

Turkish Journal of Medical Sciences

http://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/medical/

Research Article

Turk J Med Sci (2021) 51: 2510-2515 © TÜBİTAK doi:10.3906/sag-2010-299

The contribution of neurocognitive situation, physical capacity and daily life activities to quality of life in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia survivors

Elif KELEŞ GÜLNERMAN¹, * D, Yağmur ÇAM²D, Bülent ELBASAN³D, Şebnem SOYSAL¹, Zühre KAYA⁴, İdil YENİCESU⁴, Ülker KOÇAK⁴

¹Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Gazi University, Ankara, Turkey ²Department of Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation, Faculty of Health Sciences, Hatay Mustafa Kemal University, Hatay, Turkey ³Department of Physiotherapy and Rehabilitation, Faculty of Health Sciences, Gazi University, Ankara, Turkey ⁴Division of Pediatric Hematology, Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Gazi University, Ankara, Turkey

Received: 27.10.2020 Accepted/Published Online: 24.06.2021 **Final Version:** 21.10.2021

Background/aim: There are no extensive studies on the QL in children who completed acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) treatment and currently living without any disease in Turkey. Our study aimed to analyze both the QL and the effects of physical, neurocognitive capacities on QL in childhood ALL survivors aged 7–12 years at the time of recruitment.

Materials and methods: PedsQL cancer module 3.0 child and proxy report, for ages 5-7 and 8-12 years, WeeFIM scale, BOTMP Short Form, RPM, reading, writing, and mathematics assessment tools, sociodemographic information form were carried out to the children and their family.

Results: There was no effect of the months since the completion of therapy on pain, anxiety, cognitive problems, perceived physical appearance, and the total QL scores of children and proxy reports (p > 0.05). Children's physical capacities were significantly worse than healthy controls and have not reached the level of healthy children even after a long time since completion of ALL therapy. There was a significant association between physical capacity and daily independent living status (p < 0.001). Reading, writing, and mathematical skills were significantly associated with the mean time off-treatment (p < 0.001), and the total score of RPM and PedsQL of those with mathematical difficulties were significantly lower than those without any difficulty (p < 0.05).

Conclusion: The months after the treatment (off-treatment time) have not affected total and subunit QL scores. As motor skills difficulties will lead to low academic achievement, early recognition could direct the parents for immediate intervention. Planning psychosocial support programs for physical activity and age-appropriate development of patients from the initiation of treatment will increase the QL in childhood ALL with a survival rate of 80% or more.

Key words: ALL, QL, neurocognitive and physical outcome

1. Introduction

ALL is the most common cancer type of childhood and accounts for 26.8% of childhood cancers worldwide [1]. Increased success in treatment and survival rates in children with ALL leads to decreased short and longterm morbidity, which could be assessed by measuring QL [2]. The QL is defined as the perception of the situation in life in terms of its own goals, expectations, and concerns with respect to the cultural structure and values system in the patient's lives. Health-related QL is a multidimensional concept including the wellbeing of the patient in terms of physical, emotional, mental, and

social behaviors [2]. * Correspondence: elifkeles.dr@gmail.com 2510

With modern treatment methods, the overall life expectancy in childhood cancer has increased to 80%, and a chronic process starts after treatment despite full recovery

There are no extensive studies on the QL in children treated for ALL and currently living without disease in Turkey. Our study aimed to analyze both the QL and the effects of physical, neurocognitive capacities on QL in pediatric ALL survivors aged between 7–12 years at the recruitment time.

2. Materials and methods

Thirty-five patients (33 pre-B ALL, 2 T-ALL) between the ages of 7-12 years currently have no disease after

ALL treatment, and their families agreed to participate in our study. All patients were treated same chemotherapy protocol (ALL-BFM 2000) and not physically or mentally impaired at the study time. The mean age at diagnosis was the same in all age groups currently completed treatment; treatment protocols and risk stratification according to the age varied no difference between the age groups. None of the patients had CNS involvement at the time of diagnosis. Informed consent and basic demographic information by face to face interview, PedsQL 3.0 child and proxy report, for ages 5–7 years and 8–12 years [5], WeeFIM scale [6], BOTMP Short Form (BOTMP-SF) [7], RPM, reading, writing, mathematics assessment tool [8,9] were carried out children and their families (Supplementary material).

