Abstract

**Background and aim:** HIV infection increase the risk of serious disease resulting from common vaccine-preventable infections. Vaccinations are particularly important for HIV infected adults. We aimed to investigate the immunity rates against measles, mumps, rubella, hepatitis A, B and tetanus in newly diagnosed HIV patients.

**Materials and methods:** Patients who admitted to outpatient clinics of three centers with newly diagnosed HIV infection, between 1 January 2015 and 31 June 2017 were included. Measles, mumps, rubella, varicella zoster virus, hepatitis A, hepatitis B and tetanus antibody levels were measured by commercial diagnostic kits. Demographical and laboratory data of the patients were recorded.

**Results:** Five hundred and twenty-three patients were enrolled in the study. Of the patients 87% were male (n=455) and the mean age was 38±13 years. Serology was available for measles 74.2% (388/523), mumps 73.8% (386/523), rubella 77.8% (407/523), hepatitis A 88.5% (463/523), hepatitis B 97.7% (511/523), tetanus 8.6% (45/523) and VZV 79.9% (418/523). Seropositivity was 82% for measles, 75.6% for mumps, 92.1% for rubella. Of the patients whom all three of the components of the MMR vaccine was tested, 37.7% (127/337) were susceptible at least one and needed the vaccine. Mean age was lower in patients who are non-immune to measles and mumps (p=0.008). Younger patients were also non-immune for hepatitis A, while older patients were non-immune for hepatitis B.

**Conclusion:** In our study we found that rates of non-immunity can increase up to one third of the patients even though there is a national vaccination program. Non-immune individuals should be detected and vaccinated in line with recent guidelines and response should be monitored because of the possibility of impaired immunity and possible suboptimal
response. National campaigns can be thrown for adult immunization and physicians should be aware of the importance of adult immunization.
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1. Introduction

HIV has infected more than 75 million people worldwide and an estimated 37 million people are now living with the virus. HIV infection is one of the main causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide [1]. HIV infection increases the risk of serious disease resulting from common vaccine-preventable infections and affects the quality, quantity and longevity of immune responses against natural infection or vaccination [2]. Vaccinations are particularly important for HIV infected adults. Due to impaired host defenses. HIV infected persons have both an increased risk and severity of vaccine-preventable infections [3]. Suboptimal response to vaccines has been observed in patients with advanced HIV infection [4]. Life expectancy and quality of life have markedly increased in HIV-positive individuals since the introduction of antiretroviral therapy (ART) [5]. Thus, the likelihood of HIV-positive individuals being in contact with vaccine-preventable infections in occupational, social and travel exposures has increased substantially. At the same time, studies from other countries have demonstrated low frequency of seropositivity against measles, mumps and rubella (MMR) or Varicella Zoster Virus (VZV) in HIV-positive patients, especially in young adults [2, 6-11].

To our knowledge, this is the first study investigating the status of seropositivity to the vaccine-preventable diseases in the population living with HIV in Turkey. We aimed to investigate the immunity rates against measles, mumps, rubella, VZV, hepatitis A, B and tetanus in newly diagnosed HIV patients in order to protect these patients from diseases that can be prevented easily and cost effectively by just a vaccine.
2. Material and methods

In the study, HIV/AIDS patient records were investigated retrospectively in Çukurova University Medical School and University of Medical Sciences, Antalya and Diskapi Training and Research Hospitals’ Infectious Diseases clinics between January 2015 and December 2017. Patients data were extracted from electronic records and patient charts and anonymized. Demographic data, CD4 (+) lymphocyte count and HIV RNA levels on diagnosis, immunity against measles, mumps, rubella, tetanus, hepatitis A ad B were recorded. Ethical committee approval was obtained from Cukurova University Ethical Board (2021/49).

