

Generalized Stević-Sharma type operators from Hardy spaces into n th weighted type spaces

Ebrahim ABBASI^{1*}, Yongmin LIU², Mostafa HASSANLOU³

¹Department of Mathematics, Mahabad Branch, Islamic Azad University, Mahabad, Iran,
 ORCID iD: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4133-3763>

²School of Mathematics and Statistics, Jiangsu Normal University, Xuzhou, 221116, China,
 ORCID iD: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5058-2809>

³Engineering Faculty of Khoy, Urmia University, Urmia, Iran,
 ORCID iD: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9213-2574>

Received: .201 • Accepted/Published Online: .201 • Final Version: ..201

Abstract: In this paper, some characterizations for boundedness, essential norm and compactness of generalized Stević-Sharma type operators from Hardy spaces into n th weighted type spaces are given.

Key words: essential norm, generalized Stević-Sharma type operators, n th weighted type spaces, Hardy spaces.

1. Introduction

Let \mathbb{D} be the open unit disc in the complex plane \mathbb{C} and $H(\mathbb{D})$ be the class of all analytic functions on \mathbb{D} . Every positive and continuous function on \mathbb{D} is called a weight. Suppose that $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and μ be a weight. The n th weighted type space $\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}(\mathbb{D}) = \mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}$ consists of all analytic functions on \mathbb{D} for which the following statement is finite

$$b_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}}(f) := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) |f^{(n)}(z)|.$$

The above statement is just a semi norm and $\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}$ is a Banach space equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |f^{(i)}(0)| + b_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}}(f),$$

see for example [1, 9, 10]. Let $\alpha > 0$. Then $\mathcal{W}_{(1-|z|^2)^\alpha}^{(1)} = \mathcal{B}^\alpha$ (Bloch type space), $\mathcal{W}_{(1-|z|^2)^\alpha}^{(2)} = \mathcal{Z}^\alpha$ (Zygmund type space) and $\mathcal{W}_{(1-|z|^2) \log \frac{2}{1-|z|^2}}^{(1)}$ coincides with the logarithmic Bloch space \mathcal{B}_{\log} . Also $\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(0)} = H_\mu$ (weighted type space), $\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(1)} = \mathcal{B}\mu$ (weighted Bloch space) and $\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(2)} = \mathcal{Z}_\mu$ (weighted Zygmund space). For more information about Bloch type spaces or Zygmund type spaces see [8, 15, 16].

*Correspondence: e.abbasi@iau-mahabad.ac.ir, ebrahimabbasi81@gmail.com

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 30H10, 30H99, 47B38.

For $0 < p < \infty$ a function $f \in H(\mathbb{D})$ belong to the Hardy space H^p if

$$\|f\|_{H^p} = \sup_{0 < r < 1} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

where $0 < p < \infty$. If $1 \leq p < \infty$, H^p is a Banach space and if $0 < p < 1$, H^p is nonlocally convex topological vector space and in this case it is a complete metric space (see [4]).

For Banach spaces X and Y and a continuous linear operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$, the essential norm is the distance of T from the space of all compact operators, that is

$$\|T\|_e = \inf\{\|T - K\| : K : X \rightarrow Y \text{ is compact}\}.$$

T is compact if and only if $\|T\|_e = 0$.

Let $u, v \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$, the set of all analytic self-maps of \mathbb{D} . The Stević-Sharma type operator is defined as follows

$$T_{u,v,\varphi}f(z) = u(z)f(\varphi(z)) + v(z)f'(\varphi(z)), \quad f \in H(\mathbb{D}), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Indeed $T_{u,v,\varphi} = uC_\varphi + vC_\varphi D$ where D is the differentiation operator and C_φ is composition operator. More information about this operator can be found in [7, 11, 12].

From the above definition we generalize the Stević-Sharma type operator. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $u, v \in H(\mathbb{D})$ and $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$. We denote the generalized Stević-Sharma type operator with $T_{u,v,\varphi}^m$ and define it as follows

$$T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f(z) = (uC_\varphi f)(z) + (D_{\varphi,v}^m f)(z) \quad f \in H(\mathbb{D}), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

where $D_{\varphi,u}^m$ is the generalized weighted composition operator. When $v = 0$, then $T_{u,0,\varphi}^m = uC_\varphi$ is the well-known weighted composition operator. If $u = 0$, then $T_{0,v,\varphi}^m = D_{\varphi,v}^m$ and for $m = 1$, $T_{u,v,\varphi}^m$ is Stević-Sharma type operator.