ALL is more common between 2–5 years of age, rendering a dynamic age group in whom psychological and social development might be disturbed by this life-threatening disease. The selection of patients within 7–12 years of age is since children who completed ALL therapy were thought to assess returning to school and social life. Regular interventional procedures, hospital visits, school interruptions, and reduced social activities all lead to conflict. All these factors hamper psychological and social development and affect future academic life [4]. Since the "QL scale" specific to cancer itself was used rather than the generic scale, no control group, including healthy children, was established.

Patients with a neurocognitive problem lead to physical disability or immobility, and any previous psychiatric diagnosis, as well as those who were refusing to participate in the study, were excluded. Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS v. 20.0 program. The normal distribution of the variables was analyzed by Kolmogorov–Smirnov and Shapiro–Wilk tests. The Mann–Whitney U test was used for the comparison of pairs. One sample t-test was used to compare the data from the expected value and the study. The independent t-test was used to evaluate physical capacity tests. Pearson correlation analysis was used for the data with normal distribution, and Spearman correlation analysis was used for data without normal distribution.

3. Results

The demographic characteristics of the patients are shown in Table 1. The mean age of the study group was 9.3 ± 1.8 years. The age of diagnosis was 4.6 ± 2.1 years. Mean time off-treatment was 49.1 ± 30.9 months (1.4–125 months). Because the mean time after the end of the therapy (off-treatment) was 49.1 ± 30.9 months in our patients, the PedsQL child and proxy report total and subunit scores were compared according to 49 months. The subunit scores of QL significantly improved after 49 or more months since the end of the therapy, has been shown in Table 2.

Table 1. Sociodemographic characteristics according to patients' ages.

Age of patients	(n)	Age at diagnosis			
		Mean ± SD	Median (min-max)		
7 years	6	4 ± 1.4	4(2-6)		
8 years	9	4.2 ± 1.7	4(2-7)		
9 years	4	5 ± 1.4	4.5(4-7)		
10 years	5	4.2 ± 1.9	4(2-7)		
11 years	4	4.5 ± 2	4.5(2-7)		
12 years	7	5.8 ± 3.3	6(2-10)		
Educational status	(n)				
Not going school	4				
1st year	7				
2nd year	6				
3rd year	6				
4th year	3				
5th year	9				

There was no significant improvement in the scores of pain, anxiety, cognitive problems, perceived physical appearance, and the total QL scores in survivors (p > 0.05) (Table 2). PedsQL child and proxy report total scores were significant and positively correlated (p < 0.001). PedsQL child score subunits like procedural anxiety (p < 0.05), treatment anxiety (p < 0.05), cognitive problems (p < 0.05), and perceived physical appearance score (p < 0.05) were positively correlated with the corresponding PedsQL proxy subunits.

There was a significant difference in the perceived physical appearance between the scores of male patients' parents and the female patients' parents (p < 0.05). There was no significant association between months after the end of the therapy and the effect of QL and subunits of children and proxy reports (p > 0.05). The off-treatment time was significantly associated with WeeFIM total score, transfers, and social status subscales (p < 0.05). Wee-FIM score was not associated with parental education level and family income (p > 0.05). There were significant associations between WeeFIM and PedsQL child (p < 0.01) and proxy reports (p < 0.01).

BOTMP SF total score of healthy children was significantly different from the pediatric ALL survivors (p < 0.001). BOTMP SF total score was significantly associated with only two dimensions regarding gross motor skills ["tapping feet alternately while making circles with fingers" (p < 0.05) and "throwing a ball at a target with the

	PedsQL child report nausea	PedsQL child report treatment anxiety	PedsQL child report communication	PedsQL proxy report procedural anxiety	PedsQL proxy report treatment anxiety	
2-48 months (n: 20)	77.7 ± 18.4	72.9 ± 31.2	63.3 ± 28.7	50 ± 36.9	51.2 ± 44	
≥49 months (n: 15)	81.7 ± 17.6	95 ± 10.8	83.9 ± 28.1	78.9 ± 27.4	86.7 ± 17.5	

p < 0.05

Table 2. Effect of off-treatment time on the quality of life.

