healthcare-associated
19 infections [10]. The present study revealed hospitalization within the previous 3 months
20 of BSI ranged from 0–7 times (median 1) and within the previous year of BSI ranged
21 from 0–22 (median 2).

22 In the first two months after transplantation, there is a high risk of infection [11]. The
23 present study, 63.7% of XDR GNB BSI occurred in the first year after transplantation.

24 Patients are at the highest risk for MDR GNB infections in the early post-transplant

1 period because of the high dose of immunosuppressive drugs, the frequency of invasive
2 procedures and the colonization of resistant bacteria. In the present study, there were
3 more early post-transplant infections than late post-transplant ones and mortality was
4 found to be higher in early-onset bacteremia than in late-onset.

5 The highest rate of early-onset bacteremia was in lung transplant patients and the lowest
6 was in kidney transplant patients. The lack of chance of lung and heart transplant
7 recipients other than deceased donors may also have contributed to the increased risk of
8 infection. On the other hand, these results can be attributed to the fact that kidney
9 transplants occur in the retroperitoneal region, necessitate shorter post-operative stays in
10 intensive care units and are either less invasive procedures or shorter duration.

11 Antibiotic resistant pathogens are associated with healthcare environments and
12 transplant patients frequently receive healthcare services. In the present study, catheter-
13 associated XDR GNB BSIs were found to be an independent risk factor for 7-day
14 mortality. This result emphasizes that catheters should be removed immediately in cases
15 of catheter-associated infections. On the other hand, it also points out the importance of
16 infection prevention measures in the insertion and maintenance of central-line catheters.
17 Staff training and catheter-protection measures are recommended for this purpose [12].

18 The American Society of Transplantation Infectious Diseases Community MDR GNB
19 Guideline reported mortality rates of 30–50% for carbapenem resistant
20 Enterobacteriaceae, 40% for MDR *P.aeruginosa*, and greater than 52% for carbapenem
21 resistant *A.baumannii* [11]. In our study we found a 7-day mortality rate of 18.1% and a
22 30-day mortality rate of 26.3%. The majority of patients in the present study had
23 received liver transplants. The highest rates of 7- and 30-day mortality were in heart

1 transplant patients, followed by lung transplant patients. But the number of heart and
2 lung transplants in our study is low.

3 The present study found high rates of late-onset bacteremia in recipients of transplants
4 from living donors and high rates of early-onset bacteremia in recipients of transplants
5 from deceased donors. Donor-type seemed to be effective in terms of bacteremia
6 prognosis. Qiao et al. found that deceased donors were significantly related to
7 mortalities from MDR GNB [3]. In the present study, the rates of 7- and 30-day
8 mortality were higher in recipients of organs from deceased donors than in recipients of
9 organs from living donors. Shields et al. evaluated infections by XDR *A.baumannii* in
10 SOT recipients. They reported a 28-day clinical success rate of 49% in infected patients,
11 but 44% of those patients had a recurrence within 3 months [13]. It's well known that
12 achieving success in treatment of infections in transplant patients is difficult, but it
13 becomes much more difficult with XDR bacteria. Because these patients are very
14 fragile, when infection strikes, fast, and effective empirical antibiotic treatment should
15 be provided, and the risk of recurrence should be kept in mind even when the infection
16 is under control.

17 Studies have reported multiple and inappropriate uses of antibiotics as risk factors for
18 MDR organisms [14]. In the present study, the use of antibiotics within 30 days before
19 the BSI attack was significantly related to higher rates of both 7- and 30-day mortality,
20 however after multivariate analysis it was not found as an independent risk factor. In
21 transplant patients, hospitalization is generally preferred over outpatient treatment.
22 Given this, while the patient's current episode of infection is being treated, the hospital
23 environment may increase the chances of colonization or infection with resistant
24 bacterial flora. Mazza et al. found mortality of 100% in patients with carbapenem-

1 resistant *K. pneumoniae* colonized before transplantation and mortality of 60% in
2 patients colonized after transplantation [15].
3 The tendency to increase antimicrobial resistance among GNBs may affect local
4 decisions regarding empirical antibiotic treatment of transplant patients who present
5 with possible BSIs. Yeşilkaya et al. found that the XDR rate for *A.baumannii* was
6 38.9% [16]. Therefore, it is important to know the patient's colonization status in order
7 to provide appropriate empirical antibiotic treatment rather than beginning inappropriate
8 broad-spectrum antibiotics [17,18].