For $n, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $k \leq n$, the partial Bell polynomials are triangulares

$$B_{n,k}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-k+1}) = \sum \frac{n!}{\prod_{t=1}^{n-k+1} j_t!} \prod_{t=1}^{n-k+1} \left(\frac{x_t}{t!}\right)^{j_t}.$$

In the above equation we take the sum over all sequences $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{n-k+1}$ of nonnegative integers with the following properties

$$\sum_{t=1}^{n-k+1} j_t = k \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{t=1}^{n-k+1} t j_t = n.$$

See [3, pp 134].

In this paper, first we obtain some characterizations for boundedness of operator $T_{u,v,\varphi}^m : H^p \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}$. Then estimations for the essential norm of these operators are given. Finally some equivalence conditions for compactness of generalized Stević-Sharma type operators from Hardy spaces into n th weighted type spaces are presented. As some applications, we get some characterizations for boundedness, essential norm and compactness of (generalized) weighted composition operators from the Hardy spaces into n th weighted type spaces.

By $A \succeq B$ we mean there exists a constant C such that $A \succeq CB$ and $A \approx B$ means that $A \succeq B \succeq A$.

1 **2. Preliminaries**

2 **This section is devoted to giving some lemmas we use in the next sections.**

Lemma 2.1 ([16], Propositions 7 and 8) *Let $\alpha > 0$ and $H_\alpha^\infty = \mathcal{W}_{(1-|z|^2)^\alpha}^{(0)}$. Then $H_\alpha^\infty = \mathcal{B}^{\alpha+1}$. Moreover, for any $f \in \mathcal{B}^\alpha$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} \approx \sum_{i=0}^n |f^{(i)}(0)| + \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} (1 - |z|^2)^{\alpha+n} |f^{(n+1)}(z)|.$$

Lemma 2.2 ([5], Lemma 2.1) *Let $\alpha > 0$. The sequence $\{j^{\alpha-1}z^j\}_1^\infty$ is bounded in \mathcal{B}_0^α and*

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{\alpha-1} \|z^j\|_{\mathcal{B}^\alpha} = \left(\frac{2\alpha}{e}\right)^\alpha.$$

3 **Lemma 2.3** ([4]) *Let $0 < p < \infty$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $f \in H^p$. Then*

$$|f^{(n)}(z)| \leq \frac{\|f\|_{H^p}}{(1 - |z|^2)^{\frac{1}{p}+n}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Let $u \in H(\mathbb{D})$, i and n be integer numbers. For simplicity in calculation, we set

$$I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u}(z) = \begin{cases} \sum_{l=i}^n \binom{n}{l} u^{(n-l)}(z) B_{l,i}(\varphi'(z), \dots, \varphi^{(l-i+1)}(z)) & 0 \leq i \leq n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

4 The proof of next lemma resembles to the proof of Lemma 4 [10], therefore it is omitted.

Lemma 2.4 *Let $f, u, v \in H(\mathbb{D})$, $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If $T_{u,v,\varphi}^m = uC_\varphi + D_{\varphi,v}^m$, then*

$$\left(T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f\right)^{(n)}(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{m+n} f^{(i)}(\varphi(z)) (I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-m,\varphi}^{n,v})(z).$$

For any $a \in \mathbb{D}$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$f_{j,a}(z) = \frac{(1 - |a|^2)^j}{(1 - \bar{a}z)^{\frac{1}{p}+j}}. \tag{2.1}$$

5 One can see that $f_{j,a} \in H^p$, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|f_{j,a}\|_{H^p} < \infty$ and $f_{j,a}$ converges to 0 as $|a| \rightarrow 1$.

Lemma 2.5 *Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \geq m$. For any $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{D}$ and $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m+n\}$, there exists a function $g_{i,a} \in H^p$ such that*

$$g_{i,a}^{(k)}(a) = \frac{\bar{a}^k \delta_{ik}}{(1 - |a|^2)^{\frac{1}{p}+k}},$$

6 where δ_{ik} is Kronecker delta. If $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\}$, then $g_{i,a} \in \text{span}\{f_{1,a}, \dots, f_{m,a}\}$ and for $i \in \{m, \dots, n\}$,

7 $g_{i,a} \in \text{span}\{f_{m+1,a}, \dots, f_{n+1,a}\}$ also when $i \in \{n+1, \dots, m+n\}$

$$g_{i,a} \in \text{span}\{f_{n+2,a}, \dots, f_{m+n+1,a}\}.$$

Proof For any fixed $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{D}$ and coefficients c_1, \dots, c_{m+n+1} we set

$$e_{1,a,c_1,\dots,c_m}(z) = \sum_{j=1}^m c_j f_{j,a}(z),$$

$$e_{2,a,c_{m+1},\dots,c_{n+1}}(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-m+1} \frac{c_{j+m}}{\prod_{t=0}^{m-1} (m+j+\frac{1}{p}+t)} f_{j+m,a}(z)$$

$$e_{3,a,c_{n+2},\dots,c_{m+n+1}}(z) = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{c_{j+1+n}}{\prod_{t=0}^n (n+1+j+\frac{1}{p}+t)} f_{j+1+n,a}(z),$$