p

preferred hand"]. The subunit scores of fine motor skills ["speed response" (p < 0.05), "drawing a line through a straight path with the preferred hand" (p < 0.001), "copying a circle with the preferred hand" (p < 0.05), "sorting shape cards with preferred hands" (p < 0.001) "making dots in circles with preferred hand point by circle" p < 0.001)] in pediatric ALL survivors were lower and significantly different from the healthy children's scores.

p < 0.05

p < 0.05

There was a significant difference between the BOTMP SF mean total score of 20 children whose off-treatment time was \leq 49 months than the BOTMP SF mean total score of 15 children whose off-treatment time >49 months (p < 0.001). There was a negative correlation between the age of diagnosis and the total BOTMP SF score (p < 0.05). The off-treatment time was significantly associated only with five dimensions out of 14 (running speed and agility, standing broad jump, catching a tossed ball with both hands, walking forward on the balance beam, and speed response). The BOTMP SF score was not significantly associated with the parental education level and family income (p > 0.05).

The neurocognitive functions of our patients were within normal limits. RPM scores of the female patients in the first, second, and fifth grades of the school were higher and significantly different from the healthy control scores (p < 0.05).

Forty percent of patients had writing and mathematical difficulties, and 60% had reading difficulties. The mathematics score was significantly associated with parental education level and family income (p < 0.05). RPM score was significantly associated with the score of mathematics (p < 0.01), reading (p < 0.01), and writing skills (p < 0.05). There was a correlation between the offtreatment time and reading (p < 0.001) and mathematics skills (p < 0.001). There was a significant difference between the mean scores of PedsQL child and proxy reports and RPM scores of children with mathematical difficulties than those without mathematical problems (p < 0.05). There was no significant difference regarding fine motor skills between the children with difficulties in reading, writing, and mathematics compared to those without any problems (p > 0.05).

4. Discussion

p < 0.05

There are no studies in our country focused on diseasespecific QL in childhood ALL survivors. ALL patients aged 13-18 years were reported to have QL that did not differ according to age, sex, monthly income, parental education level on the generic QL scale [10]. Another study from our country studied 70 ALL survivors aged between 7-17 who were off therapy ≥ 2 years. No differences were found among all survivors' QL subscale scores, including sex, therapy type, risk group, time after treatment, income status, chronic illness, and relapse history [11]. Our study also showed no differences in the QL according to age, sex, parental education, socioeconomic level, and offtreatment time, similar to the other Turkish studies [10,11] and a study from Indonesia [12]. Sung et al. showed that the OL of children on treatment is worse than off treatment for ≥12 months; however, there was no difference in the patients' QL before and after the maintenance therapy [13]. A prospective study by Vlachioti et al. in 56 patients between 7-20 years with ALL and other childhood cancers showed that QL did not change during the treatment [14].

p < 0.05

There was no effect of the off-treatment time on pain, anxiety, cognitive problems, perceived physical appearance, and the total QL scores of children and proxy reports in our study. However, other prospective studies showed an increase in the QL of patients with ALL at the end of therapy [15,16].

Compared to the results of a Canadian study by Sung et al., higher scores in the subunits of pain, nausea, and procedural and treatment anxieties in our study indicate better QL [13]. This could be because the off-treatment time was longer (≥49 months) in our study than in the Canadian study (≥ 12 months). Communication and cognitive problems subunit scores were close to each other in both studies, suggesting that patient perceptions are similar concerning the treatment's long-term effects. The perceived physical appearance and anxiety subunit scores are also higher in the Canadian study, which can be due to the differences in socioeconomic, developmental, and cultural level issues and access to health services in both countries (Table 3).

Table 3	Comparison	of OI	with	other	studies
Table 3.	COHIDALISON	O(C)	willi	Others	studies.