HAV IgG, Anti Hbs, Anti Hbc IgG, Rubella IgG tests were performed with electro-chemiluminescence immunoassay (ECLIA) using the Cobas E601 analyzer (Roche Diagnostics). VZV IgG, Measles IgG, Mumps IgG tests were performed with micro-ELISA method (Viro-Immun Labor-Diagnostika GmbH, Oberursel, Germany) in Cukurova University and Antalya Training and Resarch Hospitals. In Diskapi Training and Research Hospital available serologic test kits were used at the time patients admitted. Tetanus IgG levels were measured using a Clostridium tetani 5S IgG ELISA kit (Novatec Immundiagnostica GmBH, Germany) at only one center, Cukurova University hospital.

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS v.20 software package. The results were presented as mean ± standard deviation or median (min-max), for continuous variables and percentage (%) was used for categorical variables. Normality was checked by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for each continuous variable. When comparing groups, student’s t-test was used for normally distributed data and Mann-Whitney U test for not normally distributed data.
Comparison of the categorical variables between the groups was done using Chi square and Fischer’s Exact test.

3. Results

Newly diagnosed 523 patients were included to the study between January 2015 and December 2017 from three centers. Contributions of patients from the centers were as follows; Cukurova University Medical School Hospital 52.2% (n=273), University of Medical Sciences, Antalya Training and Research Hospitals 31% (n=162) and Diskapi Yildirim Beyazıt Training and Resarch Hospital 16.8% (n=88). Of the patients 87% were male (n=455) and the mean age was 38±13 years. Vast majority of the patients were Turkish citizens while 19 (3.6%) were migrants. Mean CD4 (+) lymphocyte count and HIV RNA levels were 418±272/mm³ and 733,396±2,645,569 copy/mL at the time of diagnosis, respectively. Variations of some characteristics in the patients according to different hospitals were shown in table 1.

Serology was available for measles 74.2% (388/523), mumps 73.8% (386/523), rubella 77.8% (407/523), hepatitis A 88.5% (463/523), hepatitis B 97.7% (511/523), tetanus 8.6% (45/523) and VZV 79.9% (418/523). Seropositivity was 82% for measles, 75.6% for mumps, 92.1% for rubella and 37.7% (127/337) of the patients were susceptible to at least one component of the vaccine and needed MMR vaccine. Immunity status of these diseases among HIV positive patients and relationship to age, gender, CD4 count and HIV RNA is showed in table 2.

Mean age was lower in patients who are non-immune to measles (33.1±11.5 vs. 37.4±12.6, p=0.008) and mumps (33.9±11.4 vs. 37.4±13.0, p=0.023). Younger patients were also non-immune for hepatitis A (p<0.001), while older patients were non-immune for hepatitis B
There was no difference in CD4 counts, except for mumps (non-immune; 505±291 vs. immune; 421±269, p=0.008).

Seropositivity of patients to mentioned diseases according to age groups are showed in Figure 1. There was a relationship between seropositivity and age groups for hepatitis A (p<0.0001) and B (p<0.0001) and measles (p=0.014). Hepatitis B antibody positivity was highest in 27-36 age group. It increased after decreasing in the latter two decades in the ≥48 age group parallel with anti HBc positivity (p<0.0001) in this decade. Hepatitis A, mumps and measles seropositivity increased with the increasing age groups. There was a decline in tetanus immunity by increasing age groups but it was not statistically significant (p=0.188). Increase of the seropositivity to mumps and varicella wasn’t significant either (p values are 0.133 and 0.187 respectively) (Figure 1).

4. Discussion

HIV-infected persons are at an increased risk for various infections. Some infections are more common in this population while some infections cause more serious clinical conditions. Acquisition of hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection is common because of the shared routes of transmission, and progression rate to significant liver disease (cirrhosis, hepatocellular carcinoma) is higher compared with HIV-uninfected persons. However, HIV infection does not predict a more severe course for hepatitis A, although prolonged viremia has been described [12,13]. HIV patients also have a higher incidence of varicella zoster virus (VZV) infections and related deaths [14,15]. Measles infections can be particularly severe, with life-threatening infections; in contrast mumps and rubella clinic is usually like the immune competent hosts [16]. Similarly, there is no evidence of poorer outcomes of tetanus in HIV positives and recommendations are same with the negatives [17]. Vaccination is a key component to ensure the health of all persons living with HIV by preventing
infectious complications and determination of the immune status to vaccine preventable
diseases will be the first step achieving this.