1 where $f_{j,a}$ are defined in (2.1). For each $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m+n\}$ the system of linear equations

$$e_{1,a,c_1,\dots,c_m}(a) = \frac{1}{(1-|a|^2)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \sum_{j=1}^m c_j = \frac{\delta_{i0}}{(1-|a|^2)^{\frac{1}{p}}}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$e_{1,a,c_1,\dots,c_m}^{(m-1)}(a) = \frac{\bar{a}^{m-1}}{(1-|a|^2)^{m-1+\frac{1}{p}}} \sum_{j=1}^m c_j \prod_{t=0}^{m-2} (j+\frac{1}{p}+t) = \frac{\bar{a}^{m-1} \delta_{i(m-1)}}{(1-|a|^2)^{m-1+\frac{1}{p}}}$$

$$e_{2,a,c_{m+1},\dots,c_{n+1}}^{(m)}(a) = \frac{\bar{a}^m}{(1-|a|^2)^{m+\frac{1}{p}}} \sum_{j=1}^{n-m+1} c_{j+m} = \frac{\bar{a}^m \delta_{im}}{(1-|a|^2)^{m+\frac{1}{p}}}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$e_{2,a,c_{m+1},\dots,c_{n+1}}^{(n)}(a) = \frac{\bar{a}^n}{(1-|a|^2)^{n+\frac{1}{p}}} \sum_{j=1}^{n-m+1} c_{j+m} \prod_{t=m}^{n-1} (m+j+\frac{1}{p}+t) = \frac{\bar{a}^n \delta_{in}}{(1-|a|^2)^{n+\frac{1}{p}}}$$

$$e_{3,a,c_{n+2},\dots,c_{m+n+1}}^{(n+1)}(a) = \frac{\bar{a}^{n+1}}{(1-|a|^2)^{n+1+\frac{1}{p}}} \sum_{j=1}^m c_{j+1+m} = \frac{\bar{a}^{n+1} \delta_{i(n+1)}}{(1-|a|^2)^{n+1+\frac{1}{p}}}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$e_{3,a,c_{n+2},\dots,c_{m+n+1}}^{(m+n)}(a) = \dots = \frac{\bar{a}^{m+n} \delta_{i(m+n)}}{(1-|a|^2)^{m+n+\frac{1}{p}}}$$

2 has a unique solution [9, Lemma 2.3] which is independent of the choice of a and therefore it can be shown by

3 $(c_1^i, c_2^i, \dots, c_{m+n+1}^i)$. Now we set

$$g_{i,a}(z) = e_{1,a,c_1^i,\dots,c_m^i}(z) + e_{2,a,c_{m+1}^i,\dots,c_{n+1}^i}(z) + e_{3,a,c_{n+2}^i,\dots,c_{m+n+1}^i}(z).$$

4 □

5 The proof of the following lemma is similar to the proof of the previous lemma so it is omitted.

Lemma 2.6 Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n < m$. For any $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{D}$ and $i \in \{0, \dots, n\} \cup \{m, \dots, m+n\}$, there exists a function $g_{i,a} \in H^p$ such that

$$g_{i,a}^{(k)}(a) = \frac{\bar{a}^k \delta_{ik}}{(1-|a|^2)^{\frac{1}{p}+k}}.$$

1 Also for $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ then $g_{i,a} \in \text{span}\{f_{1,a}, \dots, f_{n+1,a}\}$ and when $i \in \{m, m + 1, \dots, m + n\}$

$$g_{i,a} \in \text{span}\{f_{m+1,a}, \dots, f_{m+n+1,a}\}.$$

2 In Sections 3 and 4, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < p < \infty$, $u, v \in H(\mathbb{D})$, μ is a weight and $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{D})$.

3. Boundedness

4 In this section, we give some necessary and sufficient conditions for the generalized Stević-Sharma type operators
5 to be bounded.

6 **Theorem 3.1** Let $u \in \mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}$. If $n \geq m$, then the following statements are equivalent.

7 (i) The operator $T_{u,v,\varphi}^m : H^p \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}$ is bounded.

8 (ii) If $p_j(z) = z^j$, then $\sup_{j \geq 1} j^{\frac{1}{p}} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m p_j\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}} < \infty$.

9 (iii) For each $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m + n\}$, $\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f_{i+1,a}\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}} < \infty$ and $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) \left| (I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-m,\varphi}^{n,v})(z) \right| < \infty$.