	Sung et al.	Tsuji et al. child	Tsuji et al. proxy	Varni et al. child	Varni et al. proxy	Abu Saad et al. child	Our study child report	Our study proxy report
Mean of total score		79.4	74.3			77.8	75.6	69.4
Pain and hurt	62.5	86.2	81	76.2	74.7	85.3	82.5	78.9
Nausea	70	83.8	82.9	75.8	77.8	76.2	82.9	76.6
Procedural anxiety	51.2	78.2	68.6	68.3	60.3	92.3	65.7	62.4
Treatment anxiety	75	94.6	87.2	82.2	71.5	90.2	82.4	66.4
Anxiety	100	78.8	79	70.1	75.9	79.1	79.0	68.3
Perceived physical apperarance	91.7	72	69.1	70.3	76.2	92.1	69.1	70.2
Communication	75	66	60.9	74.4	78.3	86.9	72.1	65.7
Cognitive problems	65	71.4	64.8	70.5	74	88.7	71.7	67.1

In our study, the sex differences only appear in the proxy report's perceived physical appearance subunit. Lower physical appearance scores were found in male patients' parents, which is just the opposite of the finding in the study of Vlachioti et al. [14]. The reason for lower scores in parents of male patients in our study may be the limited ability to cope with male patients' physical changes compared to female patients. Following our study, Tsuji et al. [17] found higher total QL scores in leukemic children than their parents' proxy reports. Higher subunit scores and the lowest subunit scores were shown in Table 3. In accordance with the study of Varni [5], proxy reports showed significant improvements only in treatment anxiety and procedural anxiety subunits. Considering the QL evaluations of children and parents, the study by Tsuji et al. [17] and Varni et al. [5] seem to be similar to our study (Table 3).

There were no improvements in the subunit scores that are considered as long-term effects [18] like perceived physical appearance and cognitive problems in the studies of Abu Saad et al. [18] and Varni et al. [5]. and our research. Psychosocial issues originate from the recurrence anxiety of cancer that could be at an unconscious level in children. Besides, emerging adverse events during treatment and long-term side effects of the therapy also cause stress, leading to low QL subunit scores [18,19] (Tables 2 and 3).

Health-related QL scores were significantly lower in a study from Norway consisting of childhood ALL survivors with a mean age of 11.8 years. Although cognitive functions were within normal limits, their scores were lower than those of healthy children [4].

Higher RPM scores of female patients in the second and fifth grades could be due to the increased parental educational level and the support given by these educated parents. Unfortunately, the case number is too small to perform a regression analysis to find where this difference arises.

It was also reported that neurocognitive deficits could still be seen even five years or more after completing treatment [20]. Reading, writing, and mathematics skills were associated with the off-treatment time in our study.

Inconsistent with the study of Kunin-Batson et al. [21], 40% of our patients had writing and mathematical difficulties, and 60% had reading difficulties.

Learning difficulties in every field cause academic life failure and indirectly low QL and dissatisfaction in the future. Other studies in this area also show that patients have long-term side effects like poor school performance, decreased job finding, and poor mental health, which designates that QL is negatively affected [20,21]. These side effects are related to the psychological trauma due to a lifethreatening disease, staying in the hospital for a long time, leaving the family and friends [20,21].

In our study, parental education level and family income positively influence reading and mathematics skills. It is thought that patients with supportive families have less difficulty when they return to school.

Intensive and prolonged treatment protocols in childhood cancers lead to immobility and lower physical performance levels. However, it has been shown that children with cancer should be physically active and participate in an individually tailored exercise program [22]. Depending on the affected physical functions, various social isolation problems, loss of independence, anxiety, and depression might be seen. These physical and psychosocial changes negatively affect the QL of both the family and the children [22–24]. Our study showed that the patient's physical independence has recovered as the time elapsed over the intensive treatment that also contributes to social interaction and daily independence increases. Parent-and-child assessments of QL scores improve.

There was no significant difference in gross motor skills than the control group; there was significant retardation in our study's fine motor skills. Our patients' age was within fine motor skills development, where chemotherapy and hospitalization could have hampered this process.