9 Limitations of this study are the lack of data on prospective follow up of patients, the
10 effectiveness of the antibacterial treatments and the attributable mortality rates
11 regarding bacteremia due to XDR GNB.

12 Infections -nowadays difficult-to-treat infections due to XDR bacteria- in SOT
13 recipients shadow the success of transplantation. In this study, central venous catheters
14 and antibiotic use seem to be the two main driving main risk factors for the
15 development of difficult-to-treat bacteremia caused by XDR GNB. To combat these
16 infections, judicious use of medical devices are of pivotal importance.

17

18 **Acknowledgement/Disclaimers/Conflict of interest**

19 All authors contributed to the study and accepted the final version of the article. The
20 authors declare no conflict of interest. No funding was received for this study.

21 **Informed consent**

22 This study was approved by the Baskent University Institutional Review Board (Project
23 number: 94603339-604.01.02/20011).

24 **References**

- 1 1. Bartoletti M, Giannella M, Tedeschi S, Viale P. Multidrug-resistant bacterial infections
2 in solid organ transplant candidates and recipients. *Infectious Disease Clinics of North*
3 *America* 2018;32(3):551-580. doi: 10.1016/j.idc.2018.04.004
- 4 2. Singh N, Limaye AP. Infections in solid-organ transplant recipients. In: Mandell GL,
5 Bennett JE, Dolin R (editors). *Mandell, Douglas, and Bennett's Principles and Practice*
6 *of Infectious Diseases*. Updated ed. Philadelphia, PA, USA: Churchill Livingstone; 2019.
7 pp. 3440-3452
- 8 3. Qiao B, Wu J, Wan Q, Zhang S, Ye Q. Factors influencing mortality in abdominal solid
9 organ transplant recipients with multidrug-resistant gram-negative bacteremia. *BMC*
10 *infectious diseases* 2017;17(1):171. doi: 10.1186/s12879-017-2276-1
- 11 4. Oriol I, Sabe N, Simonetti AF, Llado L, Manonelles A et al. Changing trends in the
12 aetiology, treatment and outcomes of bloodstream infection occurring in the first year
13 after solid organ transplantation: a single-centre prospective cohort study. *Transplant*
14 *International* 2017;30(9):903-913. doi: 10.1111/tri.12984
- 15 5. Shendi AM, Wallis G, Painter H, Harber M, Collier S. Epidemiology and impact of
16 bloodstream infections among kidney transplant recipients: A retrospective single-center
17 experience. *Transplant Infectious Disease* 2018;20(1). doi: 10.1111/tid.12815
- 18 6. Serefhanoglu K, Timurkaynak F, Arslan H, Karakayali H. Bacteremia among liver and
19 kidney transplant recipients: etiologic agents and antimicrobial susceptibilities. *Brazilian*
20 *Journal of Infectious Diseases* 2011;15(4):408-409. doi: 10.1016/S1413-8670(11)70218-
21 5
- 22 7. Al-Hasan MN, Razonable RR, Eckel-Passow JE, Baddour LM. Incidence rate and
23 outcome of gram-negative bloodstream infection in solid organ transplant recipients.

1 American Journal of Transplantation 2009;9(4):835-843. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-
2 6143.2009.02559.x

3 8. Candel FJ, Grima E, Matesanz M, Cervera C, Soto G et al. Bacteremia and septic shock
4 after solid-organ transplantation. *Transplantation Proceedings* 2005;37(9):4097-4099.
5 doi: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2005.09.181

6 9. Magiorakos AP, Srinivasan A, Carey RB, Carmeli Y, Falagas ME et al. Multidrug-
7 resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international
8 expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. *Clinical*
9 *Microbiology and Infection* 2012;18(3):268-281. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-
10 0691.2011.03570.x