10 (iv) For each $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m + n\}$, $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(z) \left| (I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-m,\varphi}^{n,v})(z) \right|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{1}{p} + i}} < \infty$.

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (iii) For $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m + n\}$, $\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|f_{i+1,a}\|_{H^p} < \infty$, so

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f_{i+1,a}\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}} \leq \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m\|_{H^p \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}} \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|f_{i+1,a}\|_{H^p} < \infty.$$

11 Applying the operator $T_{u,v,\varphi}^m$ to $p_j(z) = z^j$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, m + n$ respectively and using Lemma 2.4, we obtain
12 the other part of (iii).

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv) For any $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m + n\}$ and $\varphi(a) \neq 0$, by using Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5, we obtain

$$\frac{\mu(a) |\varphi(a)|^i \left| (I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-m,\varphi}^{n,v})(a) \right|}{(1 - |\varphi(a)|^2)^{i + \frac{1}{p}}} \leq \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m g_{i,\varphi(a)}\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m+n} |c_j^i| \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f_{j,a}\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}} < \infty.$$

From the previous inequality,

$$\sup_{|\varphi(a)| > \frac{1}{2}} \frac{\mu(a) \left| (I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-m,\varphi}^{n,v})(a) \right|}{(1 - |\varphi(a)|^2)^{i + \frac{1}{p}}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m+n} |c_j^i| \sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f_{j,a}\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}} < \infty,$$

and from (iii), we get

$$\sup_{|\varphi(a)| \leq \frac{1}{2}} \frac{\mu(a) \left| (I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-m,\varphi}^{n,v})(a) \right|}{(1 - |\varphi(a)|^2)^{i + \frac{1}{p}}} \leq \sup_{|\varphi(a)| \leq \frac{1}{2}} \mu(a) \left| (I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-m,\varphi}^{n,v})(a) \right| < \infty.$$

13 Hence from last inequalities, we get (iv).

(iv) \Rightarrow (i) For any $f \in H^p$, by using Lemmas 2.4 and 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(z) \left| (T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f)^{(n)}(z) \right| &\leq \mu(z) \left| \sum_{i=0}^{m+n} f^{(i)}(\varphi(z)) (I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u}(z) + I_{i-m,\varphi}^{n,v}(z)) \right| \\ &\leq \|f\|_{H^p} \sum_{i=0}^{m+n} \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(z) |I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u}(z) + I_{i-m,\varphi}^{n,v}(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{1}{p}+i}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Also for each $k < n$

$$\left| (T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f)^{(k)}(0) \right| \leq \|f\|_{H^p} \sum_{i=0}^{m+k} |(I_{i,\varphi}^{k,u} + I_{i-m,\varphi}^{k,v})(0)|. \tag{3.2}$$

1 Hence, from (3.1) and (3.2), the operator $T_{u,v,\varphi}^m : H^p \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}$ is bounded.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) For each $i \in \{0, \dots, m+n\}$ and $a \in \mathbb{D}$

$$f_{i+1,a}(z) = (1 - |a|^2)^{i+1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{p} + i + 1 + j)}{j! \Gamma(\frac{1}{p} + i + 1)} \bar{a}^j z^j.$$

So,

$$\|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f_{i+1,a}\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}} \leq (1 - |a|^2)^{i+1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j^{i+\frac{1}{p}} |a|^j \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m p_j\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}} \leq 2^{i+1} \max\{\|u\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}}, \sup_{j \geq 1} j^{\frac{1}{p}} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m p_j\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}}\}.$$

2 Therefore, $\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f_{i+1,a}\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}} < \infty$. The proof of other part is similar to the proof (i) \Rightarrow (iii).

(iv) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $p_j(z) = z^j (j \geq n)$. By using Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and 2.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} j^{\frac{1}{p}} \mu(z) |(T_{u,v,\varphi}^m p_j)^{(n)}(z)| &\leq \mu(z) \sum_{i=0}^{m+n} j^{\frac{1}{p}} (1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{1}{p}+i} \frac{j!}{(j-i)!} \times \frac{|\varphi(z)|^{j-i} |(I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-m,\varphi}^{n,v})(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{1}{p}+i}} \\ &\leq \sup_j j^{\frac{1}{p}} \|z^j\|_{\mathcal{B}^{\frac{1}{p}+1}} \sum_{i=0}^{m+n} \frac{|(I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-m,\varphi}^{n,v})(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{1}{p}+i}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{2(\frac{1}{p} + 1)}{e}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}+1} \sum_{i=0}^{m+n} \frac{|(I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-m,\varphi}^{n,v})(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{1}{p}+i}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