It has been reported that fine motor skills difficulties increase after treatment, and handwriting problems last almost two years after treatment in approximately 25% of children [22–25]. Inconsistent with Hartman et al. [24] study though motor performance improves, it is still lower than healthy peers even two years after cessation of treatment. Although some subunits of gross motor skills improved with remission, our patients' BOTMP SF scores did not reach standard children's BOTMP SF scores.

Even though our study population seems a small national cohort, many patients from different geographic areas were referred to our center. We recruited all our patients in our registry, which required inclusion criteria. As an experienced pediatric leukemia center in a developing country, we aimed to reveal the contributors to the quality of life after the end of the therapy. These evaluations from different aspects of the child's development were performed at once. Unfortunately, the patients could not be evaluated at the same period after the therapy due to our social conditions. Thus, the results compared according to average values align with the age and the mean duration after the remission. All patients were investigated according to the mean off- treatment time. Future research with routine follow up of QL outcomes using multidisciplinary measures during treatment and off-treatment will be crucial.

5. Conclusion

Our study using disease-specific QL in children with ALL in remission revealed three significant findings.

1. There was no effect of off-treatment time on pain, anxiety, cognitive problems, perceived physical appearance, and the total QL scores of children and proxy reports.

References

- Kaatsch P. Epidemiology of childhood cancer. Cancer Treatment Reviews 2010; 36 (4): 277-285. doi: 10.1016/j.ctrv.2010.02.003
- Pickard AS, Topfer LA, Feeny DH. A structured review of studies on health-related quality of life and economic evaluation in pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia. JNCI Monographs 2004; 2004 (33): 102-125. doi: 10.1093/jncimonographs/lgh002
- Miller KD, Siegel RL, Lin CC, Mariotto AB, Kramer JL et al. Cancer treatment and survivorship statistics, 2016. CA: Cancer Journal for Clinicians 2016; 66 (4): 271-289. doi: 10.3322/ caac.21349
- Reinfjell T, Lofstad GE, Veenstra M, Vikan A, Diseth TH. Healthrelated quality of life and intellectual functioning in children in remission from acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. Acta Paediatrica 2007; 96 (9): 1280-1285. doi: 10.1111/j.1651-2227.2007.00383.x

- 2. Children's physical capacities were significantly worse than healthy controls and have not reached the level of healthy children even after a long time since the end of the therapy.
- 3. Reading, writing, and mathematical skills were significantly associated with off-treatment time. The total score of RPM and PedsQL of those with mathematical difficulties were significantly lower than those without any difficulty.

Our study also suggests that the inclusion of physical activity in developing a cancer-specific scale may provide a more precise assessment. As motor skills difficulties will lead to low academic recognition could direct the parents for immediate intervention. Children and their families should be supported to participate in these programs to ensure progress both in social communication and academic achievement.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank all the children and parents who participated in the study. They acknowledge the support of Dilek EKİCİ, PhD, Professor, Nursing Management Department, Gazi University Faculty of Health Science and her valuable input on the statistical analysis of this study.

Informed consent

Informed consent and basic demographic information by face to face interview, PedsQL 3.0 child and proxy report, for ages 5–7 years and 8–12 years (5), WeeFIM scale (6), BOTMP-SF (7), RPM, reading, writing, mathematics assessment tool (8,9) were carried out children and their families (Supplementary material). This study was approved by the Gazi University Faculty of Medicine Ethics committee (#2016-2/15).

- 5. Varni JW, Burwinkle TM, Katz ER, Meeske K, Dickinson P. The PedsQL™ in pediatric cancer: reliability and validity of the pediatric quality of life inventory™ generic core scales, multidimensional fatigue scale, and cancer module. Cancer 2002; 94 (7): 2090-2106. doi: 10.1002/cncr.10428
- Tur BS, Küçükdeveci AA, Kutlay Ş, Yavuzer G, Elhan AH et al. Psychometric properties of the WeeFIM in children with cerebral palsy in Turkey. Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology 2009; 51 (9): 732-738. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8749.2008.03255.x
- Bruininks-Oseretsky R. Test of motor proficiency: Examiner's manual. Circle Pines, MN: American Guidance Service. 1978.