11 10. Timsit JF, Sonnevile R, Kalil AC, Bassetti M, Ferrer R et al. Diagnostic and
12 therapeutic approach to infectious diseases in solid organ transplant recipients. *Intensive*
13 *Care Medicine* 2019;45(5):573-591. doi: 10.1007/s00134-019-05597-y

14 11. Pouch SM, Patel G. Multidrug-resistant gram-negative bacterial infections in solid
15 organ transplant recipients-Guidelines from the American Society of Transplantation
16 Infectious Diseases Community of Practice. *Clinical Transplantation* 2019;33(9):e13594.
17 doi: 10.1111/ctr.13594

18 12. O'Grady NP, Alexander M, Burns LA, Dellinger EP, Garland J et al. Guidelines for
19 the prevention of intravascular catheter-related infections. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*
20 2011;52(9):e162-193. doi: 10.1093/cid/cir257

21 13. Shields RK, Clancy CJ, Gillis LM, Kwak EJ, Silveira FP et al. Epidemiology, clinical
22 characteristics and outcomes of extensively drug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*
23 infections among solid organ transplant recipients. *PloS one* 2012;7(12):e52349. doi:
24 10.1371/journal.pone.0052349

- 1 14. Bodro M, Sabe N, Tubau F, Llado L, Baliellas C et al. Risk factors and outcomes of
2 bacteremia caused by drug-resistant ESKAPE pathogens in solid-organ transplant
3 recipients. *Transplantation* 2013;96(9):843-849. doi: 10.1097/TP.0b013e3182a049fd
- 4 15. Mazza E, Prosperi M, Panzeri MF, Limuti R, Nichelatti M, De Gasperi A.
5 Carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* infections early after liver transplantation:
6 a single-center experience. *Transplantation proceedings* 2017;49(4):677-681. doi:
7 10.1016/j.transproceed.2017.02.028
- 8 16. Yeşilkaya A, Azap OK, Demirkaya MH, Ok MA, Arslan H, Akdur A. Bloodstream
9 infections among solid organ transplant recipients: eight years' experience from a Turkish
10 university hospital. *Balkan Medical Journal* 2013;30(3):282-286. doi:
11 10.5152/balkanmedj.2013.9256
- 12 17. Aguado JM, Silva JT, Fernandez-Ruiz M, Cordero E, Fortun J et al. Management of
13 multidrug resistant gram-negative bacilli infections in solid organ transplant recipients:
14 SET/GESITRA-SEIMC/REIPI recommendations. *Transplantation Reviews*
15 2018;32(1):36-57. doi: 10.1016/j.trre.2017.07.001
- 16 18. Massa E, Michailidou E, Agapakis D, Papadopoulos S, Tholioti T et al.
17 Colonization and infection with extensively drug resistant gram-negative bacteria in
18 liver transplant recipients. *Transplantation Proceedings* 2019;51(2):454-456.
19 doi:10.1016/j.transproceed.2019.01.076

20
21
22

23 Table 1. Clinical and demographic characteristics of patients

Characteristics	Value
-----------------	-------

Male/Female	110/54	
Median age	51 (min 19- max 74)	
The type of transplantation	Patient	BSI Attack
Liver	93 (56.7%)	98 (57.3%)
Kidney	46 (28%)	48 (28.1%)
Heart	14 (8.5%)	14 (8.2%)
Lung	11 (6.7%)	11 (6.4%)
The type of donor		
Living	88 (53.6%)	
Deceased	76 (46.3%)	
Comorbidity		
None	57 (34.8%)	
One	59 (36%)	
Multiple	48 (29.3%)	
Hospitalization	Median value (range)	
Last 3 months	1 (min 0-max 7)	
Last 12 months	2 (min 0-max 22)	
BSI attack posttransplantation time		
0-12 months	109 (63.7%)	
13-24 months	30 (17.5%)	
25-36 months	12 (7%)	
37-48 months	3 (1.8%)	
49-60 months	5 (2.9%)	

≥61 months	12 (7%)
Antibiotic usage 30 days before BSI	
None	66 (38.9%)
Antibiotic usage	105 (61.4%)
One group antibiotic	47 (28.1%)
Multi groups antibiotics	57 (33.3%)