There is a lack of published national data regarding the seropositivity of vaccine preventable
diseases in adult population in Turkey. Nearly all studies in adults investigated the immune
status of the healthcare workers (HCWs) and high seropositivity rates are seen in these
studies [18-23]. Alp et al. in the largest study reported that, of the 1255 HCW’s 94% were
immune to measles, 97% to rubella, 90% to mumps, and 98% to varicella. Except one, in the
other studies measles, mumps, rubella and varicella seroprevalence rates were also high and
between 81.6%-99.7%, 80%-99.7%, 85.5%-98.8%, and 71%-99.7% respectively. In one
study, tetanus and diphtheria seroprevalences were reported as 93.5% and 60.8% and they
showed the decreasing positivity by age. This study also mentioned hepatitis B antibody rate
as 84.1% of which 71.5% was vaccinated [20]. In another study investigating hepatitis
epidemiology HBs antibody positivity was found 36.12% [24]. In contrast two population-
based studies show lower rates of seropositivity probably healthcare personnel’s being more
aware of vaccine preventable diseases [25, 26]. In the cross-sectional study of Emek et al.,
seroprevalence of measles in the whole study population of 1250 was 82.2%. The
seroprevalence of measles was found lower than expected and was particularly low in
subjects aged 30 years of age. In the other study, antibody levels against measles conferred
protection in 98% of patients, 65% of the patients had no protection for diphtheria, 69% had
no protection for tetanus, and 90% of the patients had no protection for pertussis. Only 1.3%
of the study population had seropositivity against three of the diseases. In a population-based
household survey investigating varicella zoster serology that 2136 healthy persons
participated 94.3% of individuals were seropositive for varicella virus [27]. In the largest
and recent population-based study from Turkey investigating hepatitis, TURHEP study, anti-
HBs positivity was identified 31.9% in of which sampling was performed from 23 cites in
2009–2010 living in urban and rural areas by two-stage stratified method, consistent with the before mentioned studies [28]. In a study among asylum seekers in Netherlands, overall, seroprotection was 84% (ranged between 54–100% in different races) for hepatitis A and 27% for hepatitis B (anti-HBs; 8–42%) [29]. Also, in a study from Istanbul hepatitis A seroprevalence was 69% in those 20-25 years old [30]. And tetanus seropositivity was 98% (86–100%) in the study investigating asylum seekers from Syria, Iran, Iraq, Afghanistan, Erithrea and Ethiopia, in Nethelands.

The need for vaccination varies by place and time. A study of 700 HIV-infected adults in Austria noted the rate of seronegative 8.4% for measles, 33.4% for mumps, and 18.8% for rubella; overall, almost half were lacking immunity to one of the components and required MMR vaccination [11]. Additionally, a study from Spain reported that nearly 30% were seronegative to at least one component of the MMR vaccine [10]. In these studies, they found immigrants at the greatest need for vaccination. We didn’t find increased need for vaccination for the immigrants possibly because of the low number and heterogenicity. BHIVA guidelines suggest screening HIV-infected adults for measles IgG regardless of history of childhood vaccination [31]. Also screening for rubella IgG is recommended among HIV-positive women of childbearing age with unknown status. In our study susceptibility was 18% for measles, 24.4% for mumps, 7.9% for rubella and totally 37.7% required MMR vaccine. We see that immunity rates are lower than the available literature and under the herd immunity thresholds but due to the lack of the population-based studies low rates of seroprevalence cannot be linked to HIV [32]. Nevertheless, there are similar results of low seropositivity in HIV positive community and it should be considered that impaired immunity of HIV positive individuals may cause poor vaccine responses [11]. Also, studies investigating the reasons and risk factors of low immunity, such as vaccine refusal or change in vaccination programs should be conducted. Currently, guidelines...
recommend two doses of MMR among non-immune persons with a CD4 count $\geq 200$ cells/mm$^3$ [33].