- Raven J. The Raven's progressive matrices: change and stability over culture and time. Cognitive psychology 2000; 41 (1): 1-48. doi: 10.1006/cogp.1999.0735
- Turan ST, Bakar EE, Erden G, Karakaş S. Using neuropsychometric measurements in the differential diagnosis of specific learning disability. Nöro Psikiyatri Arşivi 2016; 53 (2): 144-151. doi: 10.5152/npa.2015.10024
- Baytan B, Aşut Ç, Kantarcıoğlu AÇ, Evim MS, Güneş AM. Health-related quality of life, depression, anxiety, and self-image in acute lymphocytic leukemia survivors. Turkish Journal of Hematology 2016; 33 (4): 326-330. doi: 10.4274/tjh.2015.0356
- 11. Kızmazoğlu D, Sarı S, Sezgin ME, Kantarcıoğlu A, Tüfekçi Ö et al. Assessment of health-related quality of life in pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia survivors: perceptions of children, siblings, and parents. Turkish Journal of Hematology 2019; 36 (2): 112-116. doi: 10.4274/tjh.galenos.2018.2018.0351
- 12. Sitaresmi MN, Mostert S, Gundy CM, Veerman AJ. Health-related quality of life assessment in Indonesian childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes 2008; 6 (1): 1-8. doi: 10.1186/1477-7525-6-96
- Sung L, Yanofsky R, Klaassen RJ, Dix D, Pritchard S et al. Quality of life during active treatment for pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia. International Journal of Cancer 2011; 128 (5): 1213-1220. doi: 10.1002/ijc.25433
- 14. Vlachioti E, Matziou V, Perdikaris P, Mitsiou M, Stylianou C et al. Assessment of quality of life of children and adolescents with cancer during their treatment. Japanese Journal of Clinical Oncology 2016; 46 (5): 453-461. doi: 10.1093/jjco/hyw009
- 15. Furlong W, Rae C, Feeny D, Gelber RD, Laverdiere C et al. Health-related quality of life among children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Pediatric Blood & Cancer 2012; 59 (4): 717-724. doi: 10.1002/pbc.24096
- 16. Mitchell HR, Lu X, Myers RM, Sung L, Balsamo LM et al. Prospective, longitudinal assessment of quality of life in children from diagnosis to 3 months off treatment for standard risk acute lymphoblastic leukemia: results of children's Oncology group study AALL0331. International Journal of Cancer 2016; 138 (2): 332-339. doi: 10.1002/ijc.29708
- 17. Tsuji N, Kakee N, Ishida Y et al. Validation of the Japanese version of the Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory (PedsQL) cancer module. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes. 2011; 9 (1): 1-6. doi: 10.1186/1477-7525-9-22
- Huijer HA, Sagherian K, Tamim H. Quality of life and symptom prevalence as reported by children with cancer in Lebanon. European Journal of Oncology Nursing 2013;17 (6): 704-710. doi: 10.1016/j.ejon.2013.09.004