For any $k < n$, we have

$$j^{\frac{1}{p}} |(T_{u,v,\varphi}^m p_j)^{(k)}(0)| \leq \left(\frac{2(\frac{1}{p} + 1)}{e}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}+1} \sum_{i=0}^{m+k} j^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{|(I_{i,\varphi}^{k,u} + I_{i-m,\varphi}^{k,v})(0)|}{(1 - |\varphi(0)|^2)^{\frac{1}{p}+i}}. \tag{3.4}$$

3 From (3.3) and (3.4), we obtain (ii). The proof is completed. □

4 **In the same way as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we can prove the following theorem, just use Lemma 2.6**
 5 **instead of Lemma 2.5.**

6 **Theorem 3.2** *Let $u \in \mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}$. If $n < m$, then the following statements are equivalent.*

- 1 (i) The operator $T_{u,v,\varphi}^m : H^p \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}$ is bounded.
- 2 (ii) If $p_j(z) = z^j$, then $\sup_{j \geq 1} j^{\frac{1}{p}} \|T_{u,v,\varphi,u}^m p_j\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}} < \infty$.
- (iii) For each $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\} \cup \{m, \dots, m+n\}$, $\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f_{i+1,a}\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}} < \infty$ and

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \mu(z) \left| (I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-m,\varphi}^{n,v})(z) \right| < \infty.$$

- 3 (iv) For each $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\} \cup \{m, \dots, m+n\}$, $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} \frac{\mu(z) \left| (I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-m,\varphi}^{n,v})(z) \right|}{(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{1}{p}+i}} < \infty$.

4. Essential norm

In this section, we obtain some estimates for the essential norm of generalized Stević-Sharma type operators from Hardy spaces into n th weighted type spaces. Then we give some equivalence conditions for compactness of such operators.

Theorem 4.1 Let $T_{u,v,\varphi}^m : H^p \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}$ is bounded. If $n \geq m$, then

$$\|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m\|_e \approx \max\{A_i\}_{i=0}^{m+n} \approx \max\{B_i\}_{i=0}^{m+n},$$

where

$$A_i = \limsup_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f_{i+1,a}\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}}, \quad B_i = \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu(z) \left| I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u}(z) + I_{i-m,\varphi}^{n,v}(z) \right|}{(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{1}{p}+i}}.$$

Proof For all $i \in \{0, \dots, m+n\}$, $\sup_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \|f_{i+1,a}\|_{H^p} < \infty$ and $f_{i+1,a}$ converge to 0 uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} as $|a| \rightarrow 1$. Using Lemma 2.10 [13], for any compact operator K from H^p into $\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}$, we get

$$\lim_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \|K f_{i+1,a}\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}} = 0.$$

Thus, for any $i \in \{0, \dots, m+n\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m - K\|_{H^p \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}} &\geq \limsup_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \|(T_{u,v,\varphi}^m - K) f_{i+1,a}\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}} \\ &\geq \limsup_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f_{i+1,a}\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}} - \limsup_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \|K f_{i+1,a}\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}} = A_i. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m\|_e = \inf_K \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m - K\|_{H^p \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}} \geq \max\{A_i\}_{i=0}^{m+n}.$$

Now, we prove that

$$\max\{B_i\}_{i=0}^{m+n} \leq \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m\|_e. \tag{4.1}$$

Let $\{z_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{D} such that $|\varphi(z_j)| \rightarrow 1$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Since $T_{u,v,\varphi}^m : H^p \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}$ is bounded, using Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 for any compact operator $K : H^p \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}$ and $i \in \{0, \dots, m+n\}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m - K\|_{H^p \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}} &\succeq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m(g_{i,\varphi(z_j)})\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}} - \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|K(g_{i,\varphi(z_j)})\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}} \\ &\succeq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(z_j) |\varphi(z_j)|^i |I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u}(z_j) + I_{i-m,\varphi}^{n,v}(z_j)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z_j)|^2)^{i + \frac{1}{p}}} = B_i. \end{aligned}$$

1. From the last inequality, we get (4.1).

For each $0 < r < 1$ we consider the compact operator K_r on H^p given by $K_r f(z) = f_r(z) = f(rz)$. Let $\{r_j\} \subset (0, 1)$ be a sequence such that $r_j \rightarrow 1$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Since $f_r \rightarrow f$ uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} as $r \rightarrow 1$ then for any positive integer j , the operator $T_{u,v,\varphi}^m K_{r_j} : H^p \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}$ is compact. So

$$\|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m\|_e \leq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m - T_{u,v,\varphi}^m K_{r_j}\|. \quad (4.2)$$