1 BSI: Bloodstream infection

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 Table 2. Clinical characteristics of the bacteremia episodes

Isolated bacteria	
<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>	69 (40.4%)
<i>Acinetobacter baumannii</i>	59 (34.5%)
<i>Escherichia coli</i>	20 (11.7%)
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	18 (10.5%)
<i>Enterobacter spp.</i>	5 (2.9%)
Type of organism	
Monomicrobial	157 (91.8%)
Polymicrobial	14 (8.2%)
Site of primary infection	
Surgical site	67 (39.2%)
Urinary tract	40 (23.4%)
Catheter related	26 (15.2%)
Respiratory tract	19 (11.1 %)
Intra-abdominal	15 (8.8%)
Unknown	4 (2.3%)
Time of bacteremia onset	
Early-onset (≤ 30 days posttransplant)	77 (45%)
Late-onset (> 30 days posttransplant)	94 (55%)
Laboratory values	mean\pm SD (min.- max.)
Leucocyte (/ μ l)	11.411 \pm 8.200 (100- 43.900)
CRP (mg/L)	78.79 \pm 86.07 (1.82-467)
Procalcitonin (μ g/L)	17.82 \pm 28.7 (0.08-100)

1 CRP: C-reactive protein, SD: standard deviation

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22

23 Table 3. The distribution of isolated bacteria according to transplanted organ

Type of transplantation	Most common	2nd most common	3rd most common	4th most common
Liver (n=98)	<i>K.pneumoniae</i> (46.9%)	<i>A.baumannii</i> (40.8%)	<i>P.aeruginosa</i> (8.2%)	<i>E.coli</i> (4.1%)
Kidney (n=48)	<i>K.pneumoniae</i> (37.5%)	<i>E.coli</i> (25 %)	<i>A.baumannii</i> (18.8%)	<i>P.aeruginosa</i> (14.6%)
Heart (n=14)	<i>A.baumannii</i> (64.3%)	<i>E.coli</i> (21.4 %)	<i>K.pneumoniae</i> (7.1%)	<i>P.aeruginosa</i> (7.1%)
Lung (n=11)	<i>K.pneumoniae</i> (36.4%)	<i>Enterobacter</i> <i>spp.</i> (27.3%)	<i>P.aeruginosa</i> (18.2%)	<i>E.coli</i> (9.1%)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 Table 4. The distribution of bacteremia sources according to transplanted organ

Type of transplantation	Most common	2nd most common	3rd most common	4th most common
Liver (n=98)	Surgical site (58.2%)	Respiratory (12.2%)	Urinary tract (12.2%)	Central-line catheter (9.2%)
Kidney (n=48)	Urinary tract (56.3%)	Surgical site (16.7%)	Central-line catheter (10.4%)	Respiratory (6.3%)
Heart (n=14)	Central-line catheter (50%)	Intra-abdominal collection (28.6%)	Respiratory (14.3%)	Urinary tract (7.1%)
Lung (n=11)	Central-line catheter (45.5%)	Respiratory (18.2%)	Surgical site (18.2%)	Intra-abdominal collection (18.2%)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12

Table 5. Evaluation of the datas according to bacteremia time

Risk factor	Early-onset bacteremia n (%)	Late-onset bacteremia n (%)	p value
The type of transplantation			0.022
Liver	41 (41.8)	57 (58.2)	0.331
Kidney	18 (37.5)	30 (62.5)	0.216
Heart	9 (64.3)	5 (35.7)	0.131
Lung	9 (81.8)	2 (18.2)	0.011
The type of donor			0.048
Decesead	42(54.5)	37 (39.4)	
Live	35 (45.5)	57 (60.6)	
Site of primary infection			0.663
Surgical site	33 (42.9)	34 (36.2)	0.373
Urinary tract	15 (19.5)	25 (26.6)	0.274
Catheter-related	13 (16.9)	13(13.8)	0.580
Respiratory	7 (9.1)	12(12.8)	0.447
Intra-abdominal	8 (10.4)	7 (7.4)	0.499
Isolated bacteria			0.783