- 19. Eiser C, Vance YH, Horne B, Glaser A, Galvin H. The value of the PedsQLTM in assessing quality of life in survivors of childhood cancer. Child: Care, Health and Development 2003; 29 (2): 95-102. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2214.2003.00318.x
- Kirchhoff AC, Leisenring W, Krull KR, Ness KK, Friedman DL et al. Unemployment among adult survivors of childhood cancer: a report from the childhood cancer survivors study. Medical Care 2010; 48 (11): 1015-1025. doi: 10.1097/ MLR.0b013e3181eaf880
- Kunin-Batson A, Kadan-Lottick N, Neglia JP. The contribution of neurocognitive functioning to quality of life after childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Psycho-oncology 2014; 23 (6): 692-699. doi: 10.1002/pon.3470
- Green JL, Knight SJ, McCarthy M, De Luca CR. Motor functioning during and following treatment with chemotherapy for pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Pediatric Blood & Cancer 2013; 60 (8): 1261-1266. doi: 10.1002/pbc.24537
- 23. Grimshaw SL, Taylor NF, Mechinaud F, Shields N. Assessment of physical function in children with cancer: a systematic review. Pediatric Blood & Cancer 2018; 65 (12): e27369. doi: 10.1002/pbc.27369
- 24. Hartman A, Te Winkel ML, Van Beek RD, De Muinck Keizer-Schrama SM, Kemper HC et al. A randomized trial investigating an exercise program to prevent reduction of bone mineral density and impairment of motor performance during treatment for childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Pediatric Blood & Cancer 2009; 53 (1): 64-71. doi: 10.1002/ pbc.21942
- Jansen NC, Kingma A, Schuitema A, Bouma A, Veerman AJ, Kamps WA. Neuropsychological outcome in chemotherapyonly-treated children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Journal of Clinical Oncology 2008; 26 (18): 3025-3030. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2007.12.4149
- 26. Kabak VY, Yakut Y, Çetin M, Düger T. Reliability and validity of the Turkish version of the PedsQL 3.0 cancer module for 2-to 7-year-old and the PedsQL 4.0 generic core scales for 5-to 7-year-old: the Hacettepe university experience. Turkish Journal of Hematology 2016; 33 (3): 236-243. doi: 10.4274/tjh.2015.0242
- 27. Tanir MK, Kuguoglu S. Turkish validity and reliability of a pediatric quality of life cancer module for children aged 8-12 and parents. Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention 2011; 12 (1): 125-130.

Supplementary material

1. PedsQL

This instrument has been approved with the languages English, German, Chinese, Japanese, Urdu, and Portuguese. PedsQL 3.0 cancer modules for 2–4 years, 5–7 years and 8–12 years were found valid and reliable in Turkey [26,27]. It can be applied within 5–15 min and can easily be scored. The PedsQL 3.0 cancer module is designed to measure health-related QL specific to pediatric cancers and the Turkish scales were provided for research purpose from "Mapi Trust Research" institute.

The PedsQL 3.0 cancer module consists of 26 items for ages 5–7 pain and hurt (2 items), nausea (5 items), procedural anxiety (3 items), treatment anxiety (3 items), anxiety (3 items) (4 items), perceived physical appearance (3 items), and communication (3 items). The PedsQL 3.0 cancer module consists of 27 items for ages 8-12 pain and hurt (2 items), nausea (5 items), procedural anxiety (3 items), treatment anxiety (3 items), anxiety (3 items), cognitive problems (5 items), perceived physical appearance (3 items), and communication (3 items). The items are scored between 0-100. If the answer to the question is never marked considered as 100, rarely marked considered as 75, sometimes marked considered as 50, often marked considered as 25, almost always marked considered as 0. 5-Likert for 8-12 years, 3-Likert for 5-7 years; never marked considered as 100, sometimes marked considered as 50, often marked considered as 0. This scale consists of two parallel forms for the child and the parent. High scores indicate better health-related quality of life [5]. The PedsQL 3.0 cancer module is designed to measure health-related quality of life specific to pediatric cancer.

2. WeeFIM

The WeeFIM test was used to evaluate the daily life activities. It has been proved to be a valid and reliable method for children between 6 months and 12 years the child and the parent. High scores indicate better health-related quality of life [6]. WeeFIM test includes 18 items in 6 areas, which are self-care, sphincter control, transfers, mobility, communication, social, and cognitive skills.

Each item in these areas is scored from 1 to 7, depending on whether getting help while performing the function, performing on time, or an auxiliary device is needed or not. When the task is finished with complete help, it is graded as 1. However, the task is finished in totally independent way at the right time and safely, it is graded as 7. Accordingly, scores can be taken as minimum 18 (fully dependent) and maximum 126 (fully independent). Evaluation can be done face-to-face or by telephone. Validity and reliability studies were performed in Turkey by Tur et al. for the child and the parent. High scores indicate better health-related quality of life [5].