Hence, it is sufficient to prove that

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m - T_{u,v,\varphi}^m K_{r_j}\| \leq \min\{\max\{A_i\}_{i=0}^{m+n}, \max\{B_i\}_{i=0}^{m+n}\}.$$

For any $f \in H^p$ such that $\|f\|_{H^p} \leq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(T_{u,v,\varphi}^m - T_{u,v,\varphi}^m K_{r_j})f\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}} \leq \\ &\sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \underbrace{\left| \left(T_{u,v,\varphi}^m(f - f_{r_j}) \right)^{(t)}(0) \right|}_{S_t} + \underbrace{\sup_{|\varphi(z)| \leq r_N} \mu(z) \left| \sum_{k=0}^{m+n} (f - f_{r_j})^{(k)}(\varphi(z)) \left(I_{k,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{k-m,\varphi}^{n,v} \right)(z) \right|}_{H_1} \\ &+ \underbrace{\sup_{|\varphi(z)| > r_N} \mu(z) \left| \sum_{k=0}^{m+n} (f - f_{r_j})^{(k)}(\varphi(z)) \left(I_{k,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{k-m,\varphi}^{n,v} \right)(z) \right|}_{H_2}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

where $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $r_j \geq \frac{2}{3}$ for all $j \geq N$. Since $(f - f_{r_j})^{(s)} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} as $j \rightarrow \infty$, for any nonnegative integer s , then Theorem 3.1 implies that

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} H_1 = \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} S_t = 0 \quad (t = 0, \dots, n-1). \quad (4.4)$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} H_2 &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{m+n} \underbrace{\sup_{|\varphi(z)| > r_N} \mu(z) |f^{(k)}(\varphi(z))| | (I_{k,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{k-m,\varphi}^{n,v})(z) |}_{M_{2,k}} \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^{m+n} \underbrace{\sup_{|\varphi(z)| > r_N} \mu(z) |r_j^k f^{(k)}(r_j \varphi(z))| | (I_{k,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{k-m,\varphi}^{n,v})(z) |}_{N_{2,k}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

For $M_{2,k}, k \in \{0, \dots, m+n\}$, from Lemmas 2.3, 2.4 and 2.5, we get

$$M_{2,k} = \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > r_N} \mu(z) \frac{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{k + \frac{1}{p}} |f^{(k)}(\varphi(z))|}{|\varphi(z)|^k} \times \frac{|\varphi(z)|^k |(I_{k,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{k-m,\varphi}^{n,v})(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{k + \frac{1}{p}}}$$

$$\preceq \|f\|_{H^p} \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > r_N} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m g_{k,\varphi}(z)\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}} \preceq \sum_{j=0}^{m+n} |c_{j+1}^k| \sup_{|a| > r_N} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f_{j+1,a}\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}}$$

As $N \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} M_{2,k} \preceq \underbrace{\sum_{i=0}^{m+n} \limsup_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f_{i+1,a}\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}}}_{A_i} \preceq \max\{A_i\}_{i=0}^{m+n} \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} M_{2,k} \preceq B_k. \quad (4.6)$$

Similarly, we get

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} N_{2,k} \preceq \underbrace{\sum_{i=0}^{m+n} \limsup_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f_{i+1,a}\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}}}_{A_i} \preceq \max\{A_i\}_{i=0}^{m+n} \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} N_{2,k} \preceq B_k. \quad (4.7)$$

Thus, by using (4.3), (4.4), (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7), we obtain

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m - T_{u,v,\varphi}^m K_{r_j}\|_{H^p \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}} = \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{H^p} \leq 1} \|(T_{u,v,\varphi}^m - T_{u,v,\varphi}^m K_{r_j})f\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}} \preceq \max\{A_i\}_{i=0}^{m+n}$$

and

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m - T_{u,v,\varphi}^m K_{r_j}\|_{H^p \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}} \preceq \max\{B_i\}_{i=0}^{m+n}.$$

Hence, from (4.2),

$$\|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m\|_e \preceq \min\{\max\{A_i\}_{i=0}^{m+n}, \max\{B_i\}_{i=0}^{m+n}\}.$$

1 The proof is completed. □

2 The proof of the next theorem is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.1, except that we use Lemma 2.6 instead of Lemma 2.5.

4 **Theorem 4.2** Let $T_{u,v,\varphi}^m : H^p \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}$ is bounded. If $n < m$, then

$$\|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m\|_e \approx \max\{\{A_i\}_{i=0}^n \cup \{A_i\}_{i=m}^{m+n}\} \approx \max\{\{B_i\}_{i=0}^n \cup \{B_i\}_{i=m}^{m+n}\},$$

where

$$A_i = \limsup_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f_{i+1,a}\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}}, \quad B_i = \limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu(z) |(I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-m,\varphi}^{n,v})(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{1}{p} + i}}$$

5 and $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\} \cup \{m, \dots, m+n\}$.