<i>A. baumannii</i>	29 (37.7)	30 (31.9)	0.432
<i>K. pneumoniae</i>	30 (39)	39 (41.5)	0.737
<i>E. coli</i>	7 (9.1)	13 (13.8)	0.337
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	8 (10.4)	10 (10.6)	0.958
<i>Enterobacter</i> <i>species</i>	3 (3.9)	2 (2.1)	0.495
Polimicrobial	9 (11.7)	5 (5.3)	0.131

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 Table 6. Evaluation of the laboratory results according to bacteremia time

Laboratory values	Early-onset bacteremia Median (min-max)	Late-onset bacteremia Median (min-max)	p value
Leucocyte (/ μ l)	11100 (100-43900)	10800 (100-40040)	0.379
CRP (mg/L)	29.1 (1.85-320)	54 (1.82-467)	0.085
Procalcitonin (μ g/L)	5.5 (0.1-100)	4.7 (0.08-100)	0.420

1 CRP: C-reactive protein

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 Table 7. Comparing the predictors of 7- and 30-day mortality

Risk factors	7-days mortality			30-days mortality		
	p value (univariate)	OR (%95CI) (univariate)	Multivariate p value	p value (univariate)	OR (%95CI) (univariate)	Multivariate p value
Gender	0.662	1.2 (0.530-2.718)		0.571	1.23 (0.600-2.523)	
Age	0.994	1.02 (0.971-1.032)		0.599	0.99 (0.967-1.019)	
The type of transplantation	0.002			0.001		
Liver	0.021	2.522 (1.134-5.605)	0.352	0.017	2.300 (1.150-4.600)	0.178
Kidney	0.757	1.15 (0.475-2.784)		0.528	1.285 (0.589-2.805)	
Heart	0.001	0.180 (0.058-0.561)	0.452	0.001	0.165 (0.052-0.525)	0.689
Lung	0.105	0.355 (0.097-1.299)		0.028	0.269 (0.078-0.929)	0.449
The type of donor	0.002	3.559 (1.528-8.292)	0.488	< 0.001	3.598 (1.740-7.439)	0.593

Polimicrobial	0.290	0.519 (0.152-1.779)		0.036	0.319 (0.105-0.968)	0.790
Site of primary infection	< 0.001			< 0.001		
Surgical site	0.012	3.217 (1.242-8.332)	0.635	0.002	3.412 (1.518-7.670)	0.309
Urinary tract	0.014	5.402 (1.229-23.743)	0.309	0.007	4.100 (1.369-12.280)	0.163
Catheter-associated	< 0.001	0.091 (0.036-0.232)	0.037	< 0.001	0.156 (0.064-0.380)	0.398
Respiratory	0.326	0.578 (0.191-1.744)		0.269	0.571 (0.210-1.556)	
Intra-abdominal	0.844	0.875 (0.232-3.307)		0.002	0.200 (0.067-0.600)	0.301
Antibiotic usage 30 days before BSI	< 0.001	0.131 (0.038-0.451)	0.180	< 0.001	0.169 (0.067-0.428)	0.468
Bacteremia time	0.225	1.619 (0.740-3.541)		0.045	2.012 (1.009-4.013)	0.017

1 BSI: Bloodstream infection

2

3

1 Table 8. Comparing the laboratory values of 7-and 30-day mortality

	7-days mortality			30-days mortality		
	Yes	No		Yes	No	
Laboratory values	Median (min-max)	Median (min-max)	P value (univariate)	Median (min-max)	Median (min-max)	P value (univariate)
Leucocyte (/ μ l)	8650 (100-28600)	11000 (100-43900)	0.013	11000 (100-40040)	10900 (500-43900)	0.454
CRP (mg/L)	108.5 (3.49-467)	35 (1.8-320)	0.003	84.2 (1.85-467)	33.0 (1.82-320)	0.003
Procalcitonin (μ g/L)	11.3 (1.0-86)	4.0 (0.08-100)	0.019	8.0 (0.4-100)	4.0 (0.08-100)	0.028

2 CRP: C-reactive protein

3