3. BOTMP-SF

To assess the children's motor performance, 'Bruininks-Oseretsky test of Motor Proficiency-Short Form (BOTMP-SF)' [7] was used, which is a valid and reliable assessment of motor performance [7]. This battery consists of eight subtests; running speed and agility, balance, bilateral coordination, strength, upper-limb coordination, response speed, visual motor control,

upper limb speed, and dexterity. The eight subtests consist of 14 items in which the assessments are performed. Each of these items has a score equivalent to the subjects' performance and the scores recorded during the test are the subjects' raw scores. In the subtests with more than one trial the best performance is taken notice of. After the test is completed these raw scores are converted to point scores. As there are no standardized BOTMP SF data for healthy children in our country a control group consisting of 40 healthy Turkish children between 7–12 years was established and compared to the patients' data

Short form components:

- Running speed and agility (maximum score 15)
- Standing on prefferred leg on the balance beam (maximum score 6)
- Walking forward on the balance beam (heel-thumb) march (maximum score 4)
- Tapping feet alternately while making circles with fingers (one right, one left)
 - Jumping up and clapping hands (maximum score 5)
 - Standing broad jump (maximum score 16)
 - Speed response (maximum score 17)
 - Catching a tossed ball with both hands (maximum score 3)
- Throwing a ball at a target with the preferred hand (maximum score 3)
- Drawing a line through a straight path with the preferred hand (maximum score 4)
 - Copying a circle with preferred hand (maximum score 2)
- Copying overlapping pencils with preferred hand (maximum score 2)
- Sorting shape cards with preferred hands (maximum score 10 points)
- Making dots in circles with preferred hand point by circle (maximum score 10 points).

4. RPM

RPM measures mental function and consists of 60 multiple choice questions with gradually increasing difficulty in 5 sets. All questions consist of visual geometric designs with a missing piece that need the completion by the patient [8]. The validity, reliability and normative studies of RPM for Turkey were carried out by Karakaş [8]. In our country, 10%–20% of school age children are diagnosed with learning disability [8]. There are texts developed for Turkish children's reading and writing skills [8].

5. Reading test

Tests for reading, writing, mathematic, and arithmetic skills that are developed for Turkish children were used [9]. From first year to fifth year students, the number of words that children can read in specified time interval, the word count that has read by children in a minute, the number of words correctly read in one minute, the number of mistakes they made in 1 min and the entire text, and the number of correct answers they gave to the reading questions.

Determination of reading errors.

The reading errors, are categorized under 15 headings:

- · Skipped letters
- · Skipped syllable
- · Skipped words

KELEŞ GÜLNERMAN et al. / Turk J Med Sci

- · Skipped lines
- · Reading the word in reverse (mirror)
- · Mixed letters
- · Wrong reading of the word
- · Adding syllables
- · Adding words
- · Line repetition
- · Reading by making up the end of the word
- · Reading by spelling
- · Following lines by the help of finger
- · Inability to read.

6. Writing test

It is a standard 3-clause instrument in which silence is often used to assess font errors, taking into account the auditory perception and auditory discrimination errors common in children with special learning difficulties.

Determination of typing errors.

The typing errors, are categorized under 15 headings.

- · Skipped letters
- · Skipped syllable
- · Skipped words
- · Inverse writing
- · Mixed letters
- · Compound writing
- · Syllabic separation
- · Letter insertion

- · Adding extra syllables
- · Adding extra words
- · Misuse of punctuation
- · Slow writing
- · Not use upper/lower case letters correctly
- · Writing inability

7. Mathematics and arithmetic skill test

Arithmetic skills are evaluated according to class level with multiplication table and summation questions. These skills include recognizing and correcting numbers, writing digitized numbers appropriate to the class level, and collecting digitized numbers appropriate to the class level and learning the multiplication table [8].

7.1 Evaluating the reading and understanding

The answers to the multiple-choice questions that are considered to measure the main idea of the paragraph in the text are evaluated. There are five questions. Four and over correct answers are matched with correct age; fewer than four correct answers are considered incompatible with the correct response age.

7.2 Evaluating the writing

The text is read aloud and the child is asked to write what he/she hears and the letter/word/syllabic jump, reverse writing, letter mixing, compound writing, syllabic separation, letter/word insertion, wrong word writing, and misspelling are taken into consideration and the total error point is calculated. One point is given for each mistake made [8].