1 **Theorem 4.3** Let $T_{u,v,\varphi}^m : H^p \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}$ is bounded. If $n \geq m$, then the following statements are equivalent.

2 (i) The operator $T_{u,v,\varphi}^m : H^p \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}$ is compact.

3 (ii) If $p_j(z) = z^j$ then, $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{\frac{1}{p}} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m p_j\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}} = 0$.

4 (iii) For each $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m+n\}$, $\limsup_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f_{i+1,a}\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}} = 0$.

5 (iv) For each $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m+n\}$ $\limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu(z) |(I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-m,\varphi}^{n,v})(z)|}{(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{1}{p}+i}} = 0$.

6 **Proof** By using Theorem 4.1, (i), (iii) and (iv) are equivalent.

7 (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) For any given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $k \geq N$,

$$k^{\frac{1}{p}} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m p_k\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}} < \epsilon.$$

For any $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m+n\}$

$$f_{j+1,a}(z) = (1-|a|^2)^{j+1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} + \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \right) \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{p} + j + 1 + k)}{k! \Gamma(\frac{1}{p} + j + 1)} \bar{a}^k z^k.$$

So,

$$\|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f_{j+1,a}\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}} \leq 2 \max\{\|u\varphi^k\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}}\}_{k=0}^{N-1} (1-|a|^2)^j (1-|a|^N)^{\frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{p} + N + j)}{N! \Gamma(\frac{1}{p} + j)}} + 2^{j+1} \epsilon.$$

Hence, $\limsup_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f_{j+1,a}\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}} \leq 2^{j+1} \epsilon$. Since ϵ is arbitrary, we obtain

$$\limsup_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f_{j+1,a}\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}} = 0.$$

(iv) \Rightarrow (ii) For any given $\epsilon > 0$ and $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, m+n\}$ there exists a positive constant δ such that $\delta < |\varphi(z)| < 1$,

$$\limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu(z) |(I_{k,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{k-m,\varphi}^{n,v})(z)|}{(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{1}{p}+k}} < \epsilon. \tag{4.8}$$

Let $p_j(z) = z^j (j \geq n)$. By using Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} j^{\frac{1}{p}} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m p_j\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}} &\leq \\ &\underbrace{\sum_{t=0}^{n-1} j^{\frac{1}{p}} \left| (T_{u,v,\varphi}^m p_j)^{(t)}(0) \right|}_{H_1} + \underbrace{\sup_{|\varphi(z)| \leq r_N} \mu(z) \sum_{k=0}^{m+n} j^{\frac{1}{p}} \frac{j!}{(j-k)!} |\varphi(z)|^{j-k} |(I_{k,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{k-m,\varphi}^{n,v})(z)|}_{H_1} \\ &+ \underbrace{\sup_{|\varphi(z)| > r_N} \mu(z) \sum_{k=0}^{m+n} j^{\frac{1}{p}} (1-|\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{1}{p}+k} \frac{j!}{(j-k)!} |\varphi(z)|^{j-k} \frac{|(I_{k,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{k-m,\varphi}^{n,v})(z)|}{(1-|\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{1}{p}+k}}}_{H_2}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

From Theorem 3.1, it is obvious that

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} H_1 = \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} S_t = 0 \quad (t = 0, \dots, n - 1). \tag{4.10}$$

By using Lemma 2.1, 2.2 and (4.8), we obtain

$$H_2 \preceq \left(\frac{2(\frac{1}{p} + 1)}{e} \right)^{\frac{1}{p} + 1} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \sup_{|\varphi(z)| > \delta} \frac{\mu(z) |(I_{k,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{k-m,\varphi}^{n,v})(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{1}{p} + k}} \preceq \epsilon$$

which implies that

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} H_2 = 0. \tag{4.11}$$

1 From (4.9), (4.10) and (4.11), we get (ii). The proof is completed. □

2 **Using the same method as in the proof of Theorem 4.3 we can get the following theorem.**

3 **Theorem 4.4** Let $T_{u,v,\varphi}^m : H^p \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}$ is bounded. If $n < m$, then the following statements are equivalent.

4 (i) The operator $T_{u,v,\varphi}^m : H^p \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}$ is compact.

5 (ii) If $p_j(z) = z^j$ then $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} j^{\frac{1}{p}} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m p_j\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}} = 0$.

6 (iii) For each $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\} \cup \{m, \dots, m + n\}$, $\limsup_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \|T_{u,v,\varphi}^m f_{i+1,a}\|_{\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}} = 0$.