Most guidelines recommend determination of the VZV immune status. Among the general population, waning of immunity has been noted by 8 years post-vaccination, but there are no current studies regarding durability among HIV-infected adults [34]. Many HIV-infected adults shown to have immunity, with 95% of US HIV-infected adults and with a 98% in the UK was seropositive to VZV although there is geographic variation [35, 36]. Seroprevalence was lower in our series but similar seroprevalences were reported from healthy populations and we didn’t see any waning by age. BHIVA, EACS and French guidelines recommend varicella vaccination among non-immune persons with a CD4 count $\geq 200$ cells/mm$^3$ (14%) [33]. Therefore, there is no clinical data on the immunogenicity of varicella vaccination among seronegative HIV-infected adolescents or adults.

In a study from France, 81.8% of HIV patients were considered immunized against HAV virus following natural infection or vaccination [37]. In another study from UK of 200 patients of whom 43.5% were born out of the country, seropositivity rate was 79.5% (34.5% recalled vaccination) [36]. Hepatitis A immunity of 83.2% is similar with the studies among HIV positive and population based studies. Also, the direct correlation of seropositivity with age in our study is consistent with the studies demonstrating lower seropositivity among younger adults [30].

In a study investigating migrants 97 patients (39%, 95%CI 33.2–45.8) were considered cured (anti-HBs plus anti-HBc, no HBs Ag), 25 patients (10%, 95%CI 6.4–13.9) were protected (anti-HBs, no anti-HBc and no HBsAg) and 64 patients (25.8%, 95%CI 20.3–31.3) had only anti-HBc antibodies [38]. In the study of Molton et al., 76/200 (38.0%) showed detectable anti-HBc antibody, consistent with a past infection [36]. In our study, hepatitis B antibody
positivity was 60% higher than literature. Seropositivity was highest in 17-25 age group consistent with the vaccination coverage started in 1998 and the second highest age group was >45 age group which was parallel to the anti HBc positivity consistent with the prior infection.

Mullaert et al. also investigated the tetanus seroprotection and the rate was 70.8% overall (95%CI 65.0–76.3), higher in women (78.8%, vs. 58.2) [38]. Tetanus serology was available from 711 patients in a study from Austria and 361 (51%) tested positive [39]. Tetanus seropositivity of 60% in our study is consistent with the available literature and takes attention to the need of vaccination in this patient group. Guidelines recommend giving a Td booster every 10 years, especially among those at risk for exposure. Among those over 50 years, shortening the interval for booster doses to every 5 years is suggested [17]. Seroprotection after boosters can be investigated at this immune suppressed group for widening this recommendation for the people living with HIV as we see low protection levels.

HIV infection increases the risk of serious, sometimes life-threatening disease resulting from common vaccine-preventable infections. As we showed in our study that rates of susceptibility can increase nearly to one third of the patients even though there is a national vaccination program, susceptible individuals should be detected and vaccinated in line with recent guidelines and response should be monitored because of the possibility of impaired immunity and possible suboptimal response. National coverage rates are also important due to herd immunity and possible effect on susceptible populations, besides in order to increase the immunity rates; national campaigns should be thrown for adult immunization and physicians should be aware of the importance of adult immunization.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hospital</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>Age. years (Mean±SD)</th>
<th>Male Gender N (%)</th>
<th>Being migrant N (%)</th>
<th>CD4. /mm³ (Mean±SD)</th>
<th>HIV RNA. copy/mL (Mean±SD)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CUMS</td>
<td>273 (52.2)</td>
<td>34.5±11.6</td>
<td>243 (89.0)</td>
<td>6 (2.2)</td>
<td>431±270</td>
<td>610692±1802165</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATRH</td>
<td>162 (31.0)</td>
<td>40.5±13.7</td>
<td>136 (84.0)</td>
<td>11 (6.8)</td>
<td>387±266</td>
<td>575940±1892162</td>
<td>0.310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DYBTRH</td>
<td>88 (16.8)</td>
<td>42.8±13.2</td>
<td>76 (86.4)</td>
<td>2 (2.3)</td>
<td>431±283</td>
<td>1524340±5292797</td>
<td>0.035***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.249</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CUMS: Cukurova University Medical School, ATRH: Antalya Training and Research Hospital, DYBTRH: Diskapi Yildirim Beyazit Training and Research Hospital.

*There is difference between CUH and ATRH; CUH and DYBTRH by posthoc analysis. **There is difference between ATRH and CUH; ATRH and DYBTRH. ***There is difference between DYBTRH and CUH; DYBTRH and ATRH.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diseases</th>
<th>Immune Status</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>Age. years (Mean±SD)</th>
<th>Male Gender N (%)</th>
<th>Being migrant N (%)</th>
<th>CD4. /mm³ (Mean±SD)</th>
<th>HIV RNA. copy/mL (Mean±SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Measles</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>70 (18)</td>
<td>33.1±11.5</td>
<td>64 (91.4)</td>
<td>1 (7.1)</td>
<td>461±268</td>
<td>645.400±2.007.672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>318 (82)</td>
<td>37.4±12.6</td>
<td>278 (87.4)</td>
<td>13 (92.9)</td>
<td>426±282</td>
<td>672.723±2.470.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>&lt;0.008</td>
<td>0.419</td>
<td>0.480</td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mumps</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>94 (24.4)</td>
<td>33.9±11.4</td>
<td>84 (89.4)</td>
<td>3 (21.4)</td>
<td>505±291</td>
<td>1.445.558±152.375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>292 (75.6)</td>
<td>37.4±13.0</td>
<td>254 (87.0)</td>
<td>11 (78.6)</td>
<td>421±269</td>
<td>2.414.227±145.583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.595</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubella</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>32 (7.9)</td>
<td>39.9±14.5</td>
<td>28 (87.5)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>459±265</td>
<td>217.545±41.112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>375 (92.1)</td>
<td>36.2±12.3</td>
<td>330 (88.0)</td>
<td>15 (100)</td>
<td>424±267</td>
<td>2.961.056±157.156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.952</td>
<td>0.619</td>
<td>0.514</td>
<td>0.401</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varicella</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>66 (15.8)</td>
<td>34.9±11.2</td>
<td>58 (87.9)</td>
<td>4 (25.0)</td>
<td>457±268</td>
<td>1.18778±4.051.754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>352 (84.2)</td>
<td>37.1±12.8</td>
<td>309 (87.8)</td>
<td>12 (75.0)</td>
<td>416±277</td>
<td>707.267±2.474292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.227</td>
<td>0.983</td>
<td>0.296</td>
<td>0.194</td>
<td>0.589</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis A</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>78 (16.8)</td>
<td>31.1±12.2</td>
<td>69 (88.5)</td>
<td>4 (23.5)</td>
<td>428±262</td>
<td>1.038.975±2.501.138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>385 (83.2)</td>
<td>38.4±12.6</td>
<td>336 (87.3)</td>
<td>13 (76.5)</td>
<td>437±263</td>
<td>485.262±1.573.092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.772</td>
<td>0.505</td>
<td>0.687</td>
<td>0.252</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis B</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>302 (60.0)</td>
<td>38.7±11.9</td>
<td>257 (85.1)</td>
<td>11 (57.9)</td>
<td>400±270</td>
<td>676.682±2.081.965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>201 (40.0)</td>
<td>36.0±14.4</td>
<td>180 (89.6)</td>
<td>8 (42.1)</td>
<td>441±274</td>
<td>699.046±2.708.563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.7147</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.976</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetanus</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>27 (60)</td>
<td>40.6±14.3</td>
<td>23 (85.2)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>348±217</td>
<td>92.788±101.630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>18 (40)</td>
<td>33.3±11.7</td>
<td>15 (83.3)</td>
<td>1(100)</td>
<td>405±214</td>
<td>1.719.250±3.811.376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.331</td>
<td>0.610</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NI: Non-immune. I: Immune
Figure 1. Immunity rates of patients to vaccine-preventable diseases according to age groups.