7 (iv) For each $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\} \cup \{m, \dots, m + n\}$, $\limsup_{|\varphi(z)| \rightarrow 1} \frac{\mu(z) |(I_{i,\varphi}^{n,u} + I_{i-m,\varphi}^{n,v})(z)|}{(1 - |\varphi(z)|^2)^{\frac{1}{p} + i}} = 0$.

8 **Remark 4.5** By putting $v \equiv 0$ in Theorems 3.1, 3.2, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4, we obtain some characterizations
 9 for boundedness, the essential norm and compactness of operator $uC_\varphi : H^p \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}$ (see [2]).

10 **Remark 4.6** By setting $u \equiv 0$ in Theorems 3.1, 3.2, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4, we get some characterizations for
 11 boundedness, the essential norm and compactness of generalized weighted composition operator $D_{\varphi,u}^m : H^p \rightarrow$
 12 $\mathcal{W}_\mu^{(n)}$ (see [6]).

13 **Remark 4.7** By taking $m = 1$ in Theorems 3.1, 3.2, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4, we find some characterizations
 14 for boundedness, the essential norm and compactness of Stević-Sharma type operators from Hardy space into
 15 n th weighted type spaces (see [14]).

16 **Acknowledgments**

17 The second author supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11771184, 11771188).

References

- 1 [1] Abbasi E, Li S, Vaezi H. Weighted composition operators from the Bloch space to n th weighted-type spaces. Turkish
2 Journal of Mathematics 2020; 44(1): 108-117. doi:10.3906/mat-1907-34
- 3 [2] Colonna F. New criteria for boundedness and compactness of weighted composition operators mapping into the
4 Bloch space. Cent. Eur. J. Math. 2013; 11: 55–73. doi.org/10.2478/s11533-012-0097-4
- 5 [3] Comtet L. Advanced Combinatorics. Dordrecht, the Netherlands: D. Reidel, 1974.
- 6 [4] Duren PL. Theory of H^p Spaces. Academic Press, New York and London, 1970.
- 7 [5] Hyvärinen O, Lindström M. Estimates of essential norm of weighted composition operators between Bloch-type
8 spaces. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 2012; 393(1): 38–44. doi: 10.1016/j.jmaa.2012.03.059
- 9 [6] Liu Y, Yu Y. Weighted differentiation composition operators from Hardy spaces to Zygmund-type spaces. Chin. J.
10 contemporary Math. 2014; 35(2): 1-14.
- 11 [7] Liu Y, Yu Y. On Stević-Sharma type operators from the Besov spaces into the weighted-type space H_μ^∞ . Math.
12 Inequal. Appl. 2019; 22(3): 1037–1053. doi: 10.7153/mia-2019-22-71
- 13 [8] Sanatpour AH, Hassanlou M. Essential norms of weighted composition operators between Zygmund-type spaces and
14 Bloch-type spaces. Turk J Math 2014; 38: 872-882. doi:10.3906/mat-1401-5
- 15 [9] Stević S. Composition operators from the weighted Bergman space to the n th weighted spaces on the unit disc.
16 Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc. 2009; 2009: 11 pp. doi:10.1155/2009/742019
- 17 [10] Stević S. Weighted differentiation composition operators from H^∞ and Bloch spaces to n th weighted-type spaces
18 on the unit disk. Appl. Math. Comput. 2010; 216: 3634–3641. doi: 10.1016/j.amc.2010.05.014
- 19 [11] Stević S, Sharma A, Bhat A. Products of multiplication composition and differentiation operators on weighted
20 Bergman spaces. Appl. Math. Comput. 2011; 217: 8115–8125. doi: 10.1016/j.amc.2011.03.014
- 21 [12] Stević S, Sharma A, Bhat A. Essential norm of products of multiplications composition and differentiation operators
22 on weighted Bergman spaces. Appl. Math. Comput. 2011; 218: 2386–2397. doi: 10.1016/j.amc.2011.06.055
- 23 [13] Tjani M. Compact composition operators on some Möbius invariant Banach space. PhD dissertation, Michigan
24 State university, 1996.
- 25 [14] Zhang F, Liu Y. On Stević-Sharma operators from Hardy spaces to Zygmund-type spaces on the unit disk. Complex
26 Anal. Oper. Theory 2018; 12(1): 81–100. doi: 10.1007/s11785-016-0578-8
- 27 [15] Zhu K. Operator Theory in Function Spaces. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York and
28 Basel, 1990.
- 29 [16] Zhu K. Bloch type spaces of analytic functions. Rocky Mountain J. Math 1993; 23: 1143–1177.
30 doi:10.1216/rmj/1181072549
